International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation.

Implementing Circular Economy Principles in Oil and Gas: Addressing Waste Management and Resource Reuse for Sustainable Operations

Wags Numoipiri Digitemie [1*], Fidelis Othuke Onyeke [2], Musa Adekunle Adewoyin [3], Ikiomoworio Nicholas Dienagha [4]
[1] Shell Energy Nigeria PLC
[2] Aradel Holdings Plc (Refinery), Port Harcourt, Nigeria
[3] Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria
[4] Shell Petroleum Development Company, Lagos Nigeria

* Corresponding Author: **Wags Numoipiri Digitemie**

Article Info

ISSN (online): 2582-7138
Volume: 06
Issue: 01
January-February 2025
Received: 05-11-2024
Accepted: 07-12-2024
Page No: 99-104

Abstract
The oil and gas sector faces increasing environmental and economic challenges due to resource depletion, waste generation, and societal demands for sustainability. This paper explores the implementation of circular economy (CE) principles in the industry, emphasizing waste management, resource reuse, and sustainable practices. Key insights highlight the potential for optimizing waste streams, such as produced water and spent catalysts, through recovery and recycling techniques, and the integration of renewable energy to enhance sustainability. Challenges include technical, economic, and regulatory barriers and the need for industry-wide cultural shifts. Recommendations focus on fostering collaboration among operators, policymakers, and researchers, developing innovative technologies, and adopting supportive regulations to accelerate the transition to circular models. The findings underscore the transformative potential of CE principles in driving sustainable operations while addressing global environmental concerns.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54660/.IJMRGE.2025.6.1.99-104

Keywords: Circular economy, Waste management, Resource reuse, Sustainability, Oil and gas, Renewable energy integration

1. Introduction

The oil and gas industry has long been a cornerstone of global energy production and economic development. However, it also significantly contributes to environmental degradation, primarily through greenhouse gas emissions, habitat disruption, and extensive waste generation (Ringga *et al.*, 2025) [37]. The environmental challenges associated with this sector are pressing, particularly in the context of climate change, resource depletion, and growing societal expectations for sustainability (Miao, Bukhari, Bukhari, Ahmad, & Hayat, 2025) [28]. These challenges necessitate a paradigm shift in how resources are utilized, processes are managed, and waste is handled.

Sustainability in the oil and gas sector is no longer optional; it has become imperative for long-term operational viability and environmental stewardship (Y. A. Adebayo, A. H. Ikevuje, J. M. Kwakye, & A. Esiri, 2024b) [3]. Transitioning to a circular economy (CE) offers a promising pathway to achieve these goals. Unlike the traditional linear economic model of "take, make, dispose," the CE framework emphasizes resource efficiency, waste minimization, and material reuse. This approach aligns with global efforts to address environmental challenges while supporting economic growth and innovation (Duc & Dinh, 2024) [18].

This paper explores the implementation of CE principles within the oil and gas industry, focusing on waste management and resource reuse to promote sustainable operations. It aims to provide actionable insights and recommendations for industry stakeholders, emphasizing the integration of CE practices into operational frameworks. Key terms, such as CE and waste management, are central to this discussion.

CE is defined as a system aimed at eliminating waste and the continual use of resources, whereas waste management refers to the processes involved in handling, treating, and disposing of waste products in an environmentally responsible manner. These concepts underpin the strategies discussed in subsequent sections.

2. Conceptual Framework of Circular Economy in Oil and Gas

The circular economy (CE) represents a transformative approach to resource use and waste management, which is particularly relevant for the oil and gas sector. In contrast to the traditional linear model, CE seeks to create a closed-loop system where resources are continually reused, recycled, or repurposed, minimizing waste and reducing the need for virgin material extraction (Sharma, Joshi, Prasad, & Bartwal, 2023) [40]. The implementation of CE principles is not just a theoretical exercise; it is a practical necessity to mitigate the environmental and economic challenges facing this resource-intensive industry.

2.1. Circular Economy Principles and Their Relevance to the Sector

At its core, CE aims to decouple economic growth from resource depletion and environmental harm. It prioritizes the regeneration of natural systems, the extension of product lifecycles, and the efficient use of resources. For the oil and gas sector, this means rethinking the entire value chain—from exploration and extraction to refining, distribution, and end-of-life product management (Ahuchogu, Sanyaolu, & Adeleke, 2024) [8].

The relevance of CE to oil and gas lies in its potential to address some of the industry's most significant environmental impacts. For example, oil exploration and extraction often result in considerable waste, such as drilling fluids, cuttings, and produced water (Upadhyay, Laing, Kumar, & Dora, 2021) [41]. These by-products can be treated and repurposed by applying CE principles rather than discarded. Similarly, refineries generate waste streams like spent catalysts and sludges, which could be recycled into other industrial processes. The CE framework thus offers a pathway to both environmental stewardship and cost savings, aligning with growing regulatory pressures and societal expectations for sustainable practices (Hoang *et al*., 2022) [20].

2.2. Key Strategies for Resource Efficiency, Waste Minimization, and Lifecycle Management

To operationalize CE principles, the oil and gas sector can adopt several strategies aimed at resource efficiency, waste minimization, and lifecycle management. Resource efficiency is achieved by optimizing production processes to reduce resource inputs and maximize outputs. For instance, technological advancements, such as enhanced oil recovery and precision drilling, can increase yield while reducing the environmental footprint. Similarly, the integration of digital tools like predictive maintenance and real-time monitoring can minimize operational waste and improve energy efficiency (Y. A. Adebayo, A. H. Ikevuje, J. M. Kwakye, & A. E. Esiri, 2024; Afolabi, Olisakwe, & Igunma, 2024c) [4, 7].

Waste minimization involves strategies to prevent waste generation at the source. This can be accomplished by designing processes that produce fewer by-products or by substituting hazardous materials with environmentally benign alternatives. In offshore drilling, for example, synthetic-based muds can be replaced with biodegradable options to reduce the ecological impact of drilling operations (Paulraj, Nuzhat, & Hussain, 2021) [36].

Lifecycle management extends the focus beyond immediate operations to consider the long-term environmental impact of products and materials. This involves designing products for durability, repairability, and recyclability (Leng *et al*., 2020) [26]. In oil and gas, it could mean developing materials that are easier to decommission and recycle at the end of their lifecycle, such as pipelines and drilling equipment. Lifecycle assessments also enable companies to identify areas where resource use and emissions can be reduced, fostering a more sustainable supply chain (Okogwu *et al*., 2023; Otaraku & Dada, 2014) [32, 34].

2.3. Role of Policy, Regulations, and Industry Standards in Enabling Circular Practices

The successful adoption of CE principles in the oil and gas sector is contingent on supportive policies, regulations, and industry standards. Governments and regulatory bodies play a critical role in creating an enabling environment for CE by setting clear guidelines and incentivizing sustainable practices. Policies such as extended producer responsibility, which holds companies accountable for the entire lifecycle of their products, can drive the adoption of circular models (Zheng, Wang, Lin, & Liu, 2023) [43].

Moreover, fiscal incentives such as tax breaks for companies that invest in recycling technologies or penalties for excessive waste generation can influence corporate behavior. Regulatory frameworks must also facilitate the safe and efficient reuse of materials, ensuring that recycled products meet the required quality and safety standards (Yan, Qamruzzaman, & Kor, 2023) [42].

Industry standards and certifications, developed through stakeholder collaboration, further support the transition to CE. These standards provide a benchmark for sustainable practices, enabling companies to align their operations with global best practices. They also enhance transparency and accountability, allowing stakeholders to track progress toward sustainability goals (Afolabi, Olisakwe, & Igunma, 2024a) [5].

In addition to formal regulations, voluntary industry initiatives play a crucial role in fostering innovation and collaboration. For instance, industry consortia focused on waste reduction or material recycling can accelerate the development and adoption of CE practices. By sharing knowledge and resources, companies can overcome technical and economic barriers, scaling up solutions that benefit the entire sector (Blind & Heß, 2023) [16].

In conclusion, the CE framework offers a transformative approach for the oil and gas industry to address its environmental challenges while enhancing operational efficiency and resilience. By embracing strategies for resource efficiency, waste minimization, and lifecycle management, and by aligning with supportive policies and standards, the sector can transition toward a more sustainable and circular future.

3. Strategies for Waste Management

Effective waste management is critical for the oil and gas sector, given its substantial contribution to industrial waste and environmental pollution (Kalisz, Kibort, Mioduska, Lieder, & Małachowska, 2022) [25]. The sector generates a wide range of waste streams, many of which pose significant risks to ecosystems and human health if not managed properly. Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive approach incorporating waste identification, reduction, recycling, and innovative technologies.

3.1. Identification of Common Waste Streams in Oil and Gas Operations

Oil and gas operations produce various waste streams at different stages of the production lifecycle. These include solid, liquid, and gaseous waste, much of which requires careful handling due to its hazardous nature (Njuguna *et al*., 2022) [29]. Drilling operations generate large quantities of drilling fluids and cuttings, which often contain heavy metals, hydrocarbons, and other contaminants. Similarly, produced water, a by-product of oil and gas extraction, contains dissolved salts, oil residues, and chemicals used in production processes. These materials account for a significant portion of the industry's waste (Brough & Jouhara, 2020) [17].

Waste streams such as spent catalysts, sludge, and sulfur compounds are commonly produced in refining processes. Spent catalysts, for instance, contain valuable metals like vanadium and molybdenum, which can be recovered and reused. Meanwhile, oil sludge accumulates during storage and refining and is often high in hydrocarbons and poses disposal challenges (Liang, Tang, Li, Wu, & Sun, 2022) [27].

Decommissioning of infrastructure, such as platforms and pipelines, creates additional waste in the form of scrap metal, plastics, and insulation materials. These materials can contribute to environmental degradation and resource loss if not managed properly. Identifying these waste streams is the first step in implementing effective management strategies that align with environmental sustainability goals (Jensen, Purnell, & Velenturf, 2020) [24].

3.2. Techniques for Reduction, Recycling, and Recovery of Materials

Companies can adopt various techniques focused on waste reduction, recycling, and recovery to address the waste challenges in oil and gas operations. Reduction begins with operational optimization to minimize waste generation at the source. This includes using alternative materials that generate less waste and improving process efficiencies. For example, advanced drilling techniques like directional drilling reduce the need for multiple wellbores, thereby minimizing waste production. Similarly, adopting closed-loop systems in refining operations can significantly lower waste output (Shahbaz *et al*., 2023) [39].

Recycling involves processing waste materials for reuse in the same or different applications. Produced water, for instance, can be treated and reused for enhanced oil recovery or agricultural purposes, reducing freshwater demand. Likewise, spent catalysts can be processed to recover valuable metals, which can then be reintegrated into industrial supply chains (Samuel *et al*., 2022) [38].

Recovery focuses on extracting usable materials or energy from waste. Oil sludge, for example, can be treated to recover hydrocarbons, which can be refined into usable fuel. Gas flaring, a common practice in oil fields, can be mitigated by capturing and converting flared gas into liquefied natural gas or electricity, reducing greenhouse gas emissions and adding economic value (Pal & Sen, 2024) [35].

3.3. Implementation of Innovative Technologies and Best Practices to Minimize Environmental Impact

Innovation is a cornerstone of effective waste management in the oil and gas industry. Advanced technologies and best practices can significantly reduce the environmental impact of waste while enhancing resource efficiency. Bioremediation is an emerging technology used to treat contaminated soils and water by employing microorganisms to break down hazardous substances. This method is particularly effective for managing oil spills and hydrocarbon-contaminated drilling waste (Bala *et al*., 2022) [14].

Thermal desorption units are used to treat oily sludge and cuttings by heating the material to separate hydrocarbons, which can be recovered for reuse. This technology minimizes waste and reduces the need for landfill disposal. Digital tools, such as artificial intelligence and machine learning, are increasingly being used to optimize waste management processes. These tools can predict waste generation patterns, identify recycling opportunities, and track the lifecycle of materials, enabling more informed decision-making (Afolabi, Olisakwe, & Igunma, 2024b; Ajirotutu, Adeyemi, *et al*., 2024b) [6, 10].

Best practices in waste management include adopting circular design principles for equipment and infrastructure. For example, modular designs for offshore platforms make decommissioning and recycling more efficient. Companies can also collaborate with stakeholders, such as waste management firms and technology providers, to develop innovative solutions tailored to their specific needs. Furthermore, investing in workforce training and awareness programs is crucial to successfully implement waste management strategies. Employees at all levels must understand the importance of waste minimization and their role in achieving sustainability objectives (Abdallah *et al*., 2020) [1].

4. Resource Reuse and Sustainable Practices

4.1. Opportunities for Resource Recovery and Reuse in Operations

Resource recovery and reuse provide significant opportunities to enhance sustainability and operational efficiency in oil and gas activities. This involves extracting valuable materials from waste streams, repurposing by-products, and innovating processes to close the resource loop (Y. A. Adebayo, A. H. Ikevuje, J. M. Kwakye, & A. Esiri, 2024a) [2]. One of the most prominent opportunities lies in managing produced water, a by-product of oil and gas extraction. Treated produced water can be reused in secondary recovery techniques, such as hydraulic fracturing or water injection, reducing the need for freshwater. This approach addresses water scarcity concerns and improves cost efficiency (Ishola, 2024b) [23].

Another area for resource recovery is the treatment of waste hydrocarbons in drilling muds and cuttings. Technologies like thermal desorption and solvent extraction enable the recovery of hydrocarbons, which can then be reintroduced into refining or processing activities. Similarly, the recovery of valuable metals from spent catalysts, such as nickel and vanadium, supports resource circularity while reducing the demand for virgin material extraction (Ajirotutu, Adeyemi, Ifechukwu, Ohakawa, *et al*., 2024; Ayanponle *et al*., 2024) [11, 13].

Gas capture and utilization represent another crucial opportunity. Gas flaring, a common practice in oil fields, results in the loss of valuable natural gas and contributes to atmospheric pollution. Flared gas can be converted through advanced capture technologies into electricity, liquefied natural gas, or other commercial products, providing both environmental and economic benefits (Omobolanle & Ikiensikimama, 2024) [33].

4.2. Integration of Renewable Energy and Sustainable Practices into the Production Cycle

The oil and gas sector increasingly recognizes the importance of integrating renewable energy sources and sustainable practices into its production processes. This integration is essential to reduce reliance on fossil fuels and align with global efforts to transition to low-carbon economies (Al-Shetwi, 2022) [13]. Renewable energy technologies, such as solar and wind power, are being adopted to support operations, particularly in remote locations where grid electricity is unavailable. Solar panels can power field equipment, while wind turbines can provide energy for offshore platforms. These renewable solutions reduce greenhouse gas emissions and operational costs over time.

Incorporating energy efficiency measures is another critical aspect of sustainable practices. For instance, improving heat recovery systems in refineries and upgrading equipment to more energy-efficient alternatives can significantly lower energy consumption. Similarly, electrification of certain processes, such as using electric pumps instead of diesel-powered ones, reduces emissions and enhances sustainability (Ajirotutu, Adeyemi, *et al*., 2024a; Ishola, 2024a) [9, 22].

The production cycle can also benefit from adopting sustainable materials. For example, using biodegradable drilling fluids or corrosion-resistant materials in pipelines reduces environmental risks and extends equipment lifespans, contributing to resource efficiency. Sustainable procurement practices further ensure that materials and services are sourced in an environmentally and socially responsible manner (Ogunyemi & Ishola, 2024) [30].

4.3. Collaboration and Partnerships to Advance Industry-Wide Sustainability Efforts

Collaboration and partnerships are indispensable for scaling sustainability initiatives across the oil and gas industry. No organization can fully transition to sustainable operations without cooperation from various stakeholders, including governments, non-governmental organizations, and technology providers. Joint ventures and consortiums focused on sustainability innovation can pool resources and expertise to tackle complex challenges. For instance, initiatives that bring together multiple companies to develop shared infrastructure for waste treatment or carbon capture can significantly reduce costs and enhance efficiency (Erhueh, Nwakile, Akano, Esiri, & Hanson, 2024) [19].

Partnerships with academic and research institutions are another key driver of innovation. Collaborative research can lead to the development of advanced technologies for resource recovery, emissions reduction, and renewable energy integration. Pilot projects conducted in partnership with universities also provide a testing ground for new solutions before industry-wide adoption.

Engaging with local communities is crucial to ensure sustainability efforts align with societal needs. Programs that prioritize local hiring, skills development, and environmental conservation enhance social acceptance and strengthen the industry's license to operate (Bilderback, 2024) [15]. Lastly, aligning with global frameworks and initiatives, such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), fosters accountability and transparency. By adhering to these principles, companies can benchmark their performance and demonstrate their commitment to sustainability (Okedele, Aziza, Oduro, & Ishola, 2024) [31].

5. Conclusion

One of the primary insights is the vast potential of CE principles to minimize waste generation and maximize resource utilization in oil and gas operations. Techniques such as the recovery and reuse of produced water, the recycling of waste hydrocarbons, and the repurposing of materials like spent catalysts and oil sludge illustrate the tangible benefits of adopting CE practices. Moreover, integrating renewable energy sources and sustainable materials into production cycles enhances energy efficiency and reduces environmental footprints.

Despite these advantages, the implementation of CE principles is not without challenges. The technical complexity of handling hazardous waste streams, the high costs of advanced recycling and recovery technologies, and the regulatory barriers to material reuse are significant obstacles. Additionally, many stakeholders in the industry remain hesitant to adopt CE practices due to perceived risks, limited awareness, and a focus on short-term economic gains. These challenges highlight the need for targeted interventions to facilitate the transition to a circular model.

To advance the adoption of CE principles, stakeholders across the oil and gas sector must collaborate and take proactive steps toward sustainability. For operators, the focus should be on integrating CE principles into core business strategies. This includes investing in advanced technologies for waste recovery, optimizing production processes to reduce resource consumption, and adopting sustainable procurement practices. Operators should also prioritize workforce training and awareness programs to build a culture of sustainability within their organizations.

Policymakers play a critical role in creating an enabling environment for CE practices. Regulatory frameworks should be updated to support the safe reuse of materials, incentivize investments in recycling infrastructure, and penalize wasteful practices. Policies promoting extended producer responsibility and providing financial incentives for adopting sustainable technologies can further accelerate the transition.

Researchers and academic institutions must continue innovating and developing cost-effective solutions for resource recovery, waste management, and integrating renewable energy. Collaborative research with industry stakeholders can help bridge the gap between theoretical advancements and practical applications. Pilot projects and demonstration programs are also essential to test and refine CE solutions before scaling them up.

6. References

1. Abdallah M, Talib MA, Feroz S, Nasir Q, Abdalla H, Mahfood B. Artificial intelligence applications in solid waste management: A systematic research review. Waste Management. 2020;109:231-46.
2. Adebayo YA, Ikevuje AH, Kwakye JM, Esiri A. Circular economy practices in the oil and gas industry: A business perspective on sustainable resource management. GSC Advanced Research and Reviews. 2024;20(3):267-85.
3. Adebayo YA, Ikevuje AH, Kwakye JM, Esiri A. A model for assessing the economic impact of renewable energy adoption in traditional oil and gas companies.

GSC Advanced Research and Reviews. 2024;20(3):298-315.
4. Adebayo YA, Ikevuje AH, Kwakye JM, Esiri AE. Energy transition in the oil and gas sector: Business models for a sustainable future. Journal of Energy Transition Studies; c2024.
5. Afolabi MA, Olisakwe HC, Igunma TO. Catalysis 4.0: A framework for integrating machine learning and material science in catalyst development. Global Journal of Research in Multidisciplinary Studies. 2024;2:038-46. doi:10.58175/gjrms.2024.2.2.0053.
6. Afolabi MA, Olisakwe HC, Igunma TO. A conceptual framework for designing multi-functional catalysts: Bridging efficiency and sustainability in industrial applications. Global Journal of Research in Multidisciplinary Studies. 2024;2:058-66. doi:10.58175/gjarr.2024.2.2.0059.
7. Afolabi MA, Olisakwe HC, Igunma TO. Sustainable catalysis: A holistic framework for lifecycle analysis and circular economy integration in catalyst design. Engineering Science & Technology Journal. 2024;5(12):3221–31. doi:10.51594/estj.v5i12.1754.
8. Ahuchogu MC, Sanyaolu TO, Adeleke AG. Exploring sustainable and efficient supply chains: Innovative models for electric vehicle parts distribution. Global Journal of Research in Science and Technology. 2024;2(1):078–85.
9. Ajirotutu RO, Adeyemi AB, Ifechukwu G-O, Iwuanyanwu O, Ohakawa TC, Garba BMP. Designing policy frameworks for the future: Conceptualizing the integration of green infrastructure into urban development. Journal of Urban Policy Research; c2024.
10. Ajirotutu RO, Adeyemi AB, Ifechukwu G-O, Iwuanyanwu O, Ohakawa TC, Garba BMP. Future cities and sustainable development: Integrating renewable energy, advanced materials, and civil engineering for urban resilience. International Journal of Sustainable Urban Development; c2024.
11. Ajirotutu RO, Adeyemi AB, Ifechukwu G-O, Ohakawa TC, Iwuanyanwu O, Garba BMP. Exploring the intersection of Building Information Modeling (BIM) and artificial intelligence in modern infrastructure projects. Journal of Advanced Infrastructure Studies; c2024.
12. Al-Shetwi AQ. Sustainable development of renewable energy integrated power sector: Trends, environmental impacts, and recent challenges. Science of The Total Environment. 2022;822:153645.
13. Ayanponle LO, Elufioye OA, Asuzu OF, Ndubuisi NL, Awonuga KF, Daraojimba RE. The future of work and human resources: A review of emerging trends and HR's evolving role. International Journal of Science and Research Archive. 2024;11(2):113–24.
14. Bala S, Garg D, Thirumalesh BV, Sharma M, Sridhar K, Inbaraj BS, Tripathi M. Recent strategies for bioremediation of emerging pollutants: A review for a green and sustainable environment. Toxics. 2022;10(8):484.
15. Bilderback S. Integrating training for organizational sustainability: The application of Sustainable Development Goals globally. European Journal of Training and Development. 2024;48(7/8):730–48.
16. Blind K, Heß P. Stakeholder perceptions of the role of standards for addressing the Sustainable Development Goals. Sustainable Production and Consumption. 2023;37:180–90.
17. Brough D, Jouhara H. The aluminium industry: A review on state-of-the-art technologies, environmental impacts and possibilities for waste heat recovery. International Journal of Thermofluids. 2020;1:100007.
18. Duc KN, Dinh NC. Circular economy. Navigating the circular age of a sustainable digital revolution. Journal of Circular Economy Studies; 2024:1.
19. Erhueh OV, Nwakile C, Akano OA, Esiri AE, Hanson E. Carbon capture and sustainability in LNG projects: Engineering lessons for a greener future. Global Journal of Research in Science and Technology. 2024;2(2):38–64.
20. Hoang AT, Varbanov PS, Nižetić S, Sirohi R, Pandey A, Luque R, Ng KH. Perspective review on municipal solid waste-to-energy route: Characteristics, management strategy, and role in circular economy. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2022;359:131897.
21. Hu G, Liu H, Rana A, Li J, Bikass S, Hewage K, Sadiq R. Life cycle assessment of low-temperature thermal desorption-based technologies for drill cuttings treatment. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 2021;401:123865.
22. Ishola A. Global renewable energy transition in fossil fuel dependent regions. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews. 2024;24(1):1373–1138.
23. Ishola A. Renewable portfolio standards, energy efficiency and air quality in an energy transitioning economy: The case of Iowa. Green Technologies and Sustainability. 2024;100159.
24. Jensen PD, Purnell P, Velenturf AP. Highlighting the need to embed circular economy in low carbon infrastructure decommissioning: The case of offshore wind. Sustainable Production and Consumption. 2020;24:266–80.
25. Kalisz S, Kibort K, Mioduska J, Lieder M, Małachowska A. Waste management in the mining industry of metals ores, coal, oil and natural gas—A review. Journal of Environmental Management. 2022;304:114239.
26. Leng J, Ruan G, Jiang P, Xu K, Liu Q, Zhou X, Liu C. Blockchain-empowered sustainable manufacturing and product lifecycle management in Industry 4.0: A survey. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 2020;132:110112.
27. Liang X, Tang J, Li L, Wu Y, Sun Y. A review of metallurgical processes and purification techniques for recovering Mo, V, Ni, Co, Al from spent catalysts. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2022;376:134108.
28. Miao Y, Bukhari AAA, Bukhari WAA, Ahmad S, Hayat N. Why fossil fuels stifle green economic growth? An environmental management perspective in assessing the spatial spillover impact of energy consumption in South Asia. Journal of Environmental Management. 2025;373:123471.
29. Njuguna J, Siddique S, Kwroffie LB, Piromrat S, Addae-Afoakwa K, Ekeh-Adegbotolu U, *et al*. The fate of waste drilling fluids from oil & gas industry activities in the exploration and production operations. Waste Management. 2022;139:362–80.
30. Ogunyemi FM, Ishola AO. Encouraging investment in renewable energy through data-driven analytics and financial solutions for SMEs. Journal of Energy Innovations; c2024.

31. Okedele PO, Aziza OR, Oduro P, Ishola AO. Climate change litigation as a tool for global environmental policy reform: A comparative study of international case law. Environmental Law Review; c2024.
32. Okogwu C, Agho MO, Adeyinka MA, Odulaja BA, Eyo-Udo NL, Daraojimba C, Banso AA. Exploring the integration of sustainable materials in supply chain management for environmental impact. Engineering Science & Technology Journal. 2023;4(3):49–65.
33. Omobolanle OC, Ikiensikimama SS. Gas flaring: Technicalities, challenges, and the economic potentials. Environmental Science and Pollution Research; 2024:1-13.
34. Otaraku IJ, Dada MA. Energy analysis of the natural gas to hydrocarbon liquids (GTL) process units. International Journal of Science and Technology; 2014:4(4).
35. Pal D, Sen S. In-depth coverage of petroleum waste sources, characteristics, environmental impact, and sustainable remediation process. In: Impact of Petroleum Waste on Environmental Pollution and its Sustainable Management Through Circular Economy. Springer; 2024:1-38.
36. Paulraj MS, Nuzhat S, Hussain CM. Source reduction and waste minimization. Elsevier; c2021.
37. Ringga ES, Hafizah I, Idroes GM, Amalina F, Kadri M, Idroes GM, *et al*. Long-term impact of dirty and clean energy on Indonesia’s economic growth: Before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Grimsa Journal of Business and Economics Studies. 2025;2(1):66-76.
38. Samuel O, Othman MHD, Kamaludin R, Sinsamphanh O, Abdullah H, Puteh MH, *et al*. Oilfield-produced water treatment using conventional and membrane-based technologies for beneficial reuse: A critical review. Journal of Environmental Management. 2022;308:114556.
39. Shahbaz M, Rashid N, Saleem J, Mackey H, McKay G, Al-Ansari T. A review of waste management approaches to maximize sustainable value of waste from the oil and gas industry and potential for the State of Qatar. Fuel. 2023;332:126220.
40. Sharma M, Joshi S, Prasad M, Bartwal S. Overcoming barriers to circular economy implementation in the oil & gas industry: Environmental and social implications. Journal of Cleaner Production. 2023;391:136133.
41. Upadhyay A, Laing T, Kumar V, Dora M. Exploring barriers and drivers to the implementation of circular economy practices in the mining industry. Resources Policy. 2021;72:102037.
42. Yan H, Qamruzzaman M, Kor S. Nexus between green investment, fiscal policy, environmental tax, energy price, natural resources, and clean energy-a step towards sustainable development by fostering clean energy inclusion. Sustainability. 2023;15(18):13591.
43. Zheng LJ, Wang YA, Lin H-Y, Liu W. Understanding circular economy adoption by SMEs: A case study on organizational legitimacy and Industry 4.0. Industrial Management & Data Systems. 2023;123(4):1157-77.