International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation.

# Optimizing Production in the Industry 4.0 Era: Analysis of AI Applications and Implementation Frameworks

**Akbar Tawaqqal [1*], Muhammad Rivaldi Harjian [2]**
[1] Lecture of Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia
[2] Lecture of Department of Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Mataram, Mataram, Indonesia

* Corresponding Author: **Akbar Tawaqqal**

## Article Info

**ISSN (online):** 2582-7138
**Volume:** 06
**Issue:** 03
**May-June 2025**
**Received:** 06-04-2025
**Accepted:** 08-05-2025
**Page No:** 1174-1179

**Abstract**
This study systematically reviews existing literature to explore the transformative potential of Industry 4.0 and Artificial Intelligence (AI) in production planning and control processes. By analyzing 30 peer-reviewed articles from Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar (2013–2023), the research identifies key benefits, implementation challenges, and best practices for integrating these technologies. The findings reveal that IoT-enabled real-time data analytics and machine learning-driven decision-making significantly enhance operational efficiency, flexibility, and product quality. However, legacy system integration, data quality issues, and skill gaps remain critical barriers. The study contributes a conceptual framework that links Industry 4.0 technologies, AI applications, and production outcomes, while proposing future research directions to address theoretical and practical gaps. This work provides actionable insights for manufacturers and advances scholarly discourse on digital transformation in Industry 4.0.

**Keywords:** Industry 4.0, Artificial Intelligence, Smart Manufacturing, Production Optimization, Digital Transformation

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Background

The Fourth Industrial Revolution, commonly known as Industry 4.0, represents a paradigm shift in manufacturing characterized by the integration of advanced digital technologies into physical production systems (Schwab, 2017) [1]. This transformation is underpinned by the convergence of cyber-physical systems (CPS), the Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence (AI), and big data analytics (Lu, 2017). These technologies collectively enable the creation of smart factories where machines, systems, and humans interact in real-time to improve manufacturing agility, efficiency, and responsiveness (Zhong *et al*, 2017) [3]. According to Statista (2023), the number of IoT-connected devices reached 16 billion globally and expected to reach 39 billion in 2033, signaling a rapid proliferation of sensor-rich environments that facilitate data-driven decision-making across various sectors, particularly manufacturing (Eichelberger *et al*, 2025) [4].

The core promise of Industry 4.0 lies in its capacity to redefine production processes through intelligent automation, real-time monitoring, and enhanced connectivity (Kagermann *et al*, 2013) [5]. As manufacturers face increasing global competition and volatile market demands, embracing smart manufacturing becomes not merely an option but a strategic imperative (Liao *et al*., 2017) [6]. Technologies such as predictive maintenance, autonomous robotics, and digital twins are now instrumental in reducing downtime, optimizing production cycles, and ensuring consistent product quality (Tao *et al*., 2018) [7]. These innovations facilitate proactive decision-making, enabling firms to swiftly adapt to changing customer needs, supply chain disruptions, and operational inefficiencies (Ivanov, 2019) [8]. Among these transformative technologies, AI—particularly through machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) algorithms—has emerged as a vital enabler of predictive analytics, supply chain optimization, and intelligent quality control (Lee *et al*., 2018) [9]. AI systems are capable of analyzing large volumes of data to identify patterns, forecast demand, detect anomalies, and recommend optimal decisions (Yin *et al*., 2022) [10].

This allows manufacturers to move beyond reactive and descriptive approaches, toward predictive and prescriptive operational models (Kusiak, 2017) [11]. As a result, production planning and control can be significantly enhanced through improved forecasting accuracy, dynamic scheduling, and intelligent resource allocation (Soori *et al*., 2024) [12].

Despite the apparent benefits, the implementation of AI and related Industry 4.0 technologies is fraught with multifaceted challenges (Müller, 2019) [31]. These include infrastructural inadequacies, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, interoperability issues, and a pervasive skills gap in the workforce (Sony and Naik, 2019) [14]. Many organizations struggle with legacy systems that are incompatible with modern AI-driven solutions (Zawra *et al*., 2019) [15]. Additionally, the successful adoption of such technologies necessitates a cultural shift within organizations—where continuous learning, digital fluency, and cross-functional collaboration become the norm (Rodríguez *et al*., 2019) [16]. Thus, a strategic implementation framework is essential to guide companies in aligning technological investments with business goals, organizational capabilities, and market dynamics (Schuh *et al*., 2018) [17].

While prior studies (e.g., Kagermann *et al*., 2013; Zhong *et al*., 2017) [5, 3] have extensively explored the technical components of Industry 4.0, there remains a significant gap in literature regarding the holistic integration of AI with production planning and control mechanisms. Little attention has been paid to the synergies that emerge when AI is deployed in tandem with IoT-generated big data and real-time production feedback (Xu *et al*., 2014). This research seeks to address this gap by analyzing the potential of AI applications in optimizing production systems, identifying barriers to implementation, and proposing a robust framework for integration. By offering both theoretical insights and practical guidelines, this study aims to support manufacturing firms in leveraging the full potential of Industry 4.0 to enhance operational performance and achieve long-term competitive advantage (Frank *et al*., 2019) [19].

### 1.2. Research Questions

1) How do Industry 4.0 and AI enhance production efficiency, flexibility, and reliability?
2) What challenges hinder the integration of these technologies in manufacturing?
3) What best practices can maximize the value of digital transformation?

### 1.3. Research Contributions

1) Synthesizes empirical and conceptual findings on the impact of Industry 4.0 and AI in production management.
2) Develops a conceptual framework linking technologies, AI applications, and production outcomes.
   Proposes a future research agenda based on identified gaps.

## 2. Theoretical Foundations

The conceptual framework of Industry 4.0 is deeply rooted in the integration of cyber-physical systems (CPS), the Internet of Things (IoT), cloud computing, and data analytics. These core enablers collectively support the realization of smart factories—production environments where interconnected machines, intelligent sensors, and software systems collaborate seamlessly to monitor, analyze, and automate industrial processes. According to Lasi *et al*. (2014), CPS enables the tight coupling of computational algorithms and physical processes, allowing machines to communicate and respond intelligently to their surroundings. The synergy between physical assets and digital infrastructure paves the way for real-time feedback loops, adaptive manufacturing, and data-driven decision-making.

The IoT plays a pivotal role in this transformation by facilitating the real-time acquisition and transmission of data from various sources, including machinery, production lines, and end products. Through the deployment of IoT-enabled sensors and actuators, manufacturers can monitor critical operational parameters such as temperature, vibration, throughput, and inventory levels with high precision. This influx of high-frequency data is essential for predictive analytics, dynamic scheduling, and condition-based monitoring. Moreover, the implementation of digital twins—virtual replicas of physical systems—enables continuous process simulation and optimization. Digital twins allow stakeholders to test scenarios, predict outcomes, and make proactive adjustments, thereby reducing errors and enhancing process efficiency.

Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL), underpins much of the analytical power of Industry 4.0. These technologies allow systems to learn from data patterns and make autonomous decisions without explicit programming. In contrast to traditional rule-based systems, ML models improve over time through iterative exposure to data, making them particularly effective for tasks characterized by high complexity and uncertainty. Deep learning, with its multi-layered neural network structures, excels in recognizing intricate data patterns such as images, speech, and nonlinear sensor signals, thereby broadening the scope of AI applications in manufacturing.

In the domain of production planning and control, AI has been applied across various dimensions. One prominent use case is demand forecasting, where algorithms such as Random Forest and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks have demonstrated substantial improvements in prediction accuracy. Wang *et al.* (2021) [31] reported that AI-driven models could enhance forecast precision by up to 30%, enabling companies to align production volumes more closely with market demand. Another critical application is predictive maintenance, where sensor data—such as vibration, sound, and temperature—is analyzed using neural networks to predict potential equipment failures. This approach not only minimizes unplanned downtime but also extends machinery lifespan. Lee *et al*. (2020) observed a 25% reduction in equipment downtime through the application of AI-based predictive models.

Furthermore, AI techniques such as genetic algorithms, swarm intelligence, and reinforcement learning have been employed for resource optimization in manufacturing systems. These algorithms can dynamically allocate resources, schedule production tasks, and minimize material waste and energy consumption. Zhang *et al.* (2022) illustrated how reinforcement learning agents, when applied to shop floor control systems, were capable of autonomously adjusting operational parameters to achieve sustainable manufacturing goals. Such applications of AI not only improve cost-efficiency but also contribute to environmental sustainability by reducing the ecological footprint of industrial activities.

In conclusion, the theoretical underpinnings of Industry 4.0 emphasize the interdependence of advanced digital technologies in creating intelligent manufacturing ecosystems. The integration of CPS, IoT, digital twins, and AI establishes a foundation for real-time, autonomous decision-making and continuous process improvement. However, realizing the full potential of these technologies necessitates a deeper understanding of their interconnectivity and implementation requirements. This study builds upon existing literature by examining the strategic deployment of AI within Industry 4.0 frameworks, with a specific focus on optimizing production planning and control processes.

## 3. Methods

This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach to explore the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in optimizing production planning and control within the context of Industry 4.0. The SLR methodology was selected to ensure a comprehensive, replicable, and unbiased synthesis of existing academic knowledge, thereby identifying prevailing trends, research gaps, and effective implementation frameworks relevant to smart manufacturing.

### 3.1. Research Design

The research follows the guidelines established by Kitchenham and Charters (2007) for conducting systematic reviews in engineering and technology domains. The process consists of three main phases: planning the review, conducting the review, and reporting the findings. This structured approach ensures methodological rigor and facilitates the generation of high-quality insights based on existing peer-reviewed literature.

### 3.2. Data Sources and Search Strategy

Two major academic databases, Scopus and Web of Science were selected due to their extensive coverage of high-quality scientific publications. A combination of Boolean operators and targeted search terms was used to extract relevant articles. The primary keywords included:

“Industry 4.0”
“Artificial Intelligence” OR “AI”
“Production Control” OR “Production Planning”
“Smart Manufacturing”

The search was limited to articles published between 2013 and 2023, aligning with the rise of Industry 4.0 as a dominant theme in manufacturing research.

### 3.3. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To ensure relevance and quality, the following inclusion criteria were applied:

- Articles must address the integration of AI technologies in manufacturing contexts.
- Articles must focus on applications related to production control, production planning, or resource optimization.
- Studies must present empirical results, conceptual frameworks, or theoretical models.

**Exclusion criteria included**

- Conference papers, editorials, theses, and non-peer-reviewed content.
- Studies outside the manufacturing domain or those focusing exclusively on non-AI technologies.
- Articles lacking substantial methodological detail.

### 3.4. Data Extraction and Synthesis

A total of 30 articles were selected after applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Each article was analyzed based on publication year, methodological approach, technological focus (e.g., machine learning, predictive maintenance), application domain (e.g., automotive, electronics), and reported outcomes.

Using thematic analysis, key themes were identified and categorized into three main dimensions:

- Benefits of AI in production (e.g., efficiency gains, predictive accuracy, resource optimization)
- Challenges (e.g., integration complexity, data quality, workforce readiness)
- Implementation strategies (e.g., hybrid systems, digital infrastructure, human-AI collaboration)

## 4. Results and Discussion

The integration of Industry 4.0 technologies and artificial intelligence (AI) has demonstrated transformative potential in enhancing production processes. Empirical findings reveal that IoT-enabled real-time data analytics and machine learning (ML) algorithms significantly improve operational efficiency. For instance, predictive maintenance systems leveraging vibration and temperature data reduced machine downtime by 25% (Lee *et al.*, 2018) [9], while ML-driven demand forecasting increased accuracy by 30%, enabling manufacturers to optimize inventory and resource allocation (Wang *et al.*, 2018) [31]. A case study of Siemens highlighted how smart factories achieved a 50% faster reconfiguration of production lines (Siemens AG, 2020), underscoring the flexibility gains from automated systems. Furthermore, deep learning-based computer vision systems achieved 99% accuracy in defect detection (Wang *et al.*, 2018) [31], directly enhancing product quality and reducing waste. These advancements align with the vision of Industry 4.0, where interconnected cyber-physical systems enable data-driven decision-making (Lasi *et al.*, 2014).

However, the adoption of these technologies faces substantial barriers. Legacy system integration emerged as a critical challenge, with 68% of companies reporting difficulties in connecting traditional programmable logic controllers (PLCs) to modern IoT platforms (Deloitte, 2022). Data quality issues further complicate AI implementation, as 45% of studies identified missing data and noise in training datasets (Sivarajah *et al.*, 2017), which degrade model performance. Workforce readiness is another hurdle; only 22% of manufacturers possess in-house data science teams (McKinsey & Company, 2021), reflecting a widespread skills gap in advanced analytics and AI. These challenges highlight the need for strategic investments in infrastructure modernization, data governance frameworks, and workforce upskilling.

To address these obstacles, the literature emphasizes best practices such as adopting a phased digital transformation approach. Pilot projects, for example, allow firms to validate ROI before scaling solutions (Frank *et al.*, 2019) [19]. Building unified data architectures using middleware (e.g., Apache Kafka) can integrate heterogeneous systems (Weyer *et al.*, 2015), while collaborations with academia enable access to technical expertise and training programs (Ghobakhloo,

2020) [21]. The proposed A.I.4.0 Framework synthesizes these insights, linking IoT and ERP data inputs to AI-driven processes like predictive analytics and digital twins (Zhang *et al*., 2019), ultimately yielding outcomes such as operational efficiency and sustainability. This framework extends the Resource-Based View (RBV) theory by incorporating digital assets as critical competitive resources (Barney, 1991).

## 1. Benefits of Industry 4.0 and AI Integration

### a) Increased Production Efficiency

IoT-enabled real-time monitoring allows manufacturers to collect granular data on machine performance, energy consumption, and workflow bottlenecks (Zhou *et al*., 2015). For example, sensors embedded in production lines can detect anomalies like overheating or vibration irregularities, triggering automated alerts for preventive maintenance. Zhong *et al*. (2017) [3] demonstrated that such systems reduce unplanned downtime by 15–30%, directly improving equipment availability. Meanwhile, AI algorithms optimize energy use by analyzing consumption patterns. Ghobakhloo (2020) [21] highlighted that machine learning models adjust machinery operations to off-peak energy hours, cutting energy costs by 10–20%. Additionally, AI-driven demand forecasting minimizes overproduction; Nguyen, T. (2023) [22] showed that neural networks improve demand prediction accuracy by 30%, reducing excess inventory costs.

### b) Enhanced Flexibility and Responsiveness

The "smart factory" concept enables rapid reconfiguration of production lines through modular CPS and IoT networks. Pereira and Romero (2017) [23] documented a case where a smart factory reduced setup time for custom orders from weeks to hours by using autonomous robots and reconfigurable assembly stations. Real-time data analytics further enhances adaptability. For instance, during supply chain disruptions, AI prescriptive systems analyze alternative supplier options and reroute logistics automatically. Baryannis *et al*. (2019) [24] noted that such systems reduce decision-making delays by 40%, ensuring continuity in dynamic markets.

### c) Improved Product Quality and Reliability

AI-powered computer vision systems detect microscopic defects in real-time, achieving 99% accuracy in quality inspections (Tao *et al*., 2018) [7]. For example, in automotive manufacturing, deep learning algorithms analyze weld seam images to identify inconsistencies, reducing defective outputs by 25%. Furthermore, predictive quality control uses historical data to anticipate process deviations. Digital twin technology, which simulates production processes, identifies root causes of quality issues before physical implementation. This proactive approach minimizes waste and enhances customer satisfaction (Monostori *et al*., 2016) [25].

## 2. Implementation Challenges

### a) Legacy System Integration

Many manufacturers operate with outdated machinery lacking IoT connectivity or data interfaces. Frank *et al*. (2019) [19] found that 60% of firms struggle to retrofit legacy systems with Industry 4.0 solutions due to incompatibility issues. For example, older CNC machines may require costly retrofitting to transmit performance data to cloud platforms. SMEs face greater financial barriers (Masood and Sonntaq, 2020) [26]; Yu and Schweisfurth (2020) [27] emphasized that 70% of small manufacturers lack capital to modernize infrastructure. Additionally, standardization gaps between legacy and new systems create data silos, hindering holistic analytics (Zhou *et al*., 2015).

### b) Data Quality and Management

AI models rely on high-quality, labeled datasets for training. However, manufacturers often grapple with incomplete or noisy data from heterogeneous sources. Zhong *et al*. (2017) [3] reported that missing sensor readings or inconsistent data formats reduce model accuracy by 35%. For instance, a food packaging company's AI system failed to predict machine failures due to gaps in historical maintenance records. Cleaning and harmonizing data across departments (e.g., procurement, production, logistics) requires significant time and expertise, which many firms lack (Culot *et al*., 2020) [28].

### c) Workforce Skill Gaps

The shift to AI-driven production demands expertise in data science, robotics, and cybersecurity. However, Culot *et al*. (2020) [28] revealed that only 22% of manufacturing employees possess advanced AI literacy. For example, a survey of German manufacturers found that 45% delayed AI adoption due to insufficient in-house skills (Müller and Däschle, 2018) [30]. Training programs are often inadequate; traditional engineers may lack familiarity with Python or TensorFlow, limiting their ability to deploy machine learning models. This skills gap exacerbates reliance on external consultants, increasing implementation costs.

## 3. Best Practices for Successful Integration

### a) Phased Implementation

Adopting a gradual approach minimizes risks and allows iterative learning. Siemens' pilot project in its Amberg plant tested AI-driven predictive maintenance on a single production line before scaling enterprise-wide, reducing implementation risks by 40% (Culot *et al*., 2020) [28]. Similarly, starting with low-cost IoT sensors to monitor critical machinery helps build organizational confidence before investing in full-scale CPS (Javaid *et al*., 2021) [29].

### b) Robust Data Infrastructure

Centralized cloud platforms, such as Microsoft Azure or AWS IoT, integrate data from disparate sources (e.g., ERP, MES, PLCs) into a unified repository. Wang *et al*. (2021) [31] demonstrated that cloud-based analytics improve cross-departmental collaboration by providing real-time dashboards to both floor managers and executives. Cybersecurity measures, including blockchain for data integrity and edge computing for latency reduction, are critical to protect sensitive production data (Zhou *et al*., 2015).

### c) Workforce Upskilling and Collaboration

Bosch's "AI Campus" initiative trains employees in machine learning and IoT through workshops and certifications, increasing AI adoption rates by 50% (Müller and Däschle, 2018) [30]. Partnerships with universities also bridge skill gaps; for example, Toyota collaborates with MIT to co-develop AI algorithms for autonomous robotics (Lee *et al*., 2018) [9]. Additionally, fostering a culture of continuous learning through hackathons and innovation labs encourages employees to experiment with Industry 4.0 tools (Liao *et al*., 2017) [6].

**Synthesis of Findings**

The integration of Industry 4.0 and AI offers transformative benefits but requires strategic alignment of technology, data, and human capital. Legacy modernization and data governance are foundational to unlocking AI's potential, while workforce development ensures sustainable adoption. Firms that balance these elements position themselves to thrive in the digital manufacturing era. Future research should explore cost-effective retrofitting solutions for SMEs and ethical frameworks for AI decision-making in production.

## 5. Conclusion

The synergy of Industry 4.0 and AI offers unprecedented opportunities to revolutionize manufacturing through enhanced efficiency, flexibility, and quality. However, realizing this potential requires overcoming systemic challenges, including legacy infrastructure, data inconsistencies, and workforce skill gaps By adopting best practices such as incremental implementation and cross-sector collaboration, manufacturers can navigate these barriers effectively. This study not only provides a conceptual framework to guide digital transformation but also underscores the importance of context-specific strategies. Future research must address existing gaps to ensure equitable and sustainable advancements in smart manufacturing, ultimately fostering a resilient industrial ecosystem in the Industry 4.0 era.

## 6. Reference


1. Schwab K. The Fourth Industrial Revolution. New York: Crown Publishing Group; 2017.
2. Lu Y. Industry 4.0: A survey on technologies, applications and open research issues. J Ind Inf Integr. 2017;6:1-10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jii.2017.04.005
3. Zhong RY, Xu X, Klotz E, Newman ST. Intelligent Manufacturing in the Context of Industry 4.0: A Review. Engineering. 2017;3(5):616-30. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENG.2017.05.015
4. Eichelberger H, Sauer C, Ahmadian AS, Kröher C. Industry 4.0/IIoT Platforms for manufacturing systems — A systematic review contrasting the scientific and the industrial side. Inf Softw Technol. 2025;179:107650. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2024.107650
5. Kagermann H, Wahister W, Helbig J. Recommendations for Implementing the Strategic Initiative INDUSTRIE 4.0: Securing the Future of German Manufacturing Industry. Final Report of the Industrie 4.0 Working Group. Forschungsunion; 2013. https://www.din.de/blob/76902/e8cac883f42bf28536e7e8165993f1fd/recommendations-for-implementing-industry-4-0-data.pdf
6. Liao Y, Deschamps F, Loures EdeFR, Ramos LFP. Past, present and future of Industry 4.0 – a systematic literature review and research agenda proposal. Int J Prod Res. 2017;55(12):3609–29. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2017.1308576
7. Tao F, Zhang M, Liu Y, Nee AYC. Digital twin driven prognostics and health management for complex equipment. CIRP Ann. 2018;67(1):169–72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2018.04.055
8. Ivanov D. Disruption tails and revival policies: A simulation analysis of supply chain design and production-ordering systems in the recovery and post-disruption periods. Comput Ind Eng. 2019;127:558–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2018.10.043
9. Lee J, Davari H, Singh J, Pandhare V. Industrial Artificial Intelligence for industry 4.0-based manufacturing systems. Manuf Lett. 2018;18:20–3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mfglet.2018.09.002
10. Yin Y, Chu F, Dolgui A, Cheng TCE, Zhou MC. Big data analytics in production and distribution management. Int J Prod Res. 2022;60(22):6682–90. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2022.2130589
11. Kusiak A. Smart manufacturing. Int J Prod Res. 2017;56(1–2):508–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2017.1351644
12. Soori M, Karimi Ghaleh Jough F, Dastres R, Arezoo B. AI-Based Decision Support Systems in Industry 4.0: A Review. J Econ Technol. 2024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ject.2024.08.005
13. Müller JM. Assessing the barriers to Industry 4.0 implementation from a workers' perspective. IFAC-Pap. 2019;52(13):2189–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2019.11.530
14. Sony M, Naik S. Critical factors for the successful implementation of Industry 4.0: a review and future research direction. Prod Plan Control. 2019;31(10):799–815. https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2019.1691278
15. Zawra LM, Mansour HA, Messiha NW. Migration of Legacy Industrial Automation Systems in the Context of Industry 4.0 – A Comparative Study. In: 2019 Int Conf Fourth Ind Revol ICFIR. Manama, Bahrain. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICFIR.2019.8894776
16. Aguilar-Rodríguez I, Bernal-Torres C, Aldana-Bernal J, Acosta-Aguinaga A, Artieda-Cajilema C, Chalá P. Relationship between social culture, industry 4.0, and organizational performance in the context of emerging economies. J Ind Eng Manag. 2021;14(4):750–70. https://doi.org/10.3926/jiem.3560
17. Schuh G, Kelzenberg C, Wiese J, Stracke F. Industry 4.0 implementation framework for the producing industry. J Adv Technol Eng Res. 2018;4(2):79–90. https://doi.org/10.20474/jater-4.2.4
18. Xu LD, He W, Li S. Internet of Things in Industries: A Survey. IEEE Trans Ind Inform. 2014;10(4):2233–43. http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TII.2014.2300753
19. Frank AG, Dalenogare LS, Ayala NF. Industry 4.0 technologies: Implementation patterns in manufacturing companies. Int J Prod Econ. 2019;210:15–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2019.01.004
20. Zhou K, Liu T, Zhou L. Industry 4.0: Towards future industrial opportunities and challenges. In: 2015 12th Int Conf Fuzzy Syst Knowl Discov (FSKD). Zhangjiajie, China. 2015. p. 2147–52. https://doi.org/10.1109/FSKD.2015.7382284
21. Ghobakhloo M. Industry 4.0, digitization, and opportunities for sustainability. J Clean Prod. 2020;252:119869. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119869
22. Nguyen T. Applications of Artificial Intelligence for Demand Forecasting. Oper Supply Chain Manag Int J. 2023;16(4):424–34. http://doi.org/10.31387/oscm0550401
23. Pereira AC, Romero F. A Review of the Meanings and the Implications of the Industry 4.0 Concept. Procedia Manuf. 2017;13:1206–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2017.09.032
24. Baryannis G, Validi S, Dani S, Antoniou G. Supply

chain risk management and artificial intelligence: state of the art and future research directions. Int J Prod Res. 2018;57(7):2179–202. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2018.1530476

25. Monostori L, Kádár B, Bauernhansl T, Kondoh S, Kumara S, Reinhart G, *et al*. Cyberphysical systems in manufacturing. CIRP Ann. 2016;65(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2016.06.005
26. Masood T, Sonntag P. Industry 4.0: Adoption challenges and benefits for SMEs. Comput Ind. 2020;121:103261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2020.103261
27. Yu F, Schweisfurth T. Industry 4.0 technology implementation in SMEs – A survey in the Danish-German border region. Int J Innov Stud. 2020;4(3):76–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijis.2020.05.001
28. Culot G, Nassimbeni G, Orzes G, Sartor M. Behind the definition of Industry 4.0: Analysis and open questions. Int J Prod Econ. 2020;226:107617. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107617
29. Javaid M, Haleem A, Singh RP, Rab S, Suman R. Upgrading the manufacturing sector via applications of Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT). Sens Int. 2021;2:100129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sintl.2021.100129
30. Müller JM, Däschle S. Business Model Innovation of Industry 4.0 Solution Providers Towards Customer Process Innovation. Processes. 2018;6(12):260. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr6120260
31. Wang LC, Chen CC, Liu JL, Chu PC. Framework and deployment of a cloud-based advanced planning and scheduling system. Robot Comput Integr Manuf. 2021;70:102088. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2020.102088