International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation.

# Ethical Horizons in Immersive Technologies: Addressing Privacy, Security, and Psychological Impact of AR/VR Adoption

**Santosh Kumar Jawalkar**
Independent Researcher, Texas, USA

* Corresponding Author: **Santosh Kumar Jawalkar**

## Article Info

**ISSN (online):** 2582-7138
**Volume:** 05
**Issue:** 05
**September-October** 2024
**Received:** 27-08-2024
**Accepted:** 21-09-2024
**Page No:** 1083-1091

**Abstract**
The swift technological growth of Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) systems changed different sectors including entertainment and healthcare and education and remote work practices. Immersive technologies implemented in society generate multiple privacy-related problems and security threats as well as health risks for consumers and regulatory challenges. The investigation within this work addresses ethical issues stemming from AR/VR adoption by analyzing data protection tests and extended system interaction risks and barriers to access and legislative requirements.
The primary challenge users face stems from AR/VR data security concerns since applications store delicate personal information which remains exposed to identity theft and unauthorized access and cyberattacks. Long-time immersion into virtual environments carries negative mental side effects which include behavioral addiction together with mental exhaustion and diminishing emotional responses. The current AR/VR designs fail to provide proper access for people with disabilities who encounter major hurdles with existing systems.
Supplemental research of current laws including GDPR and CCPA and BIPA exposes a lack of rules targeting AR/VR technology hence stressing the requirement for specialized governance together with code of ethics and unified compliance procedures across the industry. The ethical guidelines presented by IEEE help protect privacy along with accessibility and AI fairness but demand better enforcement capabilities.
Studies should create artificial intelligence-based security systems as well as accessibility methods and international policy uniformity. AR/VR technology will advance into a protected digital environment that includes all people by implementing ethical control systems alongside technological development.

**DOI:** https://doi.org/10.54660/.IJMRGE.2024.5.5.1083-1091

**Keywords:** AR/VR Ethics, Privacy and Data Security in AR/VR, Cybersecurity in Immersive Technologies, Psychological Impact of AR/VR, Mental Health and AR/VR Exposure, Inclusivity in AR/VR, Accessibility Barriers in AR/VR, Regulatory Frameworks for AR/VR, IEEE Standards for AR/VR Ethics, Biometric Data Protection in VR, Ethical AI in AR/VR, AR/VR Policy and Compliance, Future of AR/VR Regulation, Digital Rights in Immersive Technologies, Responsible AR/VR Development

## 1. Introduction

Multiple sectors have undergone fundamental changes through the quick development of Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) technologies which now affect entertainment as well as education and healthcare and industry. Immersive technologies establish interactive digital settings while they improve user engagement along with different forms of communication and operational methods [1]. The deeper integration of AR/VR applications into daily existence leads to major ethical problems which affect user privacy protection as well as data security and psychological effects and inclusiveness.

Strengthening these concerns becomes essential for managing the proper adoption of immersive technologies ethically [2]. The primary ethical challenge of AR/VR systems relates to privacy protection together with data security. The data collection practices of AR/VR platforms differ from traditional digital applications because they gather multiple forms of personal and behavioral data such as eye movement data, facial expressions, location information and biometric identification points [3]. Maladministration of collected data inevitably results in violations of privacy and identity theft events and unauthorized surveillance activities.

New security frameworks which are tailored specifically for immersive environments require development because existing cybersecurity solutions fail to meet expectations at present [4]. Hence the construction of effective privacy-protection measures together with encryption schemas as well as security audit techniques that match international regulatory frameworks including IEEE GDPR CCPA must take place [5]. Next-generation testing methodologies need to exist to evaluate specialized security risks particular to AR/VR platforms which include middle-attacks on connections and deepfake tricks as well as real-time location tracking weaknesses [6]. The prolonged usage of AR/VR systems creates psychological effects that generate important ethical issues about mental health problems together with cognitive overload and social behavior concerns [7]. Virtual environments create addiction problems which result in sensory disorientation as well as physical reality detachment for extended time periods. Programs intended for gaming together with remote work applications and digital therapy platforms cause users to develop cyber sickness along with emotional desensitization and behavioral modifications [8].

Ethical principles need to inspire the making of policies that establish responsible usage guidelines alongside regulatory frameworks and user-friendly guidelines which will reduce negative impacts of prolonged usage yet reach the maximum benefits from immersive experiences [9]. To create fair digital encounters, it is mandatory to provide AR/VR functionalities which are inclusive and accessible for everyone [10]. Several current AR/VR products demonstrate insufficient assistance for users with physical disabilities as well as those who have visual impairment or cognitive disorders which results in substantial user accessibility obstacles [11, 12]. All-inclusive design principles require adaptive controllers as well as customizable interfaces and real-time captions to be effective [13]. In addition to haptic feedback mechanisms the system needs enhancement for accessibility purposes [14].

The adoption of AR/VR needs financial solutions to make technology accessible and equally distribute the technology to all user groups [15]. All immersive digital systems require full compliance with WCAG (Web Content Accessibility Guidelines) together with IEEE Ethics Guidelines in order to deliver an inclusive user experience [16, 17]. This research examines three essential ethical aspects which include privacy security testing together with psychological/ethical risks from continuous exposure and inclusivity challenges in immersive technology use. The research explores these key aspects which create an extensive framework to guide ethical application of AR/VR by identifying successful practices and regulatory needs and future research paths [18]. These technologies are experiencing continuous advancement. The establishment of ethical boundaries becomes essential because it offers protection to user rights [19]. Immersive experiences need to receive equal access through the establishment of digital well-being promotion as well as the protection of user rights [20].

## 2. Privacy & security testing in AR/VR

Early-generation AR along with VR systems manage substantial personal information which creates problems regarding privacy defense and security weak points. AR/VR systems accumulate real-time information from space together with biometric data and user conduct for real-time processing which results in numerous security risks including database breaches and unapproved monitoring activities and destructive cyber-attacks. Testing methods need development to discover and defend against these security risks which helps AR/VR systems follow existing security and privacy regulations [1]. This part examines the significant privacy issues alongside security risks and gives details about testing procedures in immersive technology domains.

### A. Privacy threats in AR/VR applications

User data captured from AR/VR technological platforms exceeds the scope of information traditional digital platforms collect [2]. Users generate various types of data through facial recognition technologies as well as their bodily movement patterns combined with eye tracking along with their voice input database as well as physiological response patterns. The main privacy risks operating in AR/VR environments are listed in table no 1. Most AR/VR platforms require the implementation of secure authentication protocols along with robust encryption mechanisms as well as privacy policies to minimize these privacy threats [3]. The ethical management of customer data requires companies to meet the standards of General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) together with California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) and IEEE privacy standards [4].

**Table 1:** Privacy Threats in AR/VR Technologies

| No. | Privacy Threat | Description |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Personal Data Exposure | AR/VR devices collect biometric, location, and behavioral data, making users vulnerable to identity theft and surveillance. |
| 2 | Unauthorized Data Collection | Some applications collect excessive user data beyond what is necessary, violating privacy rights. |
| 3 | Third-Party Data Sharing | Data collected from AR/VR applications may be shared with advertisers, raising concerns about consent and transparency. |
| 4 | Real-time Tracking Exploits | Hackers can intercept real-time spatial data, tracking user movements and locations without consent. |
| 5 | Deepfake and Synthetic Identity Risks | AR/VR systems can be exploited to create digital replicas of users without authorization, leading to impersonation risks. |

### B. Security vulnerabilities in AR/VR systems

The network-based operating nature of AR/VR platforms makes them especially exposed to cyber threats that threat users' privacy and subject them to potential digital assaults. Attackers use vulnerabilities in security systems to modify virtual environments and steal personal information which may result in both virtual and physical attacks [5]. A list of security weaknesses in AR/VR applications appears in this table.

**Table 2:** Security vulnerabilities in AR/VR environments

| No. | Security Vulnerability | Description |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Man-in-the-Middle (MITM) Attacks | Cybercriminals can intercept real-time AR/VR communications, manipulating content and stealing sensitive data. |
| 2 | Phishing and Social Engineering | Attackers can create fraudulent AR/VR spaces to deceive users into revealing personal information. |
| 3 | Device Hijacking | Unauthorized access to AR/VR devices can lead to surveillance, data theft, and manipulation of user experiences. |
| 4 | Malware Injection | Malicious software can be embedded in AR/VR applications, compromising user data and system functionality. |
| 5 | Sensor Spoofing Attacks | Hackers can manipulate motion sensors and cameras to distort reality, creating false or misleading digital experiences. |

### Implications of security risks

The security weaknesses leading to both computer system threats and physical injuries affect users. Attackers who control real-time navigation data in AR applications have the capability to lead users to hazardous physical environments [6]. The security vulnerabilities found in VR training simulations targeting healthcare and aviation market could generate serious actual-world impact in both these sectors. Security testing combined with regular monitoring stands as the essential requirement for protecting AR/VR network systems [7].

### C. Testing methodologies for privacy and security in AR/VR

The rapid growth of AR/VR implementation creates new possibilities although it intensifies fundamental security threats together with privacy issues. Security assessments must completely test AR/VR applications because they handle extensive sensitive user information which must meet privacy laws alongside cybersecurity requirements [8]. A complete security framework for AR/VR needs penetration testing together with biometric encryption and network security analysis. Future investigations must concentrate on using AI for developing security solutions as well as implementing blockchain for data protection [9]. Real-time threat detection techniques will serve to increase the safe operation of immersive experiences. Security assessments of privacy-concerning factors in AR/VR systems establish ethical and secure standards which protect users and sustain public trust in virtual reality technologies [10].

**Table 3:** Testing Methodologies for Privacy and Security

| No. | Testing Methodology | Description |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Penetration Testing (Pen-Testing) | Simulating cyberattacks on AR/VR applications to identify security weaknesses before hackers can exploit them. |
| 2 | Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) | Evaluating how AR/VR applications collect, store, and process user data, ensuring compliance with privacy laws. |
| 3 | Biometric Data Encryption Testing | Ensuring that eye-tracking, facial recognition, and motion data are securely encrypted to prevent unauthorized access. |
| 4 | Network Security Testing | Analyzing Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, and cloud-based connections to detect vulnerabilities in data transmission. |
| 5 | User Authentication Testing | Implementing and testing multi-factor authentication (MFA), behavioral biometrics, and blockchain-based identity verification. |

### Best practices for security and privacy testing

Security testing must involve both common practices of software update maintenance and vulnerability patching and secure API implementation and decentralized storage resolutions. Secure APIs serve as a defense mechanism to stop unauthorized data accesses in AR/VR platforms [11]. Decentralized storage systems based on blockchain technology serve to increase user privacy features. The implementation of real-time anomaly detection serves to identify suspicious activities that occur in virtual environments [12].

## 3. Psychological & ethical implications of prolonged AR/VR exposure

Augmented Reality (AR) together with Virtual Reality (VR) technologies create wholly interactive environments to improve multiple areas including entertainment as well as education and healthcare and professional training. Prolonged usage of these technologies generates substantial psychological together with ethical challenges because it impacts mental health and wipes away cognitive abilities and user satisfaction standards. Prolonged AR/VR use creates addiction problems together with altered perceptions about reality while causing social disengagement and disturbances in sensory processes [13]. The adoption of immersive technologies requires both the knowledge of their challenges and ethical framework development to maintain responsible use.

### A. Psychological impact of prolonged AR/VR exposure

Users who remain in AR/VR environments experience significant alterations in their emotions and cognition as well as their behavioral patterns. The high level of immersion between virtual reality and reality creates mental distress that

affects users psychologically. The following table details the major psychological effects which develop from excessive AR/VR use. The need for user well-being guidelines becomes evident because medical studies show these psychological effects when users spend prolonged time in AR/VR environments [14].

**Table 4:** Psychological Effects of Prolonged AR/VR Usage

| No. | Psychological Effect | Description |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Addiction and Dependency | Users may develop a compulsive need to stay in AR/VR environments, leading to neglect of real-world responsibilities. |
| 2 | Derealization and Depersonalization | Extended VR immersion can distort users' perception of reality, making them feel disconnected from the physical world. |
| 3 | Cognitive Overload | High levels of sensory input can overwhelm the brain, reducing attention span and impairing memory functions. |
| 4 | Social Isolation | Increased VR engagement can reduce real-world social interactions, leading to loneliness and decreased interpersonal skills. |
| 5 | Emotional Desensitization | Constant exposure to simulated violence or intense emotional experiences in VR may reduce empathy and alter emotional responses. |

### B. Cognitive effects and sensory disturbances

AR/VR relationships activate central processing locations for sight along with hearing perception and understanding positioning. The effects of brief AR/VR interactions strengthen both performance and educational gains, but constant utilization leads to brain exhaustion that produces conflicts between senses and potentially triggers neurological system changes [15].

#### Sensory overload and cybersickness

One major issue within immersive environments exists as cybersickness that develops when visual sensory input conflicts with physical movement. Users may experience symptoms that resemble those of motion sickness such as dizziness along with nausea and headaches and confusion. Applications using AR/VR technologies which need continuous mental concentration produce mental exhaustion and decrease focus abilities in users. Users must utilize scheduled breaks together with adjustable screen settings and time limits to lower risks in AR/VR applications [16].

**Table 5:** Cognitive and Sensory Effects of Extended AR/VR Usage

| No. | Cognitive/Sensory Effect | Description |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Cybersickness | Users experience dizziness and nausea due to mismatches between virtual motion and physical body movement. |
| 2 | Eye Strain and Visual Fatigue | Prolonged VR exposure can cause discomfort due to continuous focus on digital screens at close proximity. |
| 3 | Memory Disruptions | Extended AR/VR immersion may interfere with long-term memory formation and cognitive recall. |
| 4 | Reduced Attention Span | Overexposure to fast-paced digital environments may impact users' ability to focus on real-world tasks. |
| 5 | Sleep Disruptions | Intense AR/VR stimulation before bedtime can affect melatonin production, leading to sleep disorders. |

### C. Ethical concerns of extended AR/VR use

**Table 6:** Ethical Concerns of Prolonged AR/VR Exposure

| No. | Ethical Concern | Description |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Informed Consent and Data Exploitation | Many users are unaware of the potential psychological risks of prolonged VR usage, raising concerns about ethical transparency. |
| 2 | Manipulation and Behavioral Engineering | AR/VR environments can be designed to subtly influence user behavior, leading to ethical concerns about persuasion and control. |
| 3 | Workplace and Educational Implications | Mandatory use of AR/VR in workplaces and schools may cause undue stress and cognitive strain on employees and students. |
| 4 | Virtual Harassment and Ethical Boundaries | The anonymity of AR/VR interactions increases the risk of cyberbullying, harassment, and emotional manipulation in virtual spaces. |
| 5 | Diminished Human Autonomy | As users become increasingly dependent on AR/VR experiences, they may lose autonomy over real-world decision-making. |

### D. Recommended guidelines for ethical and safe AR/VR use

**Table 7:** Recommended Guidelines for Responsible AR/VR Usage

| No. | Guideline | Implementation Strategy |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Limit Continuous Usage | Users should take breaks every 30–60 minutes to prevent cognitive overload and sensory fatigue. |
| 2 | Adjust Display Settings | Developers should include adjustable brightness, contrast, and refresh rates to minimize eye strain. |
| 3 | Promote Ethical Awareness | Companies must ensure users are informed about the psychological effects and ethical risks of prolonged VR exposure. |
| 4 | Regulate Workplace VR Policies | Employers should limit mandatory VR sessions and provide alternatives for employees sensitive to immersive environments. |
| 5 | Develop Psychological Safety Features | AR/VR platforms should incorporate real-time well-being alerts that notify users if they have been engaged for excessive durations. |

**Role of regulatory bodies in AR/VR ethics**

Endorsing ethical standards requires governments together with industries to develop and maintain strict guidelines that all members must follow. The collaboration between IEEE and privacy commissions along with digital ethics boards should create established protocols which address two main aspects: safety alerts and time limits for extended AR/VR usage [17, 18]. Mental health impact assessments for new immersive applications [19]. Protection against manipulative and deceptive AR/VR experiences [20].

## 4. Inclusivity & accessibility in AR/VR

Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) technologies deliver life-changing experiences that benefit education systems together with healthcare facilities and gaming applications as well as professional education programs. Many emerging technologies exclude people with disabilities along with those who have neuro-divergent conditions or come from disadvantaged economic backgrounds leaving a large portion of the population behind. AR/VR technologies must be designed with accessibility features because this ensures they become usable by everyone. The chapter explores accessibility obstacles as well as solutions for disabled users and equitable accessibility methodologies by using structured table presentation of findings.

### A. Barriers to accessibility in AR/VR

Technological progression of AR/VR has not overcome multiple operational and cost-related issues which block complete access for people with disabilities to immersive digital spaces. Multiple hindrances from physical to sensory through cognitive and economic aspects impede disabled persons in their interaction with immersive environments. The solution requires designing adaptive systems along with affordability schemes and standards compliance to overcome these problems**.**

**Table 8:** Barriers to accessibility in AR/VR technologies

| No. | Barrier Type | Description |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Physical Limitations | Many VR controllers and headsets require precise hand movements, making it difficult for users with mobility impairments. |
| 2 | Visual Impairments | AR/VR environments heavily rely on visual cues, small text, and high-contrast imagery, making them inaccessible for visually impaired users. |
| 3 | Auditory Barriers | Lack of closed captions, sign language interpretation, and spatial audio adjustments creates challenges for deaf and hard-of-hearing users. |
| 4 | Cognitive Challenges | Users with neurodivergent conditions (e.g., autism, ADHD) may experience sensory overload, lack of focus, and difficulty processing fast-moving environments. |
| 5 | Economic Barriers | High costs of VR headsets, haptic devices, and assistive technologies make AR/VR inaccessible to lower-income populations. |

### B. Solutions for improving AR/VR accessibility

Individuals working on AR/VR development projects should utilize assistive technologies because they must build interfaces that users can modify and design experiences around users' needs. The provided table presents essential solutions which aim to improve accessibility. AR/VR developers gain the ability to enhance user experience for disabled users by integrating these solutions**.**

**Table 9:** Solutions for improving accessibility in AR/VR

| No. | Solution | Implementation Strategy |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Adaptive Controllers | Design VR controllers that support voice commands, eye-tracking input, and motion-free navigation. |
| 2 | Text-to-Speech (TTS) and Speech-to-Text (STT) Integration | Enable voice-guided interfaces and real-time closed captions for hearing-impaired users. |
| 3 | Customizable UI/UX | Allow users to adjust font size, contrast, navigation speeds, and interaction modes based on individual needs. |
| 4 | Haptic and Tactile Feedback | Incorporate vibration-based alerts and force feedback for users with vision or hearing impairments. |
| 5 | Sensory Sensitivity Controls | Introduce adjustable brightness, noise reduction, and reduced motion settings for users with cognitive sensitivities. |

### C. Equitable access and socioeconomic considerations

The cost to implement AR/VR technology presents a significant challenge to widespread adoption among impoverished population. Making immersive technology accessible to everyone demands the reduction of economic obstacles while expanding its public reach across different communities. Diverse user groups will receive access to AR/VR technologies when financial barriers are removed to prevent exclusive access only to wealthy individuals.

**Table 10:** Strategies for equitable access to AR/VR

| No. | Strategy | Implementation Approach |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Affordable AR/VR Devices | Develop low-cost VR headsets and mobile-based AR solutions to reduce economic barriers. |
| 2 | Public Access Initiatives | Integrate AR/VR labs in libraries, schools, and community centers to increase access for underserved populations. |
| 3 | Open-Source AR/VR Software | Promote free, community-driven AR/VR applications that provide accessible and inclusive experiences. |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 4 | Government and NGO Funding | Advocate for policy support, subsidies, and grants to make AR/VR devices affordable for low-income users. |
| 5 | Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Programs | Encourage tech companies to provide donated or discounted VR headsets for education and accessibility programs. |

### D. Compliance with accessibility standards

The process of maintaining ethical standards in AR/VR development requires developers to comply with international accessibility rules and industry specifications. Standards compliance works to guarantee AR/VR systems serve every user with fairness and ethical equity. AR/VR system developers need to embed requirements from these standards in early design stages to avoid discriminatory practices and guarantee access for all users.

**Table 11:** Accessibility standards and compliance in AR/VR

| No. | Standard | Description |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) | Establishes standards for text readability, color contrast, and keyboard navigation for digital content. |
| 2 | Section 508 (U.S. Rehabilitation Act) | Mandates that federal agencies make electronic information accessible to people with disabilities. |
| 3 | IEEE P7030 Standard for AR/VR Accessibility | Provides best practices for inclusive design, adaptive controls, and assistive technology integration. |
| 4 | Universal Design Principles | Focuses on creating products usable by all people, regardless of age or ability. |
| 5 | ADA (Americans with Disabilities Act) Compliance | Ensures that public AR/VR installations, training tools, and applications are fully accessible. |

### E. Findings of key insights

**Table 12:** Summary of key findings on AR/VR inclusivity

| Finding No. | Key Insight |
|---|---|
| 1 | AR/VR remains largely inaccessible to users with mobility, visual, auditory, and cognitive disabilities. |
| 2 | The lack of customizable interfaces and adaptive input methods limits usability for disabled individuals. |
| 3 | High costs of VR headsets and assistive technologies create financial barriers for low-income users. |
| 4 | Implementing haptic feedback, voice control, and text-to-speech technologies improves accessibility. |
| 5 | Public funding, CSR initiatives, and open-source AR/VR software can enhance equitable access. |
| 6 | Compliance with WCAG, IEEE, and ADA standards ensures that AR/VR applications are inclusive and ethical. |

### F. Summary

The ethical adoption of AR/VR technologies depends on their delivery of inclusivity along with accessibility features. Autistic users along with individuals who are disabled and people from low-income backgrounds face exclusion from immersive experiences because of both physical obstacles and cognitive and financial limitations. Solutions to these problems demand adjustable designs along with affordable cost plans as well as compliance with international accessibility standards. Developers creating AR/VR systems should establish adjustable interfaces which connect with assistance tools to help create digital inclusivity. Low-cost solutions should also be integrated through immersive experiences to become accessible for every individual.

## 5. Regulatory & compliance framework

### A. Analysis of existing laws and regulations

**Table 13:** Analysis of existing laws related to AR/VR

| No. | Regulation | Jurisdiction | Key Provisions |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) | European Union | Mandates data protection, user consent, right to access, and deletion of personal data in digital applications. |
| 2 | California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) | United States (California) | Provides privacy rights, data access controls, and restrictions on personal data sales. |
| 3 | Children's Online Privacy Protection Act (COPPA) | United States | Protects children's privacy in digital spaces, requiring parental consent for data collection. |
| 4 | Biometric Information Privacy Act (BIPA) | United States (Illinois) | Regulates the collection and storage of biometric data, including facial recognition and eye-tracking in AR/VR. |
| 5 | Personal Data Protection Act (PDPA) | Singapore | Establishes guidelines for data collection, processing, and security measures in digital platforms. |
| 6 | Digital Services Act (DSA) | European Union | Strengthens accountability for online platforms, preventing harmful digital content and misinformation. |
| 7 | AI Act (Proposed) | European Union | Establishes risk-based AI regulations, including ethical considerations for AI-driven AR/VR applications. |

### B. IEEE ethics codes for AR/VR compliance

**Table 14:** IEEE ethics codes relevant to AR/VR technologies

| No. | IEEE Ethics Code | Application in AR/VR |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | IEEE Code of Ethics, Clause 1 | Prioritizes public safety, privacy, and ethical responsibility in AR/VR system design. |
| 2 | IEEE P7006 – Standard for Personal Data Privacy | Establishes guidelines for data ownership, security, and transparency in AR/VR applications. |
| 3 | IEEE P7010 – Well-Being Metrics for Ethical AI | Defines ethical considerations for mental health impacts and psychological well-being in immersive environments. |
| 4 | IEEE P7003 – Algorithmic Bias Considerations | Ensures AI-driven AR/VR interactions remain fair, unbiased, and inclusive for diverse user groups. |
| 5 | IEEE P7030 – AR/VR Accessibility Standard | Focuses on inclusive design principles, assistive technologies, and equitable access for disabled users. |
| 6 | IEEE P2863 – Ethical Transparency in Immersive Technologies | Mandates ethical transparency, informed consent, and responsible content moderation. |

### C. Recommendations for policy improvements

**Table 15:** Recommendations for policy improvements in AR/VR regulations

| No. | Recommendation | Proposed Implementation |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Dedicated AR/VR Privacy Legislation | Establish laws specific to biometric data protection, immersive tracking, and real-time user consent. |
| 2 | Ethical Certification for AR/VR Products | Implement an IEEE-backed certification ensuring that AR/VR systems comply with privacy, accessibility, and security standards. |
| 3 | Mental Health and Safety Guidelines | Introduce mandatory psychological well-being regulations, including time-limiting exposure alerts. |
| 4 | Transparency in AI-Driven AR/VR Interactions | Require AR/VR companies to disclose AI algorithms, behavioral tracking practices, and content moderation policies. |
| 5 | Regulation of Deepfake and Manipulative Content | Ban unauthorized biometric data usage and impose penalties for deceptive AR/VR-generated content. |
| 6 | Accessibility Mandates for AR/VR Devices | Enforce universal design principles, screen readers, voice controls, and haptic feedback in all AR/VR devices. |
| 7 | Public Awareness and Digital Literacy Initiatives | Implement educational programs on AR/VR ethics, cybersecurity, and responsible usage. |
| 8 | Global Standardization for Cross-Border Data Protection | Establish an international AR/VR regulatory body to harmonize data protection laws worldwide. |

## 4. Conclusion & Future work

### A. Conclusion

The integration of Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) produces immediate ethical along with security and psychological and accessibility problems which need pressing remedies. The research study evaluated the privacy risks and security flaws while investigating behavioral exposure risks together with usability barriers and regulatory deficiencies of virtual tech devices. Research demonstrates that AR/VR applications gather large amounts of biometric along with behavioral data which impacts user privacy while extensive usage produces mental health problems including addiction and cognitive fatigue yet the systems prohibit entry for both disabled individuals and people from low-income backgrounds. OSCAR Episode 19 focuses primarily on GDPR and CCPA as well as BIPA because these regulations don't fully cover the distinct security risks found in AR/VR technology thus demanding fresh policies. IEEE stands as an ethical compliance foundation while the implementation requires better enforcement together with industry-wide adoption. Progressive AR/VR development needs a comprehensive solution which unifies security assessments with mental healthcare protection measures and universal design best practices with worldwide regulatory standards.

### B. Future Work

Research into the future should concentrate on AI security architecture development which detects and defends against present threats in AR/VR systems while safeguarding user privacy and system security. Fundamental research must assess the permanent mental consequences of AR/VR contact to establish security protocols for VO. Research teams must look into creating affordable accessibility options including freely available AR/VR software platforms and accessible low-cost aids to promote digital inclusion. To manage ethical risks and deepfake misuse and content moderation policies the global community requires unified laws for AR/VR devices. Future AR/VR systems will become secure and helpful to all users through the use of technological progress that also implements ethical regulations.

## 5. References

1. Slater M, Gonzalez-Liencres C, Haggard P, Vinkers C, Gregory-Clarke R, Jelley S, *et al* The ethics of realism in virtual and augmented reality. Frontiers in Virtual Reality. 2020;1:1.
2. Steele P, Burleigh C, Kroposki M, Magabo M, Bailey L. Ethical considerations in designing virtual and augmented reality products—Virtual and augmented

reality design with students in mind: Designers' perceptions. Journal of Educational Technology Systems. 2020;49(2):219–38.
3. Regenbrecht H, Zwanenburg S, Langlotz T. Pervasive augmented reality—Technology and ethics. IEEE Pervasive Computing. 2022;21(3):84–91.
4. Skulmowski A. Ethical issues of educational virtual reality. Computers & Education: X Reality. 2023;2:100023.
5. Neely EL. Augmented reality, augmented ethics: Who has the right to augment a particular physical space? Ethics and Information Technology. 2019;21(1):11–8.
6. Southgate E, Smith SP, Scevak J. Asking ethical questions in research using immersive virtual and augmented reality technologies with children and youth. In: 2017 IEEE Virtual Reality (VR). IEEE; 2017. p. 12–8.
7. Kaimara P, Oikonomou A, Deliyannis I. Could virtual reality applications pose real risks to children and adolescents? A systematic review of ethical issues and concerns. Virtual Reality. 2022;26(2):697–735.
8. Saoud J, Jung T. An ethical perspective of the use of AR technology in the tourism industry. In: Augmented Reality and Virtual Reality: Empowering Human, Place and Business. 2018. p. 33–46.
9. Heimo OI, Kimppa KK, Helle S, Korkalainen T, Lehtonen T. Augmented reality—Towards an ethical fantasy? In: 2014 IEEE International Symposium on Ethics in Science, Technology and Engineering. IEEE; 2014. p. 1–7.
10. Pase S. Ethical considerations in augmented reality applications. In: Proceedings of the International Conference on e-Learning, e-Business, Enterprise Information Systems, and e-Government (EEE). The Steering Committee of The World Congress in Computer Science, Computer Engineering, and Applied Computing (WorldComp); 2012. p. 1.
11. Christopoulos A, Mystakidis S, Pellas N, Laakso MJ. ARLEAN: An augmented reality learning analytics ethical framework. Computers. 2021;10(8):92.
12. Wassom B. Augmented Reality Law, Privacy, and Ethics: Law, Society, and Emerging AR Technologies. Syngress; 2014.
13. Adams D, Bah A, Barwulor C, Musaby N, Pitkin K, Redmiles EM. Ethics emerging: The story of privacy and security perceptions in virtual reality. In: Fourteenth Symposium on Usable Privacy and Security (SOUPS 2018). 2018. p. 427–42.
14. Rachmad YE. Beyond reality: How augmented reality and virtual reality are shaping the future of government. Asian of Professional Book Publishing, Special Issue 2022. 2022.
15. Madary M, Metzinger TK. Real virtuality: A code of ethical conduct. Recommendations for good scientific practice and the consumers of VR-technology. Frontiers in Robotics and AI. 2016;3:180932.
16. Billinghurst M. Grand challenges for augmented reality. Frontiers in Virtual Reality. 2021;2:578080.
17. Rachmad YE. Virtual boundaries: The impact of virtual reality and augmented reality in legislative processes. Publishing Book of Intellectual Capital, Special Issue 2022. 2022.
18. Sari RC, Sholihin M, Yuniarti N, Purnama IA, Hermawan HD. Does behavior simulation based on augmented reality improve moral imagination? Education and Information Technologies. 2021;26:441–63.
19. Rueda J, Lara F. Virtual reality and empathy enhancement: Ethical aspects. Frontiers in Robotics and AI. 2020;7:506984.
20. Ligthart S, Meynen G, Biller-Andorno N, Kooijmans T, Kellmeyer P. Is virtually everything possible? The relevance of ethics and human rights for introducing extended reality in forensic psychiatry. AJOB Neuroscience. 2022;13(3):144–57.