



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation.

Effect of sex and age on chemical composition of sheep, cattle and camel meat

Hassan HE ^{1*}, Khalid E Sahal ², Elhashmi YH ³, Mousa AM ⁴, Abdelmageed MEI ⁵, Tameem Eldar AA ⁶, Mortada ⁷, HA Elhesain ⁸, Abel Moneim Osman A Babiker ⁹

^{1,3-6} Faculty of Animal Production, University of Gezira, Al-Managil, P.O. Box: (20), Sudan

² Department of Animal Resources, Ministry of Agriculture and Animal Resources, Animal Production Section, Elobeid, Sudan

⁷⁻⁹ Department of Food Processing Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Technical Studies, University of El Imam El Mahdi, Kosti, Sudan

* Corresponding Author: **Hassan HE**

Article Info

ISSN (online): 2582-7138

Volume: 05

Issue: 02

March-April 2024

Received: 13-01-2024

Accepted: 15-02-2024

Page No: 92-98

Abstract

Meat is highly valuable food product for human consumption because it is a good source of all essential amino acids, crude protein, energy, minerals and major source of vitamins that necessary for human nutrition. The distinctive flavor, tenderness, choiceness and agreeable make it one of the preferred food. In this study three types of meat samples, these are sheep, beef and camel (male and female) with different age group (young, medium and old) were selected and allotted to three experimental groups (treatments). The study was conducted to assess the effects of age and sex on chemical composition for different types of meat. A (3×3×2) factorial arrangement in Complete Randomized Design (CRD) was conducted. The study showed highly significant differences ($p \leq 0.001$) among different treatments. Camel meat had scored the highest values of moisture, fiber, ash and (F^+) while sheep recorded the lowest value. Sheep meat tended to have the highest values of crude protein, fat, (Ca), and (K^+) content while camel meat recorded the lowest values. With regard to the age group the study showed that young age group had obtained the highest values of crude protein and (Ca) contents while old age group scored the less values. Medium age group had reported the highest values of moisture, fat, ash and (K^+) content followed by the old age group. Concerning interaction effects of meat type, sex and age group female sheep with medium age (FSM) had reported the highest values of moisture while female sheep with young age (FSY) and female beef with young age (FBY) revealed the highest values of protein content. On other hand female beef of young age (FBY) and female sheep of medium age (FSM) scored the highest values of fat content. Irrespective of animal type, female meat of medium age tended to have better values; due to the results we recommended that selecting female medium age group for producing meat with highly quality attributes.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54660/IJMRGE.2024.5.2.92-98>

Keywords: Beef, sheep meat, camel meat, sex, age, chemical composition

Introduction

Sudan is well endowed with livestock resources. In 2007, the total Sudanese livestock population was estimated at 139 million heads, comprising 41, 51, 43 and 4 million heads of cattle, sheep, goats and camels, respectively (MARF, 2007) ^[12]. The average annual growth rate of the livestock sector was estimated at 3.1% (Faki *et al.*, 2008) ^[8]. Sheep play a remarkable role in the livelihoods of Sudanese people, providing food, income and enriching the land with animal manure. In addition, it provides the country with foreign currencies; as mutton and live animals are exported annually. About 5571 tons of mutton and 1.7 million heads of live sheep were exported in 2004 (Marf, 2005) ^[13]. Camel meat is unique and more beneficial for human body due to several regards; e.g 14 known essential trace elements for man, animals and plants; Fe, Cu, Li, Sn, Ni, V, Mn, Zn, Co, Mo, Se,

Cr, B and Si (Bowen, 1949) [5].

The high protein, low fat, and low cholesterol content of camel meat makes it deemed safe for human eating. When it comes to other commercial red meats like beef and mutton, camel meat is naturally lean and has a lower fat level. All of the essential amino acids are found in red meat, which is a significant source of high-quality protein. (Manheem, 2023) [11]. The approximate is about 75% water, 19% protein 2.5% lipid, 0.65% minerals and some vitamins. Generally, the chemical composition is 75% water, 18% protein, 3.5% soluble non-protein substance and 3% Fat. (Williams, 2002) [20], record that red meat contains high biological value protein and important micronutrients that are needed for good health throughout life. Meat quality is significantly affected by pre-slaughter factors. Atmospheric conditions in the pre-slaughter period, and especially those causing an additional stress for animals can be important. Seasonal changes in temperature can affect the level of glycogen in muscles after slaughter and the ultimate pH, and consequently the quality of meat. An increase in glycolysis results from excessive excitement, starving and stress caused by ambient temperature, which in turn leads to high post-mortem pH values and consequently meat colour is influenced (Honkavaara *et.al.*, 2003) [9]. Many researchers studied the effect of age and sex on meat chemical composition (Lawrie, 1991, Babiker *et al.*, 1990, Cameron and Enser, 1991, Sen *et.al.*, 2004, Niedziolka and Pieniak, 2006, El-waziry *et al.*, 2011 and Shija *et al.*, 2013) [10, 4, 6, 14, 16]. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of sex on chemical composition on different animal meat and to evaluate the effect of age on chemical composition on different animal meat.

Material and Methods

Site of the study

This study was carried out at meat science laboratory, Faculty of Animal Production, University of Gezira (Elmanagil, Gezira State, Sudan) 76 kilometer western Wad medani city. This site lies between latitudes 13.30 and 14.45 longitudinally and 32.45 and 33.15 horizontally at the center of Sudan, during period 2019-2021.

Experimental units and grouping

Three types of meat samples, these are sheep, beef and camel (male and female) with different age (old, medium and young) had been prepared and allotted to 18 experimental groups (treatments) were selected as the following:

- Group (A), male and female sheep meat, contain three categories of meat animal age :
 - Male Sheep Young Age group (MSY) with 6-7 months of age.
 - Male Sheep Medium age group (MSM) with 9-13 months of age.
 - Male Sheep old Age group (MSO) with 15-20 months of age.
 - Female Sheep Young Age group (FSY) with 6-7 months of age.
 - Female Sheep Medium age group (FSM) with 9-13 months of age.
 - Female Sheep Old age group (FSO) with 15-20 months of age.

- Group (B) male and female beef meat, contain three categories of meat animal age:
 - Male Beef Ooung age group (MBY) with 2 years of age.
 - Male Beef Medium age group (MBM) with 4 years of age.
 - Male Beef Oldage group (MBO) with 6 years of age.
 - Female Beef Young age group (FBY) with 2 years of age.
 - Female Beef Medium age group (FBM) with 4 years of age.
 - Female Beef Old age group (FBO) with 6 years of age.
- Group (C) male and female camel meat, has been made of three categories of meat animal age:
 - Male Camel Young age group (MCY) with 2 years of age.
 - Male Camel Medium age group (MCM) with 4 years of age.
 - Male Camel Old age group (MCO) with 6 years of age.
 - Female Camell Young age group (FCY) 2 years of age.
 - Female Camel Medium age group (FCM) 4 years of age.
 - Female Camel Old age group (FCO) 6 years of age.

Samples collection

Meat samples, of sheep, cattle meat and camel meat were collected immediately after slaughtering from Al-managil slaughter house and kept under low temperature using an ice cooler box during transport to the laboratory for proximate analyses.

Sample Preparation

All samples were prepared according to the following steps: Meat samples were grinded by an electric meat grinder with pore size of 12mm. Before the analysis the grinded samples were kept under freezing conditions.

Methods

Proximate analysis

Moisture content

Initial and final moisture content was determined according to the method described by (AOAC, 2005). The moisture content of the samples was calculated using the following equations:

$$\text{Moisture \%} = \frac{(W_a - W_b) \times 100}{W_s} \quad (1)$$

Where

W_a = weight of clean crucible and wet sample

W_b = weight of clean crucible and dry sample.

W_s = weight of wet sample.

Crude protein content

The protein content of different samples of meat were determined according to the methods of American Association of Cereal Chemists (A.A.C.C, 1983) [1].

$$\text{CP\%} = \frac{\text{Titration value} \times 0.0014 \times 6.25 \times 20}{\text{Weight of sample}} \times 100 \quad (2)$$

Fat content

Crude fat was determined according to the method of the

American Oil Chemists Society (A.O.C.S, 1981) [3]

$$\text{Fat \%} = \frac{\text{Fat weight} \times 100}{\text{Sample weight}} \quad (3)$$

Ash content

Ash content was determined according the method of (A.O.A.C., 2005) [2]

$$\text{Ash \%} = \frac{(\text{Wt of crucible before ashing} + \text{S.wt}) - (\text{Wt of crucible after ashing} + \text{Ash}) \times 100}{\text{Sample weight}} \quad (4)$$

Fiber content

Crude fiber was measured using the (A.O.A.C., 2005) [2].

$$\text{Fiber \%} = \frac{\text{Loss of weight on ignition}}{\text{Weight of sample}} \times 100 \quad (5)$$

Mineral analysis

Potassium (k) mg/g; calcium (Ca) mg/g and iron (Fe) mg/g contents were analysed by calibration in a Gallen Ramp Flame Analyser and spectrophotometer. The analyses were done in the laboratory of food Technology, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, University of Gazira Wad madani, Sudan.

Potassium (K⁺)

$$\text{Extractable (mg/g)} = \frac{\text{K in the extract (mg/g)} \times 100}{5} \quad (6)$$

Where:

100 = total volume of extract (ml)

5 = weight of minced meat sample taken (g).

Calcium (Ca)

$$\text{Ca (mg/g)} = \frac{\text{AxNx1000}}{\text{V}} \quad (7)$$

Where:

A = EDTA used for titration (ml). N = EDTA normaly V = Vlume of extract titarated (ml)

Iron (Fe⁺)

10ml iron solution were transferred to the titration cell (spectrophotometer apparatus). 10 ml of the buffer solution of PH =4.0 were added, and 120 ml water were added too, tis was decreased the pH to 2.3. After that, titration cell was inserted into the spectrophotometer, the stirrer was immersed and the tip of the 5ml micro-burette (Graduated in 0.02 ml). In the solution. Then the tungsten lamp was switched on and the spectrophotometer was allowed to warm up for 20 minutes, the solution was stirred after that, 4 ml of standard Ethylene diaminetetra acetic acid (EDTA) was added, the wavelength was cent at 525 nm, and the slit width of the instrument was adjusted so the reading on the absorbance scale was 0.03 and 1.0 ml of the salicylic acid solution was added the absorbance immediately increased to very large value (>2). The string was continued. Ethylene diaminetetra acetic acid EDTA solution was added slowly from micro-burette until was absorbance approached 1.8 and the volume

of titrant was recorded. The Ethylene diaminetetra acetic acid EDTA solution was introduced in 0.05 ml aliquots and the absorbance was recorded after addition. The titration was continued untile four reading were taken beyond the end point (fairly content absorbance). The volume was plotted against the volume of titrant added; the intersection of two straight lines gave the true end point. The concentration of iron (mg/ml) was calculated in the solution and compared this with true value.

Statistical analysis

All data of the experiments had been statistically analyzed factorially (3×3×2) by analyses of variance applicable to randomized complete designs (Steel and Torrie, 1980) [19] using the computer program SPSS and Duncan's Multiple Range Tests were used to detect difference between means (Snedecor and Cochran, 1980) [18]. P value of ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results and Discussion

Table 1: Chemical Composition (%) of Meat according to Animal Species, Sex and Age

Animal Species		Parameter				
		Moisture	Crud protein	Fat	Ash	Fiber
Meat type	Sheep	71.95 ^c	22.11 ^a	4.24 ^a	0.55 ^c	0.20 ^c
	Beef	72.01 ^b	21.59 ^b	3.61 ^b	0.66 ^b	0.34 ^b
	Camel	72.13 ^a	21.55 ^b	3.24 ^c	0.84 ^a	0.36 ^a
	S.E	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
	Sig.	**	**	**	**	*
Animal sex	Male	72.14	21.62	3.21	0.69	0.39
	Female	71.93	21.87	3.50	0.68	0.21
	S.E	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
	Sig.	**	**	**	*	**
Animal Age	young	71.20 ^c	23.52 ^a	2.72 ^c	0.30 ^c	0.50 ^a
	Medium	72.87 ^a	22.84 ^b	4.23 ^a	0.95 ^a	0.41 ^b
	Old	72.03 ^b	18.88 ^c	3.42 ^b	0.81 ^b	0.20 ^c
	S.E	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
	Sig.	**	**	**	**	**

**= Significance different at P≤0.01

* = Significance different at P≤0.05

a, b, c = means the mean with different superscript in the same column are significantly different at.

Sig. = Significant level

SE = Stander Error.

Table (1) shows that moisture content values of different types of meat. The study showed highly significant differences ($p \leq 0.01$) among different treatments. According to the meat type the study recorded that camel meat, beef meat and sheep meat was found to be (72.13%, 72.01% and 71.95%) respectively. (On the other hand concerning to the sex the study showed that male group had recorded the highest value (72.14%) while female group scored the lowest value (71.93%). Age group (young, medium and old) had great effects in moisture content, in this study was found to be (71.20%, 72.87%, 72.03%) respectively. Protein content values of different type of meat shows in Table (1). The study showed highly significant differences ($p \leq 0.01$) among different treatments. Regarding to the meat types the study observed that sheep meat had recorded the highest value (22.11) of protein while, slightly varied values for beef and camel meat (21.59 and 21.55). On the other hand concerning to the sex the study showed that female group had recorded the highest value (21.87) of protein content while, male group

had the lowest value (21.62). According to the age group the study observed that young age group had showed the highest value (23.52) of protein content followed by the medium age group (22.84) while, the old age group recorded the lowest value (18.88). The fat content was highly significant different ($P \leq 0.01$) between treatment groups. According to the meat type, sheep meat recorded the highest value of fat present (4.24%) followed by beef meat (3.61%) and camel meat recorded the lowest value (3.24%). With regard to sex group female group recorded the highest value (3.50) of fat content compared to male group (3.21) of fat content. On the hand age group indicated that there was highly significant ($P < 0.01$) different among the different treatments for fat content, medium age of group was revealed highest value of fat content (4.23%) followed by old age group (3.42%) while, young age of group recorded the lowest value (2.72%). Ash content values of different types of meat shows in Table (1). The study showed highly significant differences ($p \leq 0.01$) among different treatments. According to the meat type the study recorded that camel meat had scored the highest value (0.84%) followed by beef meat (0.66%) while sheep meat had recorded the lowest value (0.55%). On the other hand

concerning to the sex the study showed no significant different ($P \geq 0.05$) among different treatments although female group had recorded the highest value (0.69%), while male group scored the lowest value (0.68%). Age group had great effects in ash content, in this study the medium age group had recorded the highest value of moisture content (0.95 %) followed by the old age group (0.81 %) while, the young age group recorded the lowest value (0.30%) of ash content. In Table (1). Shows fiber content values of different types of meat. The study showed significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) among different treatments. According to the meat type, the study recorded that camel meat had scored the highest value (0.36%) of fiber content followed by beef meat (0.34%), while sheep meat had recorded the lowest value (0.20%). Regarding to sex group, female animal tended to have the highest value (0.39%) of fiber content while male animal scored the lowest value (0.21%). On the other hand concerning to the age group the study observed highly significant differences ($p \leq 0.01$) among different treatments. Young age of group had showed the highest value of fiber content (0.50 %) followed by medium age group (0.41%) while, the old age group recorded the lowest value (0.20 %).

Table 2: Interaction of Chemical Composition (%) of Meat according to Animal Species, Sex, and Age

Meat type	Parameter					
	Moisture	C.P	Fat	Ash	Fiber	
Sheep	Male					
	Young	72.08	23.11	3.19	0.16	0.45
	Medium	72.92	23.13	3.87	0.73	0.36
	Old	71.15	19.58	2.55	0.79	0.00
	Female					
	Young	70.32	24.19	3.03	0.14	0.27
	Medium	73.18	23.73	3.07	0.65	0.16
	Old	72.04	18.89	2.27	0.84	0.00
	Beef	Male				
Young		71.29	23.17	3.13	0.29	0.85
Medium		72.74	22.75	4.47	1.01	0.78
Old		72.48	18.34	2.91	0.83	0.00
Female						
Young		71.10	23.44	3.47	0.28	0.27
Medium		72.76	22.93	3.06	0.89	0.16
Old		71.70	18.87	2.82	0.67	0.00
Camel		Male				
	Young	71.09	23.81	2.41	0.38	0.61
	Medium	73.06	21.96	3.12	1.19	0.52
	Old	72.41	18.71	2.66	0.84	0.00
	Female					
	Young	71.29	23.87	3.86	0.56	0.56
	Medium	72.5	22.53	3.91	1.25	0.47
	Old	72.36	18.88	3.11	0.85	0.00
	<i>S.E</i>	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.08
<i>Sig</i>	**	**	**	NS	N.S	

**= Significance different at $P \leq 0.01$

NS = not Significant difference at $P > 0.05$

^{a, b, c} = means the mean with different superscript in the same column are significantly different at.

Sig. = Significant level

SE = Stander Error.

Table (2) shows the average values interaction of chemical composition for different type of meat with different sex and age group. According to the moisture content the study showed high significant differences ($p < 0.01$) among different treatments, female sheep with medium age group (FSM) had scored the highest values (73.18%) followed by

(MCM) male camel medium age group (73.06%) while female beef with medium age group (FBM) had the less values of moisture content (72.76%). Regarded to interaction in protein content for different meat type with different sex and age group the study observed that protein content showed highly significant differences ($p < 0.01$) among different

treatments. Female sheep with young age group (FSY) and female beef with young age group (FBY) revealed the highest value of protein content (24.19 and 23.88%) followed by (MCY) male camel young age group (23.81%) while, male beef with old age group (MBO) and male camel with old age group (MCO) tended to have the lowest average value (18.34 and 18.88%) of protein content. The average values of fat content showed highly significant differences ($P < 0.01$) among different treatments. Male beef with medium age group (MBY) and male sheep with medium age group (MSM) revealed the highest value of fat content (4.47 and 3.87%) followed by (MCY) male camel young age group (4.41%) while, male sheep with old group (MSO) tended to have the lowest value (2.27%). Generally, the effects of meat type, sex and age on meat chemical composition observed through this study mainly on moisture, crude protein and fat content.

Table 3: Minerals content (mg/g) of Meat according to Animal Species, Sex and Age

Meat type		Parameter		
		Ca++	Fe+++	K+
Animal Species	Sheep	26.78 ^a	4.41 ^b	195.67 ^a
	Beef	26.61 ^b	4.54 ^a	183.50 ^b
	Camel	26.67 ^b	4.49 ^a	184.72 ^b
	SE	0.02	0.02	0.80
	Sig.	**	**	**
Animal Sex	Male	26.74	4.43	187.37
	Female	26.63	4.53	188.56
	SE	0.02	0.02	0.65
	Sig.	**	**	**
Animal Age	Young	39.83 ^a	2.46 ^c	184.00 ^b
	Medium	34.05 ^b	2.71 ^b	221.56 ^a
	Old	26.17 ^c	8.27 ^a	158.33 ^c
	SE	0.02	0.02	0.80
	Sig.	**	**	**

**= Significance different at $P \leq 0.01$

a, b, c = means the mean with different superscript in the same column are significantly different at.

Sig. = Significant level

SE = Stander Error.

Calcium (Ca) content values for different type of meat with different sex and age shows in Table (3). The study showed highly significant differences ($p \leq 0.001$) among different treatments. With regard to meat type the study recorded that sheep meat had scored the highest value (26.78 mg/ 100g), while beef and camel meat had recorded the lowest value (26.61 and 26,67 mg/ 100 g). On the other hand concerning to animal sex the study showed that the male group had recorded the highest value (26.74 mg/ 100 g), while female group scored the lowest value (26.63 mg/ 100 g). Age group had great effects in (Ca) content, in this study young age group showed the highest value of Calcium content (39.83 mg/ 100 g) followed by the medium age group (34.05 mg/ 100 g) while, the Old age group recorded the lowest value (26.17 mg/ 100 g). Iron (Fe+) content values for different type of meat shows in Table (3). The study showed highly significant differences ($p \leq 0.001$) among different treatments. According to the meat type the study recorded that beef and camel meat scored the highest value (4.54 and 4.49 mg/100 g), while Sheep meat had recorded the lowest value (4.40 mg/100 g) of (F+) content. On the other hand concerning to animal sex the study showed that female group had recorded the highest value (4.53 mg/100 g) while, male group scored

the lowest value (4.43 mg/ 100 g). Age group had great effects in Iron content, in this study old age group had showed the highest value of Iron content (8.27 mg/100 g) followed by the medium age group (2.71 mg/100 g) while, young age group recorded the lowest value (2.45 mg/100 g). Potassium (K+) content values for different type of meat shows in Table (3). The study showed highly significant differences ($p \leq 0.001$) among different treatments. Regarding to meat type the study recorded that sheep meat had scored the highest value (195.66 mg/ 100 g), while Camel and beef meat had recorded the lowest value (184.72 and 183.50 mg/ 100 g). Concerning to animal sex group the study showed that female group had recorded the highest value (188.55 mg/ 100 g), while male group scored the lowest value (187.37 mg/ 100 g). With regard to age group medium age group had recorded the highest value of Potassium content (221.56 mg/ 100 g) followed by the Young age group (184 mg/ 100 g) while, old age group recorded the lowest value (158.33 mg/ 100 g).

Table 4: Interaction of Minerals content (mg/g) of Meat according to Animal Species, Sex, and Age

Meat type		Parameter			
		Ca	Fe+	K+	
Sheep	Male				
	Young	39.33 ^a	2.36 ^c	190.33 ^b	
	Medium	36.33 ^b	2.73 ^c	236.66 ^a	
	Old	4.33 ^c	8.00 ^b	155.33 ^c	
	Female				
	Young	39.33 ^a	2.23 ^c	186.33 ^b	
	Medium	34.00	2.73 ^c	246.66 ^a	
	Old	7.33 ^c	8.36 ^a	158.66 ^c	
	Beef	Male			
		Young	38.00 ^b	2.50 ^c	183.66 ^b
Medium		37.33 ^b	2.73 ^c	214.66 ^a	
Old		7.00 ^c	8.33 ^a	156.66 ^c	
Female					
Young		41.33 ^a	2.56 ^c	180.66 ^b	
Medium		29.66	2.80 ^c	206.33 ^b	
Old		6.33 ^c	8.00 ^b	159.00 ^c	
Camel		Male			
		Small	40.00 ^a	2.40 ^c	178.66 ^b
	Medium	32.33 ^b	2.53 ^c	210.66 ^b	
	Old	6.00 ^c	8.26 ^a	159.66 ^c	
	Female				
	Young	41.00 ^a	2.66 ^c	184.33 ^b	
	Medium	34.66 ^b	2.73 ^c	214.33 ^a	
	Old	6.00 ^c	8.33 ^a	160.66 ^c	
	SE		0.4	0.05	1.94
	Sig.		**	**	**

**= Significance different at $P \leq 0.01$

a, b, c = means the mean with different superscript in the same column are significantly different at.

Sig. = Significant level

SE = Stander Error.

Table (4), showed the average values interaction of mineral content for different type of meat with different sex and age group. According to the Calcium (Ca) content the study showed high significant differences ($p < 0.01$) among different treatments, female beef with young age group (FBS), female camel with small age group (FCS), male camel with Young I age group (MCY), male sheep with Young age group (MSY) and female sheep with Young age group (FSY) tended to have the highest values (41.33, 43.00, 40.00, 39.33 and 39.33 mg/100 g) respectively followed by male beef with

old age group (MBO), male beef with medium age group (MBM) and male sheep with medium age group (MSM) 38, 37 and 36 mg/100 g of (Ca) content. Male sheep with old age group (MSO), male camel with old age group (MCO) and female beef with old age group (FBO) reported 4.33, 6.00 and 6.31 mg/100g of (Ca) content respectively. With respect to the Iron (Fe⁺) content the study showed high significant differences ($p < 0.01$) among different treatments, female sheep with old age group (FSO), male beef with old age group (MBO) and male camel with old age group (MCO) tended to have the highest values of (Fe⁺) content (8.36, 8.33 and 8.26 mg/100 g) respectively and followed by male sheep with old age group (MSO) and female beef with old age group (FBO) 8.00 and 8.00 mg/100 g of (Fe⁺) content. Female camel with small age group (FCS), male camel with small age group (MCS) and female sheep with old age group (FSO) scored 2.66, 2.40 and 2.23 mg/100 g of (Fe⁺) content respectively. With regard to the Potassium (K⁺) content the study showed high significant differences ($p < 0.01$) among different treatments, female sheep with medium age group (FSM), male sheep with medium age group (MSM), male beef with medium age group (MBM) and female camel with medium age group (FCM) tended to have the highest values of (K⁺) content (246.66, 236.66, 214.66 and 214.33 mg/100 g) respectively, followed by female beef with medium age group (FBM), male sheep with medium age group (MSM) and female sheep with Young 1 age group (FSY) 206.33, 190.33 and 186.34 mg/100 g of (K⁺) content. On the other hand male sheep with old age group (MSO), female sheep with old age group (FCO) and female beef with old age group (FBO) recorded 155.33, 158.66 and 159.00 mg/100 g of (K⁺) content respectively.

Conclusion

Concerning the effect of sex and age on chemical composition of sheep, cattle and camel meat, we can conclude the following, Camel meat reported the highest value of protein followed by beef and sheep meat, in general male sex group with high value protein than female sex group. Concerning the age, medium age with high value protein than others young and old age. Concerning the crude protein, sheep meat have the highest value followed by beef. Sex female group has the highest value protein than male sex group. Concerning age the young age group are with the highest value protein followed by medium age group. Sheep meat are with the highest value of fat followed by beef, concerning the the sex female had the highest value of fat than sex female group. With respect to the age group medium age with the highest value of fat followed by old age group. Concerning moisture content, female sheep with the medium age had the highest value of moisture followed by camel medium age group. With respect to minerals content sheep meat was tend to have the highest value of (Ca) compared to beef and camel meat but they with the lowest value of (Fe and k) than beef and camel meat. Female beef with young age, female camel with young age and male sheep with young age group tend to have the highest value of (Ca) content followed by male beef with old age group. As the results this study we recommended that, Young medium and old age of camel as meat animal possess lowest values of fat content with highest values of (Ca, Fe⁺ and K⁺). For need to protein sheep meat is better than others type of meat.

References

1. American Association of Cereal Chemists. Approved method of analysis. St. Paul (MN): American Association of Cereal Chemists; c1983.
2. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official methods of analysis. 14th ed. Washington (D.C.): Association of Official Analytical Chemists; c2005.
3. American Oil Chemists Society. Official Tentative Methods of Analysis. 3rd ed. Champaign (IL): American Oil Chemists Society; c1981.
4. Babiker SA, El Khider IA, Shafie SA. Chemical composition and quality attributes of goat meat and lamb. *Meat Science*. 1990;28(4):273-277.
5. William B, Bowen J. The absorption spectra and extinction coefficients of myoglobin. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*. 1949;179(1):235-245.
6. Cameron ND, Enser MB. Fatty acid composition of lipid in Longissimus dorsi muscle of Duroc and British Landrace pigs and its relationship with eating quality. *Meat science*. 1991;29(4):295-307.
7. Latorre MA, Lázaro R, Valencia DG, Medel P, Mateos GG. The effects of gender and slaughter weight on the growth performance, carcass traits, and meat quality characteristics of heavy pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*. 2004;82(2):526-533.
8. Faki HH, El-Dukheri I, Elrasheed M, Mekki IE, Peden D. Opportunities for increasing livestock water productivity in Sudan. *Proceedings of the Second International Forum on Water and Food*; 2008 10-14; Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. CGIAR Challenge Program on Water and Food. 2008;2:57-60.
9. Honkavaara M, Rintasalo E, Ylönen J, Pudas T. Meat quality and transport stress of cattle. *Deutsche Tierärztliche Wochenschrift*. 2003;110(3):125-128.
10. Lawrie RA. *Meat Science*. 5th ed. Oxford: Pergamon Press Ltd; c1991.
11. Manheem K, Adiamo O, Roobab U, Mohteshamuddin K, Hassan HM, Nirmal NP. A comparative study on changes in protein, lipid, and meat-quality attributes of camel meat, beef, and sheep meat (mutton) during refrigerated storage. *Animals*. 2023;13(5):904.
12. Ministry of Animal Resources and Fisheries (MARF). Statistical bulletin for animal resources annual report no. 17. Khartoum (Sudan): Ministry of Animal Resources and Fisheries; c2007.
13. Ministry of Animal Resources and Fisheries (MARF). Statistical bulletin for animal resources annual report no. 14. Khartoum (Sudan): Ministry of Animal Resources and Fisheries; c2005.
14. Niedziółka R, Pieniak-Lendzion K. Chemical composition of meat (m. adductor) and fatty acids IN intramuscular fat of goat kid and ram lambs. *Slovak Journal of Animal Science*. 2006;39(4):197-200.
15. Sen AR, Santra A, Karim SA. Carcass yield composition and meat quality attributes of sheep and goat under semiarid conditions. *Meat Science*. 2000;66(4):757-763.
16. Shija D, Mtenga LA, Kimambo AE, Laswi GH, Mushi DE. Preliminary evaluation of slaughter value and carcass composition of indigenous sheep and goats from traditional production systems in Tanzania. *Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*. 2013;26(1):143-150.

17. Si R, Na Q, Wu D, Wu X, Ming L, Ji R. Effects of age and muscle type on the chemical composition and quality characteristics of Bactrian camel (*Camelus bactrianus*) meat. *Foods*. 2022;11(7):1021.
18. Snedcor GW, Cochran WG. *Statistical methods*. Ames (IA): Iowa State University Press; c1980.
19. Steel RG, Torrie JH. *Principles and procedures of statistics*. 2nd ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company Inc.; c1980.
20. Williams OJ. *Capture and handling of camels destined for the abattoir*. Central Australian Camel Industry Association and Rural Industry Research and Development Corporation; c2002.