International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation.

The Role of Technology in Shaping Human Consciousness: A Philosophical Inquiry

Christine Ainebyoona [1*], Michael Adelani Adewusi [2]
[1] Department of Development Studies and Conflict Resolution, Kampala International University, Uganda
[2] School of Mathematics and Computing, Kampala International University, Uganda

* Corresponding Author: **Christine Ainebyoona**

Article Info

ISSN (online): 2582-7138
Volume: 05
Issue: 06
Novembar-Decembar 2024
Received: 10-09-2024
Accepted: 15-10-2024
Page No: 469-476

Abstract
This study explores the influence of technology on human consciousness by examining how technological advancements shape cognitive processes, identity formation, and social consciousness. The study analyzes key philosophical frameworks like the Extended Mind theory and transhumanism on how to understand technology to acts as both an enabler and a potential threat to human critical thinking. It seeks to address the transformation of identity in the digital age, in a society where virtual representations and algorithmic influence challenge traditional notions of human. Furthermore, the study looks into the impact of technology on social consciousness, which includes the creation of global networks of collective awareness and the risks of digital echo chambers and social polarization. The study concludes by reflecting on the future path of human consciousness in a world that is increasingly shaped by machines, posing fundamental questions about the preservation of human dignity, and free will in the face of technological progression.

Keywords: Consciousness, cognition, Transhumanism, Ethics of AI, Social consciousness

Introduction

In this rapidly evolving digital age, technology has infiltrated nearly every aspect of human life, influencing the way individuals act, think, and perceive the world around them (1; 2; 3). 4 further stated that from the advent of the printing to the rise and era of the internet, technology has been instrumental in shaping human consciousness, the expanding the boundaries of knowledge, and altering social interactions of humans. One major area of influence is the comprehension of consciousness itself, consciousness involves the capacity to comprehend one's surroundings and one's own identity (5). However, 6 explained that with the proliferation of artificial intelligence, machine learning, and augmented reality, the intersection of technology and human consciousness has raised several philosophical questions.

Philosophy has long grappled with the nature of human consciousness, one of the most complex and elusive phenomena [7]. According to [8] explaining that from Descartes' famous assertion to more contemporary debates in cognitive science, the understanding of consciousness has been central to exploring the human condition. However, traditionally, consciousness has been viewed as a purely internal process, shaped by sensory input, personal experiences, and reflection [9]. It is worth to note that as technology increasingly influences our experience of the world, a technology that as an external force that not only influences but potentially shapes human consciousness itself emerges [10].

The Underpinning Philosophy of the Study

This study adopts the extended mind framework to explore how technology serves as influencing memory, cognitive extension, problem-solving, and decision-making. The internet, for example, acts as an external repository of knowledge, allowing individuals to store and retrieve information without relying solely on internal memory [11] which has significant implications for how we understand human cognition and consciousness. The extended mind suggests that technological tools are not merely aids to human thought but integral components of the human cognitive process itself [12].

The Extended Mind Hypothesis, formulated by Andy Clark [13]. According to [14], the theory noted that the human mind is not confined to the brain or body but can extend into the external environment, particularly through the use of tools and technologies. Clark argue that cognitive processes can be distributed across external objects, such as notebooks, computers, and, more recently, smartphones and the internet. According to [15], the philosophical tradition of phenomenology, particularly as developed by Martin Heidegger and Maurice Merleau-Ponty in 1974, offers another critical framework for understanding the relationship between technology and consciousness. Phenomenology focuses on the lived experience of individuals and how they interact with the world around them [15, 6]. Heidegger's exploration of technology in the question concerning technology is particularly relevant to this study even as noted by [17]. Heidegger argued that technology is not neutral but fundamentally shapes the way humans perceive and engage with the world, a process he termed enframing [18, 17]. Technology, according to Heidegger, limits human beings to a calculative and instrumental view of the world, reducing all entities, including people, to resources to be managed and optimized [19, 18, 15, 17].

Therefore it is worth to mote that this study uses Heidegger's insights to explore how digital technologies, such as social media and artificial intelligence, frame human consciousness. It examines how technology mediates the human experience, not only altering perception but also shaping social interactions and self-identity. By engaging with phenomenology, the study delves into how individuals experience the world through the lens of technology and the implications this has for their sense of autonomy and reality [20, 21].

The study also draws on postmodernist theories of identity and reality, particularly those articulated by Jean Baudrillard and Michel Foucault in exploring the role of technology in shaping human consciousness [22]. According to [23], the idea that in a world dominated by media and technology, the distinction between the real and the virtual becomes blurred, providing a framework for analyzing how social media and virtual platforms shape self-perception and identity. [24], further stated that the modern society representations of the self and the world are increasingly detached from their original meaning, leading to a constructed reality that can overshadow authentic realities.

Furthermore, surveillance, and discipline are also relevant and particularly in the context of algorithmic governance and the way technology influences behavior through data collection and surveillance [25]. However, this raises important ethical questions about autonomy and agency, especially when individuals' consciousness and actions are shaped by unseen technological forces [26].

The philosophy of transhumanism, which envisions the enhancement of human capabilities and consciousness through the use of technology [27]. However, [28] argue that humans can transcend their biological limitations by achieving superintelligence with machines, and the potential immortality.

Technology's Impact on Identity and Self-Perception

In today's digital world, technology, through social media, it plays a significant role in shaping how people see themselves and form their identities. Self-perception is now heavily influenced by online interactions and the responses we receive in the form of likes, comments, and shares [29]. Platforms like Facebook, Instagram, and Twitter have changed how we create and share our identities [30] [29]. On these platforms, people often present polished versions of themselves, carefully choosing images, statuses, and posts to create a certain impression for others. This trend raises essential questions about what identity means in our digital age [30].

[24] Argued that in a world where media and digital simulations are everywhere, the line between what's real and what's virtual is becoming harder to distinguish. On social media, people often share idealized versions of themselves, building a digital identity that may not match their actual lives. This crafted identity creates a kind of hyper-reality, a situation where the online version of oneself can have more impact on how others see them, and even on how they see themselves, than their real-life experiences [24, 30]. Furthermore, [31] argued that identity is not fixed but is constantly being constructed through actions and choices but these choices increasingly occur in virtual spaces, where the boundaries between authentic and constructed selves are blurred.

Technology not only shapes individual cognition and identity but also has a profound impact on social consciousness, the collective awareness and shared understanding that societies develop over time [31]. Information and communication technologies enable global connectivity, technology influences how societies think, interact, and organize themselves [32]. It worth to note that one of the most profound ways technology has shaped social consciousness is through the process of globalization as positioned by [33]. However, the development of the internet and other communication technologies has led to the creation of a globally connected world where information can be shared instantaneously across vast distances [31]. This technological interconnectivity has facilitated the formation of collective consciousness, the shared beliefs, values, and norms that bind individuals together as a community [29].

Collective consciousness at this digital age extends beyond local or national boundaries to form a global consciousness [5]. Social media platforms like Twitter, Facebook, and Instagram serve as tools for disseminating information, shaping public opinion, and organizing collective action on a global scale [24]. Events that occur in one part of the world can be broadcast instantly to people in distant locations, creating a shared awareness of global issues such as climate change, political unrest, and humanitarian crises [31]. This real-time connectivity has given rise to global social movements, such as the #MeToo movement and the Fridays for Future climate protests, where individuals from different parts of the world unite under a common cause [34, 35].

In as much that technology has the potential to foster a global consciousness, it also raises questions about the nature of authenticity and manipulation in this collective awareness [24] [34, 35]. They argued that the public discourse is essential for the formation of a healthy collective consciousness. However, this discourse is often mediated by algorithms and corporate interests, which can shape and filter the information that people receive. Social media algorithms, for instance, prioritize content that is likely to generate engagement, often sensational or polarizing content over balanced or nuanced discussions [24, 34, 35] which can lead to the fragmentation of social consciousness, where different groups of people are exposed to radically different narratives about the same

events, leading to social polarization and division [36].

[24], posted that the global spread of information through technology can also create information overload, making it difficult for individuals to process and engage meaningfully with the issues at hand. [37] had discussed the idea of the industrialization of memory, where digital media overwhelm individuals with information, leading to a diminished capacity for critical thought and reflection which in turn, affects the ability of societies to form a coherent and unified social consciousness.

Technology has the potential to bring people together, it can also create feelings of alienation and social disconnection [38]. However [39] warned of the danger of Enframing, a way of viewing the world that reduces all things, including human beings, to mere resources to be optimized and controlled. [24] explained that technology affects social relationships by turning them into data points or transactional exchanges. Social media and digital communication have changed how we interact, while they make instant communication possible, these interactions often lack the depth and authenticity of face-to-face connections [40, 41]. added that technology can create a false sense of closeness, while actually isolating people; smartphones and social media enable constant connectivity but lead to shallow, fragmented interactions that lack the emotional depth found in real-life relationships.

The sense of isolation is further intensified by algorithms that personalize content, where people mainly see information that reinforces their existing beliefs [42]. This limits exposure to diverse viewpoints and reduces opportunities for meaningful conversations with those who think differently [43, 44] also points out that social interactions are increasingly measured by "likes," "shares," and "followers," which can turn relationships into transactions based on popularity or status. [45] Describes this as the commodification of social life, where interactions are driven by symbols and metrics, losing the authentic connection that genuine human experiences provide. Technology not only mediates but transforms the very nature of social consciousness, creating disconnection between individuals and the authentic social relationships that once formed the basis of community life [46].

According to [47] he explained that one of the most significant challenges that technology poses to social consciousness is the rise of digital echo chambers, virtual spaces where individuals are exposed primarily to information and viewpoints that align with their own beliefs. Echo chambers are created by the algorithms that power social media platforms, which prioritize content that is likely to engage users, often reinforcing existing opinions and biases [48, 47].

[49] Explored how these digital environments contribute to group polarization, where individuals within an echo chamber become more extreme in their views over time. As people are exposed only to information that confirms their beliefs, they become less open to alternative perspectives and more entrenched in their ideological positions [49]. This can lead to the fragmentation of social consciousness, where different groups of people inhabit vastly different realities, each with its own version of the truth [48, 47, 49. 50].

However, from a philosophical standpoint, this raises important questions about the nature of truth and objectivity in the digital age [50, 51], emphasizes the importance of rational dialogue in the formation of social consensus, is increasingly challenged by the rise of digital echo chambers, where dialogue is replaced by the reinforcement of existing beliefs.

Furthermore, [52] stated that the ethics of algorithmic curation also come into play where algorithms are designed to maximize engagement rather than promote a diversity of perspectives. This further raises the importance of ethical questions about the role of technology in shaping not only individual consciousness but also the collective consciousness of society [53].

Theoretical Framework

The integration of technology into daily life suggests that consciousness is no longer solely an internal experience with the assertion that the medium is the message points to the profound impact technology has on shaping not only communication but also thought processes [54]. [54], explained that media and technology are extensions of human faculties, whether it's the wheel as an extension of the foot, or the internet as an extension of the brain. Technology becomes intertwined with human consciousness, shaping perception and behavior in profound ways.

[55] explored the essence of technology and how it alters human existence. He argued that technology encompass our way of thinking, transforming the world into a standing reserve of resources ready for human use. He posted that technology is not neutral; it shapes our understanding of the world, limiting and structuring our consciousness in ways we may not fully comprehend. [13] noted that as digital technology advances, cognitive science has increasingly embraced the concept of extended cognition, which is the idea that cognitive processes are not limited to the brain but can also take place through external devices. In his explanation, technologies like the internet, smartphones, and artificial intelligence function as external memory banks, aids in decision-making, and tools for cognitive enhancement, which increasingly blur the line between human thought and machine processing.

[56] further, argue that with these technologies deeply embedded in daily human life, technology has become an integral part of human cognition rather than a separate tool at this digital technological age. He further stated that technology now plays a role in how we process information, form memories, and even feel emotions, raising philosophical questions about the boundaries of human consciousness and the extent to which technology might alter or expand those boundaries.

This merging of technology with human cognition marks a significant shift in how we think, remember, and process information [56, 57]. Digital tools have essentially become extensions of the human mind, allowing us to instantly store and retrieve information, tackle complex problems, and access vast networks of data. This expansion of cognitive capacity has profound implications for our understanding of human thought and consciousness [56, 57].

Consciousness, as a philosophical concept, has occupied a central role in the quest to understand the human mind [5]. Traditionally, consciousness has been viewed as an introspective and subjective phenomenon. The consciousness is central to identity, encapsulated in his proposition Cogito, ergo sum, I think, and therefore I am. This approach separates the thinking self from the material body, emphasizing the internal experience of consciousness as fundamental to human existence [58].

Consciousness is linked to memory and personal identity, where the ability to recall past experiences forms the basis of a continuous self and understanding of consciousness is

rooted in the self-reflective capacity of individuals, emphasizing that our sense of identity is tied to our conscious awareness of personal experiences [59]. However, in modern philosophy, consciousness is often explored in relation to its physical basis in the brain. Theories like neuro-philosophy, argue that consciousness arises from complex neural processes. These views anchor consciousness in the material functioning of the brain, bringing neuroscience into the dialogue [60].

Despite these differing perspectives, consciousness has been primarily understood as an internal phenomenon shaped by personal, sensory experiences and individual thought processes [61]. However, as technology increasingly mediates our interactions with the world, a new layer has emerged, where external technological systems act as extensions of human consciousness, influencing how we perceive, think, and interact with our environment [61].

One of the most significant ways technology extends human cognition is through the internet, which acts as an external memory and a vast repository of knowledge [62]. He argue that cognitive processes are not confined to the human brain but can extend into the external environment, particularly through the use of technology. According to this theory, the internet can be seen as an extension of the human mind, a collective external memory that stores knowledge and provides access to information on demand.

[62] Viewed human cognition is no longer limited to the individual's brain capacity but is enhanced by the ability to retrieve and process information from external sources. The act of searching for information online, for instance, can be likened to retrieving a memory from the mind. Furthermore, [62] and [5], viewed that the internet becomes a cognitive partner, enabling humans to think more expansively, solve problems more efficiently, and access information that would otherwise be beyond their individual cognitive capabilities.

Smartphones have become deeply embedded in the fabric of daily life, and their influence on human perception and consciousness is profound [63]. One of the most notable impacts of smartphones is the way they alter our perception of time and space [64, 63]. With a smartphone, individuals are constantly connected to a digital world that transcends physical boundaries [1]. Social interactions, work tasks, and personal activities are all mediated through these devices, blurring the lines between online and offline life and smartphones keep us constantly connected, they can also lead to feelings of isolation and disconnection in the physical world [65].

The constant flow of notifications, emails, and social media updates creates a state of perpetual distraction, making it difficult to focus on a single task for an extended period [65]. They further argues that modern technology fosters a state of distracted living. The constant urge to check notifications and respond to messages disrupts our ability to focus, leading to a more fragmented and shallow way of thinking. Smartphones have become so integral to our daily routines that they almost feel like extensions of ourselves [66]. Many people feel lost or disconnected without their smartphones, highlighting how deeply these devices impact our sense of identity. Beyond enhancing cognitive functions, smartphones are now shaping who we are and how we perceive ourselves in today's world [67].

The interaction between AI and human cognition raises important philosophical questions about the nature of thought and reasoning [68]. AI, through machine learning, can process vast amounts of data and identify patterns beyond human capacity [69]. A key ethical concern is the role of AI in decision-making, especially in areas like healthcare, where algorithms support diagnosis and treatment recommendations. Although AI can analyze data faster and more effectively than humans, relying too heavily on these systems could potentially weaken our own critical thinking and judgment [29].

Additionally, advanced AI models like OpenAI's GPT can generate content that closely mirrors human creativity [70]. This raises deep philosophical questions about creativity itself: Can machines truly "think" and create as humans do, or are they simply executing highly complex computations? [5] [70].

The Ethics of Technological Intervention in Consciousness

As technology shapes how we think, view the world, and connect with others, it is becoming more important to consider its ethical impact on human consciousness [71, 72] raises a key ethical concern: the possibility that technology could influence, or even manipulate, our thoughts and decisions. Tools like neuro-marketing, AI-driven behavioral tracking tool, and data mining are designed to affect human decision-making by analyzing and even influencing our preferences, desires, and behaviors [73].

Neuro-marketing, for instance, uses neuroscience insights to create advertisement that target the subconscious minds, bypassing rational thinking altogether [5, 73]. This raises serious concerns about the loss of personal autonomy, which, as [74] argue, is fundamental to human dignity and moral.

Social media algorithms further complicate these concerns, as they are designed to capture users' attention by selecting content likely to engage those [75]. These algorithms create feedback loops that reinforce addictive behaviors, often keeping people on these platforms longer than they intended [75, 76] argues that this raises ethical questions about the manipulative design of digital technologies, particularly as most users are unaware of how algorithmic systems shape their behavior.

Furthermore, [77] positioned that one of the most profound ethical debates surrounding technology and human consciousness centers on transhumanism, the belief that humanity can and should transcend its biological limitations through the use of technology. Transhumanists advocate for the enhancement of human cognition, consciousness, and even physical capabilities through technologies such as brain-computer interfaces, artificial intelligence, and genetic engineering [77]. This movement envisions a future where human beings can achieve superintelligence, extended lifespans, and even immortality by merging with machines [77, 78].

Philosophically, according to [79], transhumanism raises fundamental questions about the nature of human consciousness and what it means to be human. According to [80], they argue that the merging of human and machine intelligence is not only inevitable but desirable. [80], concept of the Singularity envisions a future in which artificial intelligence surpasses human intelligence, leading to the potential for super intelligent beings. According to [80], this transition would allow humans to overcome the limitations of biological consciousness, leading to a new era of post-human existence.

However, [81] critised the transhumanism, he raised concerns

about the ethical implications of enhancing or altering human consciousness through technology. [81] argues that transhumanism represents a threat to human dignity because it undermines the essential qualities that define humanity, such as autonomy, moral agency, and vulnerability. According to [82], the ethical concerns surrounding transhumanism also extend to issues of equity and access. If technologies that enhance human cognition and consciousness become available, there is a risk that only a privileged few will have access to them, leading to a further division between the enhanced and the unenhanced [2] which could create a new form of social inequality, where those who have access to cognitive enhancements are able to dominate those who do not.

Another concern is the potential for coercion as according to [83] is, as technologies like brain-computer interfaces and genetic modifications become more advanced, individuals may feel pressured to enhance themselves in order to keep up with societal demands for increased productivity, intelligence, or creativity. However, [84] explored the potential benefits of cognitive enhancement but also caution against the unintended consequences of such technologies. They argues that while enhancements could lead to positive outcomes, such as increased cognitive capacity and greater well-being, they also introduce new risks, including the potential for existential threats if super intelligent AI systems become uncontrollable or misaligned with human values. From a philosophical perspective, according to [79], the debate around transhumanism forces us to reconsider the boundaries of human consciousness.

Challenges and Critiques

Access to technology is a fundamental component of human capabilities and well-being [85]. He emphasize the importance of providing individuals with the resources and opportunities they need to achieve their full potential. If certain groups are systematically excluded from accessing technological tools, they may be denied the opportunity to participate fully in society, leading to inequality and marginalization [86].

According to [87], the digital divide not only affects access to information and communication technologies but also exacerbates existing social and economic inequalities and as technologies like artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and cognitive enhancement become more advanced, there is a risk that only the wealthiest and most privileged individuals will have access to these tools. This could create a new form of inequality, where those with access to enhanced cognitive abilities and digital resources are able to dominate those without, leading to a further concentration of power and privilege [88]. This further raises ethical questions about the distribution of technological benefits. If technology is shaping human consciousness and providing new opportunities for cognitive and social development as according to [89] on to ensure that these benefits are distributed equitably and what are the consequences if access to technology remains concentrated in the hands of a few.

While technology offers numerous possibilities for extending and enhancing human cognition, identity, and social consciousness and [29] have raised concerns about the potential dangers of technological dependence, the loss of human autonomy, and the erosion of critical thinking. However, as according to [90] it is the risk of technological dependence. He critics the technological determinism, the idea that technological progress is an unstoppable force that drives social and cultural change. According to [91], technology is not neutral; rather, it imposes its own logic and values on society, leading to the dehumanization of individuals and the loss of authentic human experience.

[91] raise an important point in the context of today's digital age, where people increasingly rely on devices like smartphones, computers, and AI systems to navigate daily life. This increasing reliance on technology raises serious concerns about losing personal autonomy [92, 93] argue that technology often reduces complex, meaningful activities to passive experiences. For instance, cooking, which once required skill, effort, and focus, has become a quick, transactional task with microwave ovens and fast food. Likewise, digital technologies tend to shift human relationships to virtual interactions, diminishing genuine connections. This shift sparks philosophical debates about the authenticity of relationships in the digital age [94].

As technology becomes more embedded in our lives, people are increasingly subject to surveillance and data collection, often without clear consent [95]. The rise of big data and predictive analytics has given corporations and governments unprecedented power to monitor and influence human behavior, creating ethical challenges in balancing progress with individual rights [96].

Drawing on the concept of the panopticon, [97] highlights the impact of digital surveillance. In this circular prison model, inmates are visible to a central watchtower but cannot see the guard, a metaphor for how modern societies use surveillance to exert control. Technologies like facial recognition, data mining, and algorithmic decision-making contribute to a "panoptic" society where individuals, aware of constant monitoring, adjust their behavior accordingly [97, 98].

This raises critical philosophical questions about freedom and autonomy. [99] ask whether people can truly be free if their thoughts, actions, and choices are continually monitored and influenced by external technologies. This highlights ethical concerns in societies that prioritize security and efficiency over privacy.

Conclusion

Technology's impact on human consciousness is complex, bringing both profound ethical questions and philosophical challenges. While technology has expanded cognitive abilities, shaped personal identity, and enhanced collective awareness, it also presents significant risks for humanity's future.

On one hand, technology offers incredible potential, enabling new ways to think, learn, and connect. Advances in AI, brain-computer interfaces, and digital platforms unlock vast possibilities for cognitive growth and social interaction. Yet, these innovations come with risks, such as manipulation, inequality, and a loss of personal autonomy.

Philosophers must carefully examine these developments, asking fundamental questions about consciousness, identity, and free will in a world increasingly influenced by machines. How do we preserve our humanity in an environment where technology affects every aspect of our lives, from how we think and perceive to how we interact with others? We have an ethical responsibility to ensure that technology enhances, rather than diminishes, the human experience.

As technology advances, these questions will only become more urgent. The challenge for philosophers, technologists, and society will be to navigate this evolving landscape responsibly, safeguarding the values that define what it

means to be human.

Conflict of interest

In accordance with Anfo Publicaton House & policy and my ethical obligation as a researcher, we are reporting that we have no financial obligations that may be affected by the study.

References

1. Paquienséguy F. Digital: The promise of the unlimited? Emerging Media. 2024;2(1):11-33.
2. Susser D, Roessler B, Nissenbaum H. Online manipulation: Hidden influences in a digital world. Geo. L. Tech. Rev. 2019;4:1.
3. Vogrin M. Why technological determinism is still alive, and why we should let it rest in peace. [Unpublished article].
4. Meyer ET, Schroeder R. Knowledge machines: Digital transformations of the sciences and humanities. Cambridge: MIT Press; 2023.
5. Adewusi MA, Adebanjo AW, Odekeye T, Kazibwe S. Rise of the machines: Exploring the emergence of machine consciousness. European Journal of Theoretical and Applied Sciences. 2024;2(4):563-573. doi: 10.59324/ejtas.2024.2(4).48.
6. Lin XF, Wang Z, Zhou W, Luo G, Hwang GJ, Zhou Y, *et al*. Technological support to foster students' artificial intelligence ethics: An augmented reality-based contextualized dilemma discussion approach. Computers & Education. 2023;201:104813.
7. Sun P, Zuo X. Evolution and history of research philosophy. Journal of Management Research (09725814). 2024;24(1).
8. Cottingham J. Descartes: Metaphysics and the philosophy of mind. In: The Renaissance and 17th Century Rationalism. Routledge; 2023. p. 201-234.
9. Smith DW. Structures of inner consciousness: Brentano onward. Inquiry. 2023;66(8):1420-1439.
10. Gao Q, Woods O, Kong L, Shee SY. Lived religion in a digital age: technology, affect and the pervasive space-times of 'new' religious praxis. Social & Cultural Geography. 2024;25(1):29-48.
11. Hamilton KA, Qi L. Search fluency mistaken for understanding: ease of information retrieval from the internet inflates internal knowledge confidence. Social Media + Society. 2023;9(3):20563051231195547.
12. Lombard M, Gärdenfors P. Causal cognition and theory of mind in evolutionary cognitive archaeology. Biological Theory. 2023;18(4):234-252.
13. Telakivi P. A roadmap from the extended mind to the extended conscious mind. In: Extending the Extended Mind: From Cognition to Consciousness. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2023. p. 1-31.
14. Clark A. Reasons, robots and the extended mind. Mind & Language. 2001;16(2):121-145.
15. Smith GE. Revisiting the phenomenology of Merleau-Ponty in the context of contemporary cognitive science. [Unpublished article].
16. Zovko J. Expanding hermeneutics to the world of technology. AI & Society. 2023;38(6):2243-2254.
17. Gertz N. Technology and the digital world. In: The Routledge Handbook of Political Phenomenology. Routledge; 2024. p. 460-468.
18. Van Manen M. Phenomenology of practice: Meaning-giving methods in phenomenological research and writing. Routledge; 2023.
19. Ataria Y, Tamir L. Why overcoming Heideggerian intellectualism should precede overcoming metaphysics. Human Studies. 2024;47(2):325-347.
20. Legge K. Snow crash ahead? An anthropological case study of the impacts of modern technologies and fully digital realities on "the self" [Master's thesis]. Cambridge: Harvard University; 2023.
21. Hambali RYA. Being in the digital world: A Heideggerian perspective. Jaqfi: Jurnal Aqidah dan Filsafat Islam. 2023;8(2):274-287.
22. Shapiro AN. Decoding digital culture with science fiction: Hyper-modernism, hyperreality, and posthumanism. transcript Verlag; 2024. p. 374.
23. Petersfield M. Virtual femininity: a photographic exploration of the idealised body in digital self-imaging practices [Doctoral dissertation]. Tasmania: University of Tasmania; 2024.
24. Freund L. Beyond the physical self: understanding the perversion of reality and the desire for digital transcendence via digital avatars in the context of Baudrillard's theory. AI & Society. 2024;1-17.
25. Pérez-Verdugo M, Barandiaran XE. Personal autonomy and (digital) technology: An enactive sensorimotor framework. Philosophy & Technology. 2023;36(4):84.
26. Ezeani CC, Nweke CC. Transhumanism and limits of enhancement. CACH Journal of Humanities and Cultural Studies. 2023;4:15-31.
27. Coch SE, Taylor A. Artificial intelligence and transhumanism: A double-edged utopian and dystopian discussion of human mortality and its relation to technology. [Unpublished article]; 2018.
28. George AS, Baskar T, Srikaanth PB. The erosion of cognitive skills in the technological age: How reliance on technology impacts critical thinking, problem-solving, and creativity. [Unpublished article]; 2024.
29. Ghaffari S. Discourses of celebrities on Instagram: digital femininity, self-representation and hate speech. In: Social Media Critical Discourse Studies. Routledge; 2023. p. 43-60.
30. Giddens A. Modernity and self-identity. In: Social Theory Re-Wired. Routledge; 2023. p. 477-484.
31. Bilan Y, Oliinyk O, Mishchuk H, Skare M. Impact of information and communications technology on the development and use of knowledge. Technological Forecasting and Social Change. 2023;191:122519.
32. Bosio E, Olssen M. Critical global citizenship: Foucault as a complexity thinker, social justice and the challenges of higher education in the era of neo-liberal globalization–A conversation with Mark Olssen. Citizenship Teaching & Learning. 2023;18(2):245-261.
33. Mortell M. From #metoo to #prolife: reviewing theories of social movement mobilization in the digital age [Doctoral dissertation]. Vancouver: University of British Columbia; 2023.
34. Rödder S, Pavenstädt CN. 'Unite behind the science!' Climate movements' use of scientific evidence in narratives on socio-ecological futures. Science and Public Policy. 2023;50(1):30-41.
35. Narlı N. Exploring the dynamics of political polarization and healing divisions. New Security Arrangements for the South Caucasus?. 2024;40:389.
36. Zhu F. The intelligence of player habits and reflexivity

in Magic: The Gathering Arena limited draft. Angelaki. 2023;28(3):38-55.
37. Lobato RM, García-Coll J, Moyano M. Disconnected out of passion: relationship between social alienation and obsessive passion. Journal of Interpersonal Violence. 2023;38(1-2):1950-1969.
38. Akdoğan S. On the way to "ecological circumspection": A revaluation of the Heideggerian conception of technology [Master's thesis]. Ankara: Middle East Technical University; 2023.
39. Silard A, Watson-Manheim MB, Lopes NJ. The influence of text-based technology-mediated communication on the connection quality of workplace relationships: The mediating role of emotional labor. Review of Managerial Science. 2023;17(6):2035-2053.
40. Alessa M, Al-Harby N. Lane Moore's How to Be Alone: Deconstruction of contemporary identity. AWEJ for Translation & Literary Studies. 2023;7(4).
41. Ognibene D, Wilkens R, Taibi D, Hernández-Leo D, Kruschwitz U, Donabauer G, *et al*. Challenging social media threats using collective well-being-aware recommendation algorithms and an educational virtual companion. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence. 2023;5:654930.
42. Whiteside AL, Dikkers AG, Swan K, editors. Social presence in online learning: Multiple perspectives on practice and research. Taylor & Francis; 2023.
43. Liu Y. Digital work, emotion commodification, and moral legitimacy: Transnational bloggers on Chinese social media platforms. Youth and Globalization. 2024;5(2):271-289.
44. Firdaus A. Consumerist culture and morality in Don DeLillo's White Noise: A modernist analysis through Jean Baudrillard's theory. International Journal of Academic Research for Humanities. 2024;4(3):168-176.
45. Arda B. Aesthetic approach for critical sociology of contemporary communication technology. Critical Sociology. 2024;50(4-5):643-656.
46. Pasca S. Enhancing awareness of filter bubbles and echo chambers: The role of information consumption patterns and political orientation [Bachelor's thesis]. Enschede: University of Twente; 2023.
47. Lyn CA. The case of echo chambers: Examining the effect of social media echo chamber policy on user engagement [Master's thesis]. Ames: Iowa State University; 2024.
48. Turner C. Online echo chambers, online epistemic bubbles, and open-mindedness. Episteme. 2023;1-26.
49. Canella G. Journalistic power: Constructing the "truth" and the economics of objectivity. Journalism Practice. 2023;17(2):209-225.
50. Habermas J. A new structural transformation of the public sphere and deliberative politics. John Wiley & Sons; 2023.
51. Scalvini M. Making sense of responsibility: A semio-ethic perspective on TikTok's algorithmic pluralism. Social Media and Society. 2023;9(2):20563051231180625.
52. Martinez CAS, Montoya OLQ. The ethics of algorithms from the perspective of the cultural history of consciousness: first look. AI and Society. 2023;38(2):763-775.
53. Brehm B. Kaleidophonic modernity: Transatlantic sound, technology, and literature. New York: Fordham University Press; 2023.
54. Blok V. The ontology of technology beyond anthropocentrism and determinism: The role of technologies in the constitution of the (post) anthropocene world. Foundations of Science. 2023;28(3):987-1005.
55. Alimam H, Mazzuto G, Tozzi N, Ciarapica FE, Bevilacqua M. The resurrection of digital triplet: A cognitive pillar of human-machine integration at the dawn of industry 5.0. Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences. 2023:101846.
56. Engelbart DC. Augmenting human intellect: A conceptual framework. In: Augmented education in the global age. London: Routledge; 2023. p. 13-29.
57. Descartes R. The geometry of Rene Descartes: With a facsimile of the first edition. Mineola: Courier Corporation; 2012.
58. Orianne JF, Eustache F. Collective memory: between individual systems of consciousness and social systems. Frontiers in Psychology. 2023;14:1238272.
59. McLaughlin BP, Cohen J, editors. Contemporary debates in philosophy of mind. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons; 2023.
60. Galus WL. Different aspects of consciousness explained by distinct biophysical processes. Journal of Theoretical and Philosophical Psychology. 2023.
61. Călinescu A. The impact of digital technologies on memory and memory studies. Journal of Contemporary Philosophical and Anthropological Studies. 2024;2(1).
62. Schiller D. How to think about information. Urbana: University of Illinois Press; 2024.
63. Oliveira FAFD, Barroco SMS. Technological revolution and smartphone: Considerations about the constitution of the contemporary subject. Psicologia em Estudo. 2023;28.
64. Lavoie R, Zheng Y. Smartphone use, flow and wellbeing: A case of Jekyll and Hyde. Computers in Human Behavior. 2023;138:107442.
65. Vanden Abeele MM, Vandebosch H, Koster EH, De Leyn T, Van Gaeveren K, de Segovia Vicente D, *et al*. Why, how, when, and for whom does digital disconnection work? A process-based framework of digital disconnection. Communication Theory. 2024;34(1):3-17.
66. Lin Y, Liu Q, Qi D, Zhang J, Ding Z. Smartphone embodiment: The effect of smartphone use on body representation. Current Psychology. 2023;42(30):26356-26374.
67. Conroy D, Chadwick D, Fullwood C, Lloyd J. "You have to know how to live with it without getting to the addiction part": British young adult experiences of smartphone overreliance and disconnectivity. Psychology of Popular Media. 2023;12(4):471.
68. Kauffman SA, Roli A. What is consciousness? Artificial intelligence, real intelligence, quantum mind and qualia. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society. 2023;139(4):530-538.
69. Shanmugasundaram M, Tamilarasu A. The impact of digital technology, social media, and artificial intelligence on cognitive functions: a review. Frontiers in Cognition. 2023;2:1203077.
70. Gotca R. Computational literature–creation under the auspices of AI and GPT models. DIALOGICA Revistă de studii culturale și literatură. 2023;(1):28-37.

71. Greenwood M, Wolfram Cox J. Seduced by technology? How moral agency is mediated by the invisibility of everyday technologies. Organization Studies. 2023;44(4):523-543.
72. Ienca M. On artificial intelligence and manipulation. Topoi. 2023;42(3):833-842.
73. Dutta A. Neuro-marketing and consumer behavior: Exploring the use of neuroscience techniques to understand how consumers make decisions and respond to marketing stimuli. EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies. 2023;10(8):29-38.
74. Sandua D. Neuromarketing: The art of seducing the consumer. 2024.
75. Korkh OM, Khmil VV. Kant's philosophy and the idea of the self-made-man. Anthropological Measurements of Philosophical Research. 2024;(25):124-132.
76. Ye H, Li R. Analyzing the impact of innovative features in new social networks on user engagement and content sharing dynamics. International Journal of Education and Humanities. 2024;13(3):227-232.
77. Faraoni S. Persuasive technology and computational manipulation: hypernudging out of mental self-determination. Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence. 2023;6:1216340.
78. Zou Y. Genetic enhancement from the perspective of transhumanism: exploring a new paradigm of transhuman evolution. Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy. 2024;1-16.
79. Villegas S. The desire to upload: a theological analysis of transhumanist advocacy for life-extension and immortality [doctoral dissertation]. Boston: Boston University; 2023.
80. Grant AS. Will human potential carry us beyond human? A humanistic inquiry into transhumanism. Journal of Humanistic Psychology. 2023;63(1):36-50.
81. Diéguez A, García-Barranquero P. The singularity, superintelligent machines, and mind uploading: The technological future? In: Ethics of artificial intelligence. Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland; 2024. p. 237-55.
82. Radun V. Ethical and societal implications of transhumanism and technologies of the fourth industrial revolution. Social Informatics Journal. 2023;2(2):29-35.
83. Thomas A. The politics and ethics of transhumanism: exploring implications for the future in advanced capitalism [doctoral dissertation]. London: University of East London; 2023.
84. Bolden R, Gosling J, Hawkins B. Exploring leadership: Individual, organizational, and societal perspectives. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2023.
85. Wasserman JA, Crutchfield P, Brummett A. Should we change?: The ethics of human enhancement. In: The Routledge international handbook of changes in human perceptions and behaviors. London: Routledge; 2024. p. 455-71.
86. Fei W. The influence of Karl Marx's notion of justice on Martha Nussbaum's capabilities approach. Educational Philosophy and Theory. 2023;55(8):973-82.
87. Higgins N, Ferri D, Donnellan K. Enhancing access to digital culture for vulnerable groups: the role of public authorities in breaking down barriers. International Journal for the Semiotics of Law-Revue Internationale de Sémiotique Juridique. 2023;36(5):2087-114.
88. Acilar A, Sæbø Ø. Towards understanding the gender digital divide: A systematic literature review. Global Knowledge, Memory and Communication. 2023;72(3):233-49.
89. Fitzgerald KJ. Recognizing race and ethnicity: Power, privilege, and inequality. London: Routledge; 2023.
90. Benvenuti M, Wright M, Naslund J, Miers AC. How technology use is changing adolescents' behaviors and their social, physical, and cognitive development. Current Psychology. 2023;42(19):16466-9.
91. Rothenberg D. Hand's end: Technology and the limits of nature. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2023.
92. Lindebaum D, Moser C, Ashraf M, Glaser VL. Reading the technological society to understand the mechanization of values and its ontological consequences. Academy of Management Review. 2023;48(3):575-92.
93. Cappuccio ML, Galliott JC, Eyssel F, Lanteri A. Autonomous systems and technology resistance: new tools for monitoring acceptance, trust, and tolerance. International Journal of Social Robotics. 2024;16(6):1-25.
94. Audy Martínek P, Caliandro A, Denegri-Knott J. Digital practices tracing: studying consumer lurking in digital environments. Journal of Marketing Management. 2023;39(3-4):244-74.
95. Grover V, Lyytinen K. The pursuit of innovative theory in the digital age. Journal of Information Technology. 2023;38(1):45-59.
96. O'Hagan J, Saeghe P, Gugenheimer J, Medeiros D, Marky K, Khamis M, *et al*. Privacy-enhancing technology and everyday augmented reality: Understanding bystanders' varying needs for awareness and consent. Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies. 2023;6(4):1-35.
97. Igwama GT, Olaboye JA, Maha CC, Ajegbile MD, Abdul S. Big data analytics for epidemic forecasting: policy frameworks and technical approaches. International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences. 2024;6(7):1449-60.
98. Singh S. Digital surveillance and valuation in datafied societies. In: The Routledge international handbook of valuation and society. London: Routledge; 2024. p. 257-66.
99. Özuz Dağdelen E, Poyraz T. Big data, digitization and new surveillance practices: new decision-making culture and panoptic classification. Journal of Faculty of Letters/Edebiyat Fakultesi Dergisi. 2023;40(2).
100. Talaifar S, Lowery BS. Freedom and constraint in digital environments: implications for the self. Perspectives on Psychological Science. 2023;18(3):544-75.
101. Gordon C. Reading literature in/against the digital age: Shallow assumptions, deep problems, expectant pedagogies. Convergence. 2023;29(1):28-46.