



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation

ISSN: 2582-7138

Received: 29-12-2020; Accepted: 23-01-2021

www.allmultidisciplinaryjournal.com

Volume 2; Issue 1; January-February 2021; Page No. 746-750

Innovative Material Reuse Strategies for Achieving Cost Efficiency in Large-Scale Energy Infrastructure Projects

Magnus Okechukwu Kanu ^{1*}, Wags Numoipiri Digitemie ², Ikiomoworio Nicholas Dienagha ³, Elemele Ogu ⁴, Peter Ifechukwude Egbumokei ⁵

¹ Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Ltd, Nigeria

² Shell Energy Nigeria PLC, Nigeria

³ Shell Petroleum Development Company, Lagos Nigeria, Nigeria

⁴ TotalEnergies Exploration & Production Nigeria Limited, Nigeria

⁵ Shell Nigeria Gas (SEN/ SNG), Nigeria

Corresponding Author: **Magnus Okechukwu Kanu**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54660/IJMRGE.2021.2.1.746-750>

Abstract

The transition toward sustainability in the energy sector necessitates innovative approaches to material management, particularly in large-scale infrastructure projects. This paper explores strategies for enhancing cost efficiency through the reuse of materials, emphasizing their economic and environmental benefits. It provides a theoretical framework grounded in sustainable material management principles and examines the challenges associated with inconsistent material quality, logistical complexities, and regulatory constraints. Opportunities for progress are highlighted, including emerging technologies like additive manufacturing, scalable strategies such as steel recycling, and cross-industry

collaborations that expand the scope of material reuse. Practical recommendations for stakeholders focus on integrating reuse into project design, investing in advanced technologies, fostering partnerships, and advocating for supportive policies. The paper also identifies future research directions, including the development of recyclable materials, scalable recovery technologies, and standardized reuse guidelines. The energy sector can harness material reuse to achieve cost efficiency and sustainability by addressing these issues, contributing to the global push for a circular economy.

Keywords: Material reuse, Energy infrastructure, Cost efficiency, Sustainable practices, Circular economy, Advanced recycling techniques

1. Introduction

The energy sector, a cornerstone of modern economies, relies heavily on large-scale infrastructure to generate, store, and distribute power. These projects, which include power plants, transmission lines, and storage facilities, are resource-intensive, often involving substantial material usage (Burns, 2019). With global concerns about resource depletion and environmental sustainability mounting, innovative material reuse strategies have emerged as a pivotal focus in the energy industry. Reusing materials mitigates the environmental impact associated with raw material extraction and aligns with circular economy principles, reducing waste and enhancing overall resource efficiency (Alstone, Gershenson, & Kammen, 2015).

Material reuse in the energy sector extends across a variety of applications. Examples include repurposing decommissioned wind turbine blades into construction materials, recycling photovoltaic modules, and refurbishing components from oil and gas pipelines (Jensen, Purnell, & Velenturf, 2020). These practices showcase the potential for significant environmental and economic benefits. However, despite such examples, the industry faces substantial challenges in scaling these practices due to logistical complexities, regulatory hurdles, and a lack of standardization in material reuse protocols (Woo, 2020).

Cost efficiency in large-scale energy infrastructure projects is paramount, particularly in an era where global energy demand is surging, and the push for renewable energy sources is intensifying. Reducing costs is not merely a financial imperative but also a critical enabler of widespread adoption of sustainable energy systems (Iweh, Gyamfi, Tanyi, & Effah-Donyina, 2021). Material reuse strategies offer a dual advantage in this context. First, they reduce the direct costs associated with procuring raw materials. Second, they minimize waste management and disposal expenses, which can be substantial for large-scale projects

(Han, Shen, & Bian, 2020). This paper seeks to explore the intersection of innovative material reuse and cost efficiency in the energy sector. It aims to highlight theoretical frameworks, analyze existing challenges and opportunities, and present forward-thinking approaches to material reuse. The ultimate goal is to provide actionable recommendations for enhancing material reuse practices, thereby contributing to the sustainable transformation of the energy infrastructure landscape.

2. Theoretical framework for material reuse

2.1 Principles of sustainable material management

Sustainable material management is a core concept underpinning the effective reuse of materials across various industries. It focuses on optimizing the lifecycle of resources to minimize waste, reduce environmental impacts, and maximize economic value (Ness & Xing, 2017). The framework emphasizes three primary principles: reducing material consumption, enhancing material efficiency, and promoting the reuse and recycling of resources wherever possible. These principles align closely with the broader objectives of a circular economy, where materials are continuously repurposed rather than discarded.

In the context of energy infrastructure, sustainable material management encompasses strategies for minimizing raw material use during project design and construction. This includes selecting durable materials, designing modular components for easy disassembly, and integrating end-of-life considerations into project planning. By adhering to these principles, energy projects can significantly reduce their ecological footprint while fostering long-term cost savings (Plati, 2019).

2.2 Relevance to energy infrastructure projects

Large-scale energy infrastructure projects involve substantial material consumption, such as wind farms, solar installations, and power plants. Traditional project development approaches often prioritize short-term efficiency over long-term sustainability, resulting in significant waste generation and resource depletion. Integrating sustainable material management principles into these projects can transform materials' utilization, creating a more resilient and sustainable energy sector (Kibert, 2016). For instance, the design phase of energy infrastructure can incorporate modular and adaptable components, allowing for easier reuse and refurbishment (Velenturf, 2021). Similarly, decommissioning plans can include strategies for recycling or repurposing materials such as steel, concrete, and specialized components like photovoltaic panels. These practices reduce dependency on virgin materials and enhance the overall lifecycle value of energy infrastructure (Mulvaney *et al*, 2021).

The relevance of material reuse extends beyond environmental considerations. It offers energy companies a competitive edge by reducing costs associated with raw material procurement and waste disposal. Furthermore, as governments and regulatory bodies increasingly mandate sustainable practices, companies adopting material reuse strategies can achieve compliance more efficiently while enhancing their public image (Naidoo & Gasparatos, 2018).

2.3 Economic and environmental benefits

The economic benefits of material reuse in energy infrastructure projects are both direct and indirect. Direct cost

savings arise from reduced reliance on raw materials, which are often subject to price volatility and supply chain disruptions. For example, repurposing decommissioned components from one project can lower material procurement costs for new projects. Additionally, waste management and disposal costs are significantly diminished when materials are reused rather than discarded (Ghisellini, Ripa, & Ulgiati, 2018).

Indirect economic benefits include the creation of new markets and industries around material recovery and repurposing. Companies specializing in recycling and refurbishing energy components can drive innovation and job creation, further contributing to economic growth. Moreover, as material reuse becomes a competitive advantage, companies adopting these practices can attract investment and enhance their market positioning (Nußholz, Rasmussen, & Milios, 2019).

From an environmental perspective, material reuse reduces the extraction of raw materials, which is often associated with habitat destruction, pollution, and high energy consumption. It also lowers greenhouse gas emissions linked to producing and transporting new materials. For example, recycling steel from decommissioned energy infrastructure saves significant amounts of energy compared to producing new steel from ore (Ekins *et al*, 2016). Another critical environmental benefit is waste reduction. Energy infrastructure projects, particularly those involving decommissioning or upgrades, generate substantial amounts of waste, much of which ends up in landfills. These projects can significantly reduce waste volumes by reusing materials, mitigating their impact on ecosystems and communities (Invernizzi *et al*, 2020).

3. Current challenges and opportunities

3.1 Barriers to effective material reuse

While material reuse offers substantial economic and environmental benefits, its adoption in the energy sector faces several challenges. One of the most significant barriers is the lack of standardized processes and guidelines for repurposing materials in large-scale infrastructure projects (Kumar, Sezersan, Garza-Reyes, Gonzalez, & Al-Shboul, 2019). Unlike raw materials, which often adhere to strict quality and performance standards, reused materials may vary in quality due to degradation, wear, or previous usage conditions. This inconsistency creates concerns about reliability and safety, particularly for infrastructure designed to operate under demanding conditions (Govindan & Hasanagic, 2018).

Another challenge lies in the logistical complexity of reusing, transporting, and processing materials. Decommissioning large-scale projects, such as wind farms or solar arrays, often involves the dismantling and sorting of substantial quantities of materials (Sarkis, Meade, & Talluri, 2017). Without efficient systems in place, these processes can become prohibitively expensive and time-consuming. Furthermore, many regions lack the infrastructure necessary to support large-scale recycling or refurbishing operations, creating additional bottlenecks (Van Der Aalst, La Rosa, & Santoro, 2016).

Economic barriers also play a role, particularly in cases where the cost of reusing materials exceeds that of procuring new ones. This is often influenced by factors such as labor-intensive recycling processes, transportation costs, and the need for specialized technologies to refurbish certain materials. These costs can deter energy companies from pursuing material reuse strategies, especially when faced

with tight project budgets or time constraints (Singhal, Tripathy, & Jena, 2020). Lastly, cultural and institutional inertia can hinder progress. The energy sector has traditionally relied on linear models of material consumption, where resources are used and discarded after their lifecycle ends. Shifting to a circular model requires a fundamental change in mindset and investment in new skills, technologies, and partnerships (Grafström & Aasma, 2021).

3.2 Opportunities for innovation in reuse strategies

Despite these challenges, the energy sector is ripe with opportunities to innovate in material reuse. Technological advancements are paving the way for more efficient and cost-effective reuse practices (Gu *et al.*, 2021). For example, advanced material identification systems using artificial intelligence can quickly and accurately assess the quality and usability of decommissioned components, streamlining the recycling process. Similarly, additive manufacturing, or 3D printing, offers the potential to transform reused materials into high-performance components with tailored properties (Ngo, Kashani, Imbalzano, Nguyen, & Hui, 2018).

Collaborative initiatives also present a promising avenue for innovation. Cross-industry partnerships can enable sharing expertise, resources, and infrastructure to facilitate material reuse. For instance, industries outside the energy sector, such as construction or manufacturing, can utilize materials like steel, concrete, and composites recovered from decommissioned energy projects. These collaborations expand the potential applications for reused materials and create new economic opportunities (Melander & Pazirandeh, 2019).

Designing infrastructure with end-of-life considerations in mind is another critical area of innovation. By adopting modular designs, energy projects can simplify the process of dismantling and reusing components. This approach enhances the lifecycle value of materials and reduces the environmental impact of decommissioning activities. Additionally, modularity can enable the easy replacement or upgrading of components, extending the overall lifespan of energy infrastructure (Mignacca, Locatelli, & Velenturf, 2020).

3.3 Regulatory and market influences

Regulatory frameworks play a crucial role in adopting material reuse strategies. In many regions, existing regulations do not adequately support or incentivize material reuse in the energy sector. For example, stringent waste disposal laws may prioritize safe handling over recycling, inadvertently discouraging the reuse of materials. Similarly, procurement policies often favor new materials due to their predictable quality and availability, limiting the market demand for reused alternatives (Condotta & Zatta, 2021).

However, this landscape is gradually evolving as governments and international organizations recognize the importance of sustainable practices. Policies promoting circular economy principles are beginning to take shape, offering incentives such as tax breaks or subsidies for companies that prioritize material reuse (Park & Tucker, 2017). In some cases, regulatory bodies have introduced requirements for decommissioning plans to include detailed strategies for material recovery and reuse, ensuring that sustainability is integrated into the entire lifecycle of energy projects (Invernizzi *et al.*, 2020).

Market dynamics also influence material reuse. As the

demand for sustainable energy solutions grows, companies that adopt innovative reuse practices can gain a competitive edge. Consumers and investors increasingly favor organizations that demonstrate environmental responsibility, creating strong market incentives for material reuse. Additionally, fluctuations in raw material prices and supply chain disruptions have highlighted the risks associated with reliance on virgin materials, further emphasizing the value of reuse strategies (Veleva & Bodkin, 2018).

4. Innovative approaches to material reuse

4.1 Emerging technologies and techniques

Advances in technology have significantly enhanced the potential for material reuse in the energy sector. Emerging technologies now allow for precise assessment, processing, and repurposing of materials from large-scale infrastructure projects. For instance, artificial intelligence-powered tools are being used to sort and evaluate materials during decommissioning. These tools can identify and classify materials based on their condition and suitability for reuse, reducing manual labor and improving efficiency (Krauklis, Karl, Gagani, & Jørgensen, 2021).

Innovative techniques such as laser ablation and chemical treatments have also gained traction for restoring materials to near-original conditions. Laser ablation, for example, is being used to clean and prepare metallic surfaces, making them suitable for reuse in energy infrastructure (Shen, 2015). Chemical treatments can recover valuable components from complex systems, such as rare earth elements from wind turbine magnets or silicon from photovoltaic modules. These processes preserve valuable resources and reduce the environmental footprint of manufacturing new components (Moradi, Hadesfandiari, Toosi, Kizhakkedathu, & Hatzikiriakos, 2016).

Additive manufacturing, commonly known as 3D printing, has emerged as a game-changer in material reuse. This technology can create custom components for energy infrastructure by repurposing decommissioned materials. For instance, recycled plastics or composites can be transformed into lightweight yet durable parts for use in renewable energy systems. The flexibility and precision offered by additive manufacturing make it a powerful tool for advancing material reuse in the energy sector (Verboeket & Krikke, 2019).

4.2 Examples of scalable and cost-effective strategies

Scalable and cost-effective strategies are essential for making material reuse a mainstream practice in energy projects. One notable example is the reuse of steel from decommissioned energy infrastructure. Steel is a highly recyclable material that retains its properties even after multiple recycling processes. Companies can significantly reduce costs and environmental impact by repurposing steel from old projects. This approach has been successfully implemented in several projects, including repurposing structural steel from decommissioned oil rigs for wind turbine foundations (Zhao *et al.*, 2021). Another scalable strategy involves refurbishing and reusing components such as transformers, switchgear, and cables from electrical systems. These components often have a lifespan that exceeds the operational life of the projects they were originally installed in. Companies can achieve substantial cost savings while maintaining performance standards by testing, repairing, and certifying these components for reuse (Palmer, Roberts, Hoadley, Dargaville, & Honnery, 2021).

Concrete recycling is another effective approach, particularly in large-scale projects. Crushed concrete from decommissioned infrastructure can be used as aggregate in new construction, reducing the need for virgin materials. This practice has been adopted in renewable energy projects, such as the construction of solar farms, where recycled concrete is used for foundations and access roads (Makul *et al*, 2021). Energy storage systems also present opportunities for material reuse. Batteries, a critical component of modern energy systems, can be refurbished and redeployed in less demanding applications, such as backup power systems. Companies have begun implementing programs to collect and refurbish used batteries, extending their lifecycle and reducing waste (Zhao *et al*, 2021).

4.3 Role of cross-industry collaborations

Cross-industry collaborations are instrumental in expanding the scope and impact of material reuse strategies. Partnerships between the energy sector and industries such as construction, manufacturing, and transportation can unlock new opportunities for repurposing materials. For example, materials recovered from decommissioned energy projects, such as composites and metals, can be used in the construction industry for bridges, buildings, or roadways.

Collaborations with waste management and recycling companies are also critical. These companies bring expertise in material recovery and processing, enabling the energy sector to implement efficient reuse practices. Joint ventures between energy firms and recycling companies have led to the development of specialized facilities for processing decommissioned components, such as turbines and solar panels, into high-quality reusable materials.

Universities and research institutions are pivotal in fostering innovation through collaborative research initiatives. These partnerships can explore advanced material science techniques, develop new recycling technologies, and establish best practices for material reuse. For instance, research into bio-based adhesives and coatings has the potential to simplify the recycling of composite materials, which are currently challenging to reuse due to the difficulty of separating their components.

Policy and regulatory support further enhance the effectiveness of cross-industry collaborations. Governments can incentivize partnerships by offering grants, tax breaks, or subsidies for projects that prioritize material reuse. By creating a supportive policy environment, these collaborations can scale more rapidly, driving widespread adoption of reuse practices.

5. Conclusion

The growing emphasis on sustainability in the energy sector underscores the necessity of innovative material reuse strategies. These approaches serve dual purposes by reducing environmental impact and offering economic benefits through minimized resource consumption and waste reduction. However, widespread adoption has been hindered by challenges such as material quality inconsistencies, logistical difficulties, and inadequate regulatory frameworks. Technological advancements and collaborative initiatives present promising opportunities to address these barriers, with advanced recycling methods and cross-industry partnerships leading the way toward a more sustainable energy future.

Material reuse is more than a cost-cutting measure; it is a

cornerstone of sustainable energy infrastructure. Technologies like additive manufacturing and chemical recovery have made significant strides in enabling the reuse of complex materials. Practical strategies such as refurbishing components and recycling structural steel demonstrate the feasibility and benefits of these methods. Collaborative efforts among industries and the alignment of regulatory policies with sustainability goals are critical to scaling these practices, ensuring they become integral to energy project development and management.

To advance material reuse, stakeholders must adopt a comprehensive approach. One of the primary steps involves integrating reuse considerations into project design phases. Modular and adaptable designs allow for easier recovery and repurposing of materials at the end of a project's lifecycle. Additionally, companies should invest in advanced technologies, including artificial intelligence for material assessment and recycling systems capable of handling complex materials efficiently. These investments will enable the sector to overcome technical and economic barriers to reuse.

Strengthening collaboration is essential to scaling material reuse. Partnerships between energy companies, recycling firms, and other industries such as construction and manufacturing can expand opportunities for repurposing materials. For example, materials recovered from energy projects could be utilized in building infrastructure or other industrial applications. Engaging policymakers to advocate for supportive policies, such as tax incentives or subsidies, can encourage adoption. Training the workforce in skills required for material recovery and assessment is another vital step to ensure the effective implementation of reuse practices. Future research should address existing challenges and uncover new opportunities for material reuse. Developing bio-based or easily recyclable materials can simplify the reuse process. Scalable technologies must also be prioritized for recovering valuable components from complex systems, such as photovoltaic modules or batteries. Life cycle assessments of reused materials are critical for understanding their environmental and economic impacts, providing a basis for informed decision-making and innovation.

Standardizing guidelines for material reuse in the energy sector would address concerns about quality and reliability, fostering stakeholder confidence. Exploring material reuse's social and economic dimensions, such as its potential for job creation and community benefits, could further strengthen its appeal. By combining strategic planning, technological innovation, and collaborative efforts, the energy sector can leverage material reuse to achieve sustainability and cost efficiency, paving the way for a more resilient future.

6. References

1. Alstone P, Gershenson D, Kammen DM. Decentralized energy systems for clean electricity access. *Nature Climate Change*. 2015;5(4):305–14.
2. Burns MG. *Managing energy security: an all-hazards approach to critical infrastructure*. Routledge; 2019.
3. Condotta M, Zatta E. Reuse of building elements in the architectural practice and the European regulatory context: inconsistencies and possible improvements. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2021; 318:128413.
4. Ekins P, Hughes N, Brigenzu S, Arden Clark C, Fischer-Kowalski M, Graedel T, *et al* Resource efficiency: potential and economic implications. *Journal Name*

- Placeholder. 2016.
5. Ghisellini P, Ripa M, Ulgiati S. Exploring environmental and economic costs and benefits of a circular economy approach to the construction and demolition sector: a literature review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2018; 178:618–43.
 6. Govindan K, Hasanagic M. A systematic review on drivers, barriers, and practices towards a circular economy: a supply chain perspective. *International Journal of Production Research*. 2018;56(1–2):278–311.
 7. Grafström J, Aasma S. Breaking circular economy barriers. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2021; 292:126002.
 8. Gu D, Shi X, Poprawe R, Bourell DL, Setchi R, Zhu J. Material-structure-performance integrated laser-metal additive manufacturing. *Science*. 2021;372(6545): eabg1487.
 9. Han X, Shen Y, Bian Y. Optimal recovery strategy of manufacturers: remanufacturing products or recycling materials? *Annals of Operations Research*. 2020; 290:463–89.
 10. Invernizzi DC, Locatelli G, Velenturf A, Love PE, Purnell P, Brookes NJ. Developing policies for the end-of-life of energy infrastructure: coming to terms with the challenges of decommissioning. *Energy Policy*. 2020; 144:111677.
 11. Iweh CD, Gyamfi S, Tanyi E, Effah-Donyina E. Distributed generation and renewable energy integration into the grid: prerequisites, push factors, practical options, issues, and merits. *Energies*. 2021;14(17):5375.
 12. Jensen PD, Purnell P, Velenturf AP. Highlighting the need to embed a circular economy in low carbon infrastructure decommissioning: the case of offshore wind. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*. 2020; 24:266–80.
 13. Kibert CJ. *Sustainable construction: green building design and delivery*. John Wiley & Sons; 2016.
 14. Krauklis AE, Karl CW, Gagani AI, Jørgensen JK. Composite material recycling technology—state-of-the-art and sustainable development for the 2020s. *Journal of Composites Science*. 2021;5(1):28.
 15. Kumar V, Sezersan I, Garza-Reyes JA, Gonzalez ED, Al-Shboul MdA. Circular economy in the manufacturing sector: benefits, opportunities, and barriers. *Management Decision*. 2019;57(4):1067–86.
 16. Makul N, Fediuk R, Amran M, Zeyad AM, de Azevedo ARG, Klyuev S, *et al* Capacity to develop recycled aggregate concrete in South East Asia. *Buildings*. 2021;11(6):234.
 17. Melander L, Pazirandeh A. Collaboration beyond the supply network for green innovation: insights from 11 cases. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*. 2019;24(4):509–23.
 18. Mignacca B, Locatelli G, Velenturf A. Modularisation as an enabler of the circular economy in energy infrastructure. *Energy Policy*. 2020; 139:111371.
 19. Moradi S, Hadjesfandiari N, Toosi SF, Kizhakkedathu JN, Hatzikiriakos SG. Effect of extreme wettability on platelet adhesion on metallic implants: from superhydrophilicity to superhydrophobicity. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*. 2016;8(27):17631–41.
 20. Mulvaney D, Richards RM, Bazilian MD, Hensley E, Clough G, Sridhar S. Progress towards a circular economy in materials to decarbonize electricity and mobility. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2021; 137:110604.
 21. Naidoo M, Gasparatos A. Corporate environmental sustainability in the retail sector: drivers, strategies, and performance measurement. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2018; 203:125–42.
 22. Ness DA, Xing K. Toward a resource-efficient built environment: a literature review and conceptual model. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*. 2017;21(3):572–92.
 23. Ngo TD, Kashani A, Imbalzano G, Nguyen KT, Hui D. Additive manufacturing (3D printing): a review of materials, methods, applications, and challenges. *Composites Part B: Engineering*. 2018; 143:172–96.
 24. Nußholz JL, Rasmussen FN, Milios L. Circular building materials: carbon-saving potential and the role of business model innovation and public policy. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*. 2019; 141:308–16.
 25. Palmer G, Roberts A, Hoadley A, Dargaville R, Honnery D. Life-cycle greenhouse gas emissions and net energy assessment of large-scale hydrogen production via electrolysis and solar PV. *Energy & Environmental Science*. 2021;14(10):5113–31.
 26. Park J, Tucker R. Overcoming barriers to the reuse of construction waste material in Australia: a review of the literature. *International Journal of Construction Management*. 2017;17(3):228–37.
 27. Plati C. Sustainability factors in pavement materials, design, and preservation strategies: a literature review. *Construction and Building Materials*. 2019; 211:539–55.
 28. Sarkis J, Meade L, Talluri S. *E-logistics and the natural environment*. In: *The Ecology of the New Economy*. Routledge; 2017. p. 35–51.
 29. Shen Y. Carbothermal synthesis of metal-functionalized nanostructures for energy and environmental applications. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*. 2015;3(25):13114–88.
 30. Singhal D, Tripathy S, Jena SK. Remanufacturing for the circular economy: study and evaluation of critical factors. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*. 2020; 156:104681.
 31. Van Der Aalst WM, La Rosa M, Santoro FM. *Business process management: don't forget to improve the process!* Springer. 2016; 58:1–6.
 32. Velenturf AP. A framework and baseline for the integration of a sustainable circular economy in offshore wind. *Energies*. 2021;14(17):5540.
 33. Veleva V, Bodkin G. Corporate-entrepreneur collaborations to advance a circular economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2018; 188:20–37.
 34. Verboeket V, Krikke H. Additive manufacturing: a game changer in supply chain design. *Logistics*. 2019;3(2):13.
 35. Woo SM. End-of-Life management of wind turbines, PV modules and lithium-ion batteries: current practices and closing the circular economy gap. Murdoch University; 2020.
 36. Zhao Y, Pohl O, Bhatt AI, Collis GE, Mahon PJ, Rütther T, *et al* A review on battery market trends, second-life reuse, and recycling. *Sustainable Chemistry*. 2021;2(1):167–205.