



# International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation.

## Cross-Cultural tobacco dependency treatment: A robust review of models for tailored interventions in diverse healthcare contexts

Oluwaseyi Inumidun Alli <sup>1\*</sup>, Samuel Ajibola Dada<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Trust, UK

<sup>2</sup> Alltymz Pharmacy Ltd, Port-Harcourt, Nigeria

\* Corresponding Author: **Oluwaseyi Inumidun Alli**

---

### Article Info

**ISSN (online):** 2582-7138

**Volume:** 04

**Issue:** 06

**November-December 2023**

**Received:** 09-11-2023

**Accepted:** 19-12-2023

**Page No:** 1102-1108

### Abstract

Tobacco dependency remains a significant global public health issue, with diverse cultural contexts presenting unique challenges and opportunities for effective treatment. This paper reviews existing models and interventions tailored to cross-cultural settings, emphasizing the importance of cultural competence in healthcare delivery. The analysis highlights key barriers to treatment, including cultural attitudes, language disparities, and economic inequities, while underscoring the success of approaches like motivational interviewing, community-based initiatives, and technology-driven interventions. Success stories from culturally distinct populations demonstrate the value of integrating traditional practices, community engagement, and linguistically relevant resources. However, limitations in scalability, digital equity, and integration into mainstream healthcare systems reveal significant gaps. Recommendations include prioritizing cultural assessments, enhancing provider training, leveraging technology, and conducting longitudinal research to refine intervention strategies. This review concludes with actionable steps to advance equitable, culturally sensitive tobacco dependency treatment, fostering better health outcomes for diverse populations globally.

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.54660/IJMRGE.2023.4.6.1102-1108>

**Keywords:** Tobacco dependency, cultural competence, cross-cultural healthcare, smoking cessation, tailored interventions, health equity

---

### 1. Introduction

Tobacco dependency remains a pressing global health concern, contributing substantially to preventable diseases and premature deaths. The World Health Organization (WHO) highlights tobacco use as a leading cause of non-communicable diseases such as cancer, cardiovascular conditions, and chronic respiratory illnesses (Organization, 2022) <sup>[26]</sup>. Beyond its direct impact on health, tobacco use incurs significant societal costs, including healthcare expenditures and lost productivity. Addressing tobacco dependency is, therefore, a critical priority for public health systems worldwide (Droogers *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[9]</sup>.

Efforts to mitigate tobacco use have historically relied on standardized treatment models, including pharmacological interventions and behavioral therapies. While these approaches have demonstrated efficacy in clinical settings, their applicability across diverse populations has been inconsistent (Williamson *et al.*, 2021) <sup>[39]</sup>. Factors such as cultural norms, socio-economic status, and personal beliefs influence how individuals perceive tobacco dependency and engage with treatment programs (Tate *et al.*, 2021) <sup>[34]</sup>. In multicultural societies, these variations become more pronounced, necessitating culturally adaptive strategies that resonate with the unique needs of individuals from different backgrounds (Ssewanyana *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[33]</sup>.

Cultural diversity shapes health behaviors and treatment outcomes in profound ways. For example, in some societies, tobacco use may be deeply rooted in cultural rituals or traditions, making it challenging to address through conventional cessation programs. In other cases, linguistic barriers or mistrust of healthcare systems can hinder access to treatment. Recognizing these dynamics is essential for designing effective interventions that promote cessation and foster trust and cooperation between

patients and healthcare providers (Atlas *et al.*, 2021)<sup>[2]</sup>. This paper aims to provide a comprehensive review of cross-cultural models for tobacco dependency treatment, focusing on their application in diverse healthcare contexts.

By examining the challenges associated with cultural diversity, analyzing successful models, and identifying gaps in current practices, this review aims to offer actionable recommendations for enhancing tobacco cessation outcomes. The ultimate goal is to contribute to a more inclusive and effective approach to tackling tobacco dependency globally, their well-being and performance.

## 2. Methodology

### 2.1 Overview of the Research Methods Used for This Review

The methodology for this paper is grounded in a systematic review of existing literature and evidence-based practices related to cross-cultural tobacco dependency treatment. A systematic review approach ensures the comprehensive identification, evaluation, and synthesis of relevant studies to provide a robust foundation for analysis. The review process included an extensive search of peer-reviewed journal articles, reports from reputable health organizations, and case studies focusing on culturally tailored interventions for tobacco cessation.

The research strategy involved using electronic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, and Web of Science, to identify studies published in the past two decades. Keywords such as "tobacco dependency treatment," "cross-cultural interventions," "cultural competence in healthcare," and "smoking cessation models" were combined with Boolean operators to ensure the retrieval of comprehensive and relevant results. Studies were screened for relevance based on their titles and abstracts, followed by a full-text review to assess their suitability for inclusion. This structured process minimized the risk of bias and ensured that only high-quality evidence informed the findings.

### 2.2 Criteria for Selecting Models and Interventions

Clearly defined inclusion and exclusion criteria guided the selection of models and interventions. Interventions included in this review had to demonstrate a focus on cultural adaptation or relevance to diverse populations. Specifically, studies that highlighted the following characteristics were prioritized:

- Integration of cultural beliefs, values, or practices into treatment strategies.
- Inclusion of populations from diverse ethnic, linguistic, or socio-economic backgrounds.
- Evidence of effectiveness in improving cessation rates or patient engagement.

Exclusion criteria were equally important to maintain the review's focus. Studies that lacked empirical data, those centered on general cessation strategies without cultural adaptations, and those limited to pharmacological interventions without behavioral components were excluded. This ensured that the analysis remained centered on cross-cultural dimensions and tailored approaches.

Both qualitative and quantitative studies were considered to enhance the depth of analysis. Qualitative research provided insights into patient experiences, cultural barriers, and engagement facilitators, while quantitative studies offered

measurable outcomes, such as cessation rates and treatment adherence. By integrating these perspectives, the review achieved a balanced understanding of the effectiveness and limitations of existing models.

### 2.3 Sources and Data Analysis Approach

The sources for this review included a mix of academic publications, organizational reports, and case studies. Peer-reviewed journals provided the primary evidence base, including rigorously evaluated interventions. Reports from international health organizations, such as the World Health Organization and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, offered supplementary data on global trends and policy frameworks. Case studies enriched the review by illustrating practical applications of cross-cultural models in real-world settings.

The data analysis process involved thematic synthesis and comparative evaluation. Initially, key themes were identified across the selected studies, such as the role of cultural competence, the effectiveness of community-based interventions, and the impact of linguistic accessibility on treatment outcomes. These themes were then compared across different models to identify patterns, strengths, and weaknesses. A comparative evaluation framework assessed how well each model addressed cultural diversity and achieved desired cessation outcomes.

To ensure reliability, the review process incorporated a double-screening method, where two independent reviewers evaluated the inclusion of studies and extracted relevant data. Any discrepancies were resolved through discussion and consensus, reducing the potential for subjective bias. A quality appraisal tool, such as the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) checklist, was used to assess the methodological rigor of the included studies.

The combination of systematic searching, rigorous criteria, and analytical synthesis ensured that this review provides a robust and evidence-based examination of cross-cultural tobacco dependency treatment models. This methodology also offers transparency and reproducibility, enabling future researchers to build upon the findings presented in this paper.

## 3. Cross-Cultural Challenges in Tobacco Dependency Treatment

### 3.1 Cultural Attitudes and Perceptions of Tobacco Use

Cultural attitudes and perceptions toward tobacco use play a significant role in shaping behaviors related to consumption and cessation. In many societies, tobacco use is not merely a personal habit but a practice intertwined with cultural, social, and even religious traditions (Adewusi, 2021)<sup>[1]</sup>. For instance, in some Indigenous communities, tobacco holds ceremonial significance, making its use deeply ingrained in social practices. In such contexts, interventions that frame tobacco use solely as a harmful behavior may be perceived as culturally insensitive, reducing their effectiveness (Rodríguez-Bolaños *et al.*, 2021)<sup>[28]</sup>.

Similarly, the perception of smoking as a symbol of social status or masculinity in certain cultures presents additional challenges. For example, in some developing regions, smoking is associated with financial success or adulthood, particularly among men (Wallace-Williams, Tiu Wright, & Dandis, 2023)<sup>[37]</sup>. Women, on the other hand, may experience different cultural pressures; in some societies, female smoking is stigmatized, leading to underreporting of tobacco use and subsequent gaps in treatment accessibility (Guliani,

Gamtessa, & Çule, 2019)<sup>[13]</sup>.

These cultural nuances underscore the need for interventions considering how individuals view tobacco within their cultural framework. Effective programs must balance promoting health and respecting cultural practices, leveraging culturally appropriate messaging to resonate with target populations.

### 3.2 Barriers to Treatment Adherence in Diverse Populations

Adherence to tobacco dependency treatment often varies across populations and is influenced by a myriad of cultural, economic, and structural barriers. Language differences are a common challenge in multicultural societies, as patients may struggle to understand treatment plans or communicate effectively with healthcare providers. This linguistic disconnect can lead to misunderstandings, reduced engagement, and poor adherence to cessation strategies (Martinez Leal *et al.*, 2021)<sup>[21]</sup>.

Economic barriers also disproportionately affect marginalized communities, limiting their access to tobacco cessation resources such as counseling, nicotine replacement therapies, or prescription medications. In low-income settings, the cost of treatment often competes with basic survival needs, making long-term adherence to cessation programs a lower priority (Thombs & Osborn, 2019)<sup>[35]</sup>.

Additionally, healthcare systems themselves can perpetuate disparities through biases or a lack of cultural competence (Marcelin, Siraj, Victor, Kotadia, & Maldonado, 2019)<sup>[19]</sup>. For example, healthcare providers may unintentionally project stereotypes or fail to address cultural concerns, causing patients to feel alienated or mistrustful. In such cases, patients may disengage from treatment, viewing it as irrelevant to their needs or circumstances (Webster, Taylor, Thomas, & Weller, 2022)<sup>[38]</sup>.

Social stigma surrounding tobacco use further compounds these barriers. In cultures where smoking is frowned upon, individuals may hesitate to seek help, fearing judgment from their community or healthcare providers (Mostaghim, 2019)<sup>[24]</sup>. Similarly, gender dynamics can affect treatment adherence; in patriarchal societies, women may face restrictions on seeking healthcare independently or discussing personal habits like smoking. Addressing these systemic and cultural barriers requires a holistic approach that prioritizes equity and inclusivity (A. Sharma, 2021)<sup>[30]</sup>.

### 3.3 Role of Cultural Competence in Healthcare Delivery

Cultural competence is a cornerstone of effective tobacco dependency treatment in diverse populations. It refers to the ability of healthcare providers to understand, respect, and integrate cultural factors into their practice, ensuring that services are both accessible and relevant to patients from various backgrounds. In tobacco cessation, cultural competence involves tailoring interventions to align with patients' beliefs, values, and social realities (Marchand *et al.*, 2019)<sup>[20]</sup>.

One critical aspect of cultural competence is communication. When necessary, healthcare providers must employ linguistically appropriate materials and interpreters to bridge language gaps. Visual aids, culturally adapted educational content, and the use of community health workers from similar cultural backgrounds can enhance understanding and trust (Jacob *et al.*, 2022)<sup>[15]</sup>.

Another dimension is recognizing and addressing cultural

differences in health beliefs. For example, some patients may rely on traditional remedies or spiritual practices to complement or replace conventional treatment. Rather than dismissing these practices, culturally competent providers work collaboratively with patients, integrating their cultural preferences into the treatment plan where possible (Barcan, 2020)<sup>[4]</sup>.

Cultural competence also involves building trust, particularly in communities with historical experiences of discrimination or neglect in healthcare systems. This requires healthcare organizations to invest in training their staff, fostering an environment of empathy, and demonstrating genuine respect for cultural diversity (Curtis *et al.*, 2019)<sup>[8]</sup>. Community engagement initiatives, such as partnering with cultural leaders or grassroots organizations, can strengthen the connection between healthcare systems and diverse populations (Medina, Cosby, & Grim, 2020)<sup>[22]</sup>.

The role of cultural competence extends beyond individual patient-provider interactions. It also involves systemic efforts to eliminate structural barriers and promote equitable access to care (Cogan, 2023)<sup>[7]</sup>. Policies subsidizing tobacco cessation programs for underserved populations or mandating cultural competence training for healthcare providers are examples of structural interventions supporting culturally inclusive care (Gordon *et al.*, 2020)<sup>[12]</sup>.

## 4. Review of Tailored Models and Interventions

### 4.1 Analysis of Existing Models

Several models and approaches have been developed to address tobacco dependency, with varying degrees of cultural adaptability. Among the most prominent is motivational interviewing, a patient-centered approach that encourages individuals to explore their motivations for change and resolve ambivalence about quitting tobacco use (Packer, 2022)<sup>[27]</sup>. This technique has proven effective across diverse populations due to its adaptability. Motivational interviewing enables healthcare providers to tailor their communication style and focus on cultural or individual values, making it a powerful tool in cross-cultural contexts (Beauvais, 2019)<sup>[6]</sup>. Community-based interventions also hold promise, particularly in culturally distinct or underserved populations. These interventions leverage local networks and cultural leaders to disseminate information, support, and promote cessation efforts (Dutta *et al.*, 2019)<sup>[10]</sup>. For example, faith-based organizations or community health workers can be instrumental in delivering tobacco cessation programs in ways that resonate with cultural norms. These approaches often succeed because they are rooted in the community's social fabric, creating a sense of trust and shared purpose (P. Sharma *et al.*, 2023)<sup>[31]</sup>.

Behavioral support groups are another effective model, offering individuals a safe space to share experiences and challenges related to quitting. When these groups are culturally specific—such as language-specific groups or sessions facilitated by culturally competent counselors—they can address unique cultural barriers and foster solidarity among participants (King & Said, 2019)<sup>[17]</sup>. Behavioral support is often combined with pharmacotherapy, such as nicotine replacement therapy or prescription medications, to enhance success rates (Hartmann-Boyce, Hong, Livingstone-Banks, Wheat, & Fanshawe, 2019)<sup>[14]</sup>.

Technological interventions, including mobile apps, text messaging services, and telehealth platforms, have emerged as valuable tools for delivering tailored tobacco cessation

support. These technologies allow for personalized communication and are especially useful in reaching younger populations or those in remote areas. However, their effectiveness hinges on cultural relevance; messages and materials must be designed to reflect the linguistic and cultural preferences of the target audience (Krishnan, Gu, & Abroms, 2021)<sup>[18]</sup>.

#### 4.2 Success Stories and Case Studies in Diverse Cultural Contexts

Real-world applications of tailored interventions provide valuable insights into their effectiveness. One notable example is a culturally adapted smoking cessation program in Native American communities (Santiago-Torres *et al.*, 2022)<sup>[29]</sup>. Recognizing the ceremonial significance of tobacco in these communities, the program focused on distinguishing between traditional and recreational tobacco use. By collaborating with tribal leaders and incorporating traditional practices into the cessation process, the program achieved significant engagement and positive outcomes (Venner, Hernandez-Vallant, Hirschak, & Herron, 2022)<sup>[36]</sup>.

Another success story comes from Southeast Asia, where a community-based approach integrated anti-smoking messages into existing cultural festivals. By aligning tobacco cessation campaigns with widely celebrated events, the initiative leveraged community participation to promote quitting. Including local health workers and culturally resonant messaging contributed to higher cessation rates among participants (Noon & Corwin, 2022)<sup>[25]</sup>.

In Western countries, programs targeting immigrant populations have also demonstrated the importance of cultural tailoring. For instance, smoking cessation programs for Arabic-speaking communities in Europe often employ bilingual counselors and culturally relevant materials. These efforts have successfully addressed language barriers and built trust, improving participation and outcomes (Jaworski, Green, Kennett, Mayol, & Rowe, 2022)<sup>[16]</sup>.

Mobile health interventions, such as text messaging programs for smoking cessation, have shown success in diverse populations when designed with cultural sensitivity. In one case, a text messaging program targeting African American smokers in urban settings incorporated culturally relevant messages about family health and community well-being. The culturally tailored approach increased engagement and improved quit rates compared to generic text-based interventions (Spears *et al.*, 2019)<sup>[32]</sup>.

#### 4.3 Limitations of Current Approaches and Areas for Improvement

Despite the progress made with tailored interventions, significant gaps and challenges remain. One key limitation is the lack of scalability in many culturally specific programs. Interventions designed for small, homogenous communities may not translate effectively to broader, more diverse populations. Scaling such programs requires additional resources, including cultural expertise, financial investment, and ongoing community engagement.

Another limitation is the inconsistent integration of cultural tailoring into mainstream healthcare systems. While many community-based or grassroots programs succeed in addressing cultural needs, healthcare providers in institutional settings often lack the training or resources to deliver culturally competent care. This disconnect can create disparities in access to tailored interventions, particularly for

marginalized or low-income populations (Fernandez & Corbie-Smith, 2021)<sup>[11]</sup>.

Language barriers and limited access to bilingual or bicultural providers are significant obstacles. Although some interventions employ interpreters or translated materials, these solutions may not fully address the complexity of cultural nuances (Beauchamp *et al.*, 2022)<sup>[5]</sup>. For example, direct translations of educational content may fail to capture culturally specific metaphors or beliefs about health and tobacco use.

Technological interventions, while promising, can also be limited by inequities in digital access. Low-income populations or older adults may lack the devices or internet connectivity needed to benefit from mobile health platforms (Mistry *et al.*, 2022)<sup>[23]</sup>. Moreover, some apps and telehealth services fail to address cultural considerations, relying instead on generic content that does not resonate with diverse users (Bailey & Nyabola, 2021)<sup>[3]</sup>. To overcome these limitations, there is a pressing need for greater investment in culturally competent training for healthcare providers, the development of scalable and adaptable intervention frameworks, and the integration of cultural tailoring into digital health solutions. Policymakers must also play a role by funding initiatives prioritizing equity in access and ensuring that underserved populations are not left behind.

### 5. Conclusion and Recommendations

#### 5.1 Summary of Key Findings

Tobacco dependency remains a global health challenge, with its impact varying widely across different cultural contexts. This review has underscored the significant role cultural factors play in shaping individuals' attitudes toward tobacco use and their engagement with cessation programs, which ultimately influences treatment outcomes. It has become clear that standardized approaches to tobacco cessation, though helpful in some contexts, often fail to address the unique needs of diverse populations. This results in disparities in effectiveness and suggests the need for culturally sensitive interventions to improve treatment outcomes for people from different cultural backgrounds.

The key findings highlight that culturally tailored interventions, such as motivational interviewing, community-based initiatives, and culturally specific support groups, are more effective than one-size-fits-all models. These approaches are better at fostering engagement, addressing cultural barriers, and improving cessation outcomes. Successful programs have demonstrated that incorporating cultural beliefs, utilizing community networks, and ensuring linguistic accessibility are essential to making interventions resonate with diverse populations. However, significant challenges remain, including the scalability of culturally specific models, integrating cultural tailoring into mainstream healthcare systems, and overcoming digital equity issues. These gaps must be addressed to ensure culturally tailored interventions have a broader and more lasting impact.

#### 5.2 Recommendations for Designing Culturally Sensitive Interventions

Designing culturally sensitive tobacco cessation interventions requires a nuanced, inclusive, and adaptable approach. It is essential to prioritize understanding the cultural contexts of target populations, ensuring that interventions align with their values, traditions, and lived

experiences. Healthcare providers and program designers must conduct thorough cultural assessments of the target population before implementing cessation interventions. This will allow for the development of programs that are specifically tailored to resonate with participants, addressing their cultural, linguistic, and socio-economic backgrounds. Such assessments can significantly enhance the relevance and engagement of the intervention.

In addition, community engagement plays a vital role in ensuring the success of tobacco cessation programs. By collaborating with community leaders, cultural influencers, and grassroots organizations, programs can gain the credibility and trust of local populations. These partnerships are crucial because they help align interventions with local norms, values, and practices. Furthermore, they foster a collective responsibility for health improvement, which is essential for the program's sustainability.

Linguistic accessibility is another critical factor in the success of culturally sensitive interventions. Beyond simply translating educational materials, these materials must be culturally adapted to reflect the target population's metaphors, idioms, and storytelling traditions. This ensures that the messaging is understood and resonates on a deeper level, making it more likely that individuals will engage with the intervention and adhere to its recommendations.

In populations where tobacco use is deeply intertwined with cultural or spiritual practices, interventions should respect and integrate these traditions. Instead of advocating for the complete cessation of tobacco use, which may be met with resistance in some communities, programs can focus on harm reduction strategies. For example, they can differentiate between ceremonial and recreational tobacco use, emphasizing the importance of reducing harmful consumption rather than eliminating tobacco use entirely.

Cultural competence training for healthcare providers is another essential component for the success of culturally sensitive interventions. Healthcare professionals must be trained in cultural awareness and how to communicate effectively across cultural boundaries, recognize their own biases, and adapt interventions to suit the cultural needs of diverse populations. This training helps build trust between healthcare providers and patients, which is critical for improving treatment adherence and overall outcomes. Finally, as technology continues to play an increasingly prominent role in healthcare, mobile health solutions and telehealth platforms should be designed to accommodate cultural diversity.

These technologies offer significant potential to reach underserved populations and should include culturally relevant content, multilingual options, and user-friendly interfaces that can accommodate varying literacy levels. This technological tailoring will help expand access to tobacco cessation programs and ensure that they are effective for a wider range of individuals.

### 5.3 Suggestions for Future Research

The rapidly evolving healthcare landscape presents new opportunities for advancing cross-cultural tobacco treatment. Future research should focus on several key areas to build on existing knowledge further and address the gaps identified in this review. One important area is the scalability of culturally tailored interventions. While many community-specific programs have demonstrated success, exploring how these models can be expanded to reach larger, more diverse

populations is necessary. Future research should investigate frameworks that allow for cultural specificity while also ensuring that interventions are broad enough to apply to various groups. This balance is crucial to making culturally tailored interventions widely accessible without sacrificing their effectiveness.

Digital equity is another area that warrants further exploration. As digital health solutions become more common, studies should examine marginalized communities' barriers to accessing these resources. Socio-economic factors, digital literacy, and infrastructure all play a role in determining how well these interventions are adopted. Research should focus on understanding these barriers and identifying ways to ensure that digital solutions are accessible to all, particularly in under-resourced populations.

Longitudinal studies are also needed to evaluate the long-term effectiveness of culturally tailored tobacco cessation interventions. While many interventions show immediate success, it is important to understand whether their effects are sustained over time. Research should explore how these programs help individuals maintain cessation and prevent relapse, offering insight into the durability of culturally sensitive approaches.

Additionally, research should examine the intersectionality of tobacco dependency, considering how factors such as gender, ethnicity, and socio-economic status interact in shaping tobacco use and treatment outcomes. By addressing these interconnected social determinants, researchers can develop more nuanced interventions that target specific vulnerabilities and provide more effective solutions. Policy-level interventions also deserve greater attention.

Future research should explore how health policies, funding models, and public health campaigns can promote culturally sensitive tobacco treatment. Investigating the role of policy in facilitating or hindering the implementation of such interventions is critical for ensuring that culturally tailored programs are integrated into healthcare systems and supported at the institutional level. Finally, the rapid advancement of technology opens new possibilities for tobacco cessation. Future research should explore innovative models that leverage artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and other emerging technologies. These technologies have the potential to create immersive, engaging, and personalized experiences for individuals seeking to quit tobacco, and could revolutionize the way culturally sensitive interventions are delivered.

### 6. References

1. Adewusi I. An investigation into contemporary tobacco smoking behaviour in Nigeria: the impact of cultural transference on consumer behaviour and usage intentions. 2021.
2. Atlas WI, Ban NC, Moore JW, Tuohy AM, Greening S, Reid AJ, *et al.* Indigenous systems of management for culturally and ecologically resilient Pacific salmon (*Oncorhynchus* spp.) fisheries. *BioScience*. 2021;71(2):186-204.
3. Bailey LE, Nyabola N. Digital Equity as an Enabling Platform for Equality and Inclusion. *Pathfinders for Peaceful, Just, and Inclusive Societies/NYU Center on International Cooperation*; c2021 Jun. Available from: <https://cic.nyu.edu/resources/digital-equity-as-an-enabling-platform-for-equality-and-inclusion>.
4. Barcan R. Complementary and alternative medicine:

- bodies, therapies, senses. Routledge; c2020.
5. Beauchamp ML, Amorim K, Wunderlich SN, Lai J, Scoriah J, Elsabbagh M. Barriers to access and utilization of healthcare services for minority-language speakers with neurodevelopmental disorders: A scoping review. *Front Psychiatry*. 2022;13:915999.
  6. Beauvais C. Motivational interviewing to improve treatment adherence. In: Elsevier, editor. 2020;86:535-7.
  7. Cogan MB. Cultural competency in health care. Johns Hopkins University; c2023.
  8. Curtis E, Jones R, Tipene-Leach D, Walker C, Loring B, Paine SJ, *et al*. Why cultural safety rather than cultural competency is required to achieve health equity: a literature review and recommended definition. *Int J Equity Health*. 2019;18:1-17.
  9. Droogers M, Jansen D, Lindert J, Saboga-Nunes L, Rudén M, Guichardon M, *et al*. Health-related Sustainable Development Goals: countdown on alcohol use, smoking prevalence, child overweight and suicide mortality. *Eur J Public Health*. 2020;30(Supplement\_1):i10-i13.
  10. Dutta MJ, Collins W, Sastry S, Dillard S, Anaele A, Kumar R, *et al*. A culture-centered community-grounded approach to disseminating health information among African Americans. *Health Commun*. 2019.
  11. Fernandez CS, Corbie-Smith G. Leading community based changes in the culture of health in the US: Experiences in developing the team and impacting the community. *BoD—Books on Demand*; c2021.
  12. Gordon EJ, Romo E, Amórtegui D, Rodas A, Anderson N, Uriarte J, *et al*. Implementing culturally competent transplant care and implications for reducing health disparities: a prospective qualitative study. *Health Expect*. 2020;23(6):1450-65.
  13. Guliani H, Gantessa S, Çule M. Factors affecting tobacco smoking in Ethiopia: evidence from the demographic and health surveys. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19:1-17.
  14. Hartmann-Boyce J, Hong B, Livingstone-Banks J, Wheat H, Fanshawe TR. Additional behavioural support as an adjunct to pharmacotherapy for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2019(6).
  15. Jacob SA, Palanisamy UD, Napier J, Verstegen D, Dhanoa A, Chong EYC. Health care needs of Deaf signers: The case for culturally competent health care providers. *Acad Med*. 2022;97(3):335-40.
  16. Jaworski A, Green B, Kennett K, Mayol A, Rowe R. Tobacco cessation experiences and needs: perspectives from Arabic-speaking communities. *J Ethn Subst Abuse*. 2022;21(3):1010-28.
  17. King D, Said G. Working with unaccompanied asylum-seeking young people: Cultural considerations and acceptability of a cognitive behavioural group approach. *Cogn Behav Ther*. 2019;12:e11.
  18. Krishnan N, Gu J, Abrams LC. Mobile phone-based messaging for tobacco cessation in low and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Addict Behav*. 2021;113:106676.
  19. Marcelin JR, Siraj DS, Victor R, Kotadia S, Maldonado YA. The impact of unconscious bias in healthcare: how to recognize and mitigate it. *J Infect Dis*. 2019;220(Supplement\_2):S62-73.
  20. Marchand K, Beaumont S, Westfall J, MacDonald S, Harrison S, Marsh DC, *et al*. Conceptualizing patient-centered care for substance use disorder treatment: findings from a systematic scoping review. *Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy*. 2019;14:1-15.
  21. Martinez Leal I, Taing M, Correa-Fernández V, Obasi EM, Kyburz B, Le K, *et al*. Addressing smoking cessation among women in substance use treatment: A qualitative approach to guiding tailored interventions. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(11):5764.
  22. Medina MA, Cosby G, Grim J. Community engagement through partnerships: Lessons learned from a decade of full-service community school implementation. In: Routledge, editor. *Reviewing the Success of Full-Service Community Schools in the US*. 2020. p. 128-46.
  23. Mistry SK, Shaw M, Raffan F, Johnson G, Perren K, Shoko S, *et al*. Inequity in access and delivery of virtual care interventions: a scoping review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022;19(15):9411.
  24. Mostaghim AH. *Why Everybody Can't Get Stoned? The Role of Gender and Ethnicity in Mediating the Differentiated Normalisation of Marijuana use*. University of Guelph; c2019.
  25. Noon S, Corwin AL. Protecting the next generation: cross-country learning on tobacco control policies for young people in New Zealand and Thailand. *Thammasat University*; c2022.
  26. World Health Organization (WHO). *Global oral health status report: towards universal health coverage for oral health by 2030*. World Health Organization; c2022.
  27. Packer CD. *The Patient-Centered Interview*. In: Springer, editor. *Excelling in the Clinic: A Concise Guide for Medical Students*. 2022. p. 57-82.
  28. Rodríguez-Bolaños R, Caballero M, Ponciano-Rodríguez G, González-Robledo LM, Cartujano-Barrera FM, Reynales-Shigematsu LM, *et al*. Gender-related beliefs and attitudes about tobacco use and smoking cessation in Mexico. *Health Psychol Behav Med*. 2021;9(1):547-66.
  29. Santiago-Torres M, Mull KE, Sullivan BM, Kwon DM, Nez Henderson P, Nelson LA, *et al*. Efficacy and utilization of smartphone applications for smoking cessation among American Indians and Alaska Natives: results from the iCanQuit trial. *Nicotine Tob Res*. 2022;24(4):544-54.
  30. Sharma A. *The influence of patriarchy on women's health*. 2021.
  31. Sharma P, Tranby B, Kamath C, Brockman T, Roche A, Hammond C, *et al*. *A Christian Faith-Based Facebook Intervention for Smoking Cessation in Rural Communities (FAITH-CORE): Protocol for a Community Participatory Development Study*. *JMIR Res Protoc*. 2023;12(1):e52398.
  32. Spears CA, Bell SA, Scarlett CA, Anderson NK, Cottrell-Daniels C, Lotfalian S, *et al*. Text messaging to enhance mindfulness-based smoking cessation treatment: program development through qualitative research. *JMIR mHealth uHealth*. 2019;7(1):e11246.
  33. Ssewanyana D, Mwangala PN, Marsh V, Jao I, Van Baar A, Newton CR, Abubakar A. Socio-ecological determinants of alcohol, tobacco, and drug use behavior of adolescents in Kilifi County at the Kenyan coast. *J Health Psychol*. 2020;25(12):1940-53.
  34. Tate C, Kumar R, Murray JM, Sanchez-Franco S, Montgomery SC, Montes F, *et al*. Socio-environmental and psychosocial predictors of smoking susceptibility

- among adolescents with contrasting socio-cultural characteristics: A comparative analysis. *BMC Public Health*. 2021;21(1):2240.
35. Thombs DL, Osborn CJ. Introduction to addictive behaviors. 2019.
  36. Venner KL, Hernandez-Vallant A, Hirschak KA, Herron JL. A scoping review of cultural adaptations of substance use disorder treatments across *Latinx communities*: Guidance for future research and practice. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2022;137:108716.
  37. Wallace-Williams DM, Tiu Wright L, Dandis AO. Social norms, cues and improved communication to influence behaviour change of smokers. *J Mark Commun*. 2023;29(3):288-313.
  38. Webster CS, Taylor S, Thomas C, Weller JM. Social bias, discrimination and inequity in healthcare: mechanisms, implications and recommendations. *BJA Educ*. 2022;22(4):131-7.
  39. Williamson TM, Moran C, McLennan A, Seidel S, Ma PP, Koerner M-L, Campbell TS. Promoting adherence to physical activity among individuals with cardiovascular disease using behavioral counseling: A theory and research-based primer for health care professionals. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*. 2021;64:41-54.