International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation.

Designing Retargeting Optimization Models Based on Predictive Behavioral Triggers

Omolola Temitope Kufile [1*], Bisayo Oluwatosin Otokiti [2], Abiodun Yusuf Onifade [3], Bisi Ogunwale [4], Chinelo Harriet Okolo [5]

[1] Amazon Freight, United States
[2] Department of Business and Entrepreneurship, Kwara State University, Nigeria
[3] Independent Researcher, California, USA
[4] Independent Researcher, Canada
[5] United Bank For Africa (UBA), Lagos state, Nigeria

* Corresponding Author: **Omolola Temitope Kufile**

Article Info

ISSN (online): 2582-7138
Volume: 03
Issue: 02
March-April 2022
Received: 05-03-2022
Accepted: 06-04-2022
Page No: 767-777

Abstract
This paper presents a comprehensive literature-based framework for developing advertising impact assessment models utilizing pre- and post-campaign survey data analytics. While the absence of primary experimental data constraints empirical validation, the paper extensively reviews existing methodologies, analytical techniques, and emerging trends in leveraging survey data for robust ad effectiveness measurement. Key challenges, such as measurement bias and causal inference limitations, are addressed, along with opportunities for advanced modeling using machine learning and econometric approaches. The proposed conceptual framework integrates best practices and state-of-the-art analytics to guide marketers and researchers in optimizing advertising strategies through enhanced data-driven insights.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54660/.IJMRGE.2022.3.2.767-777

Keywords: Ad Impact Models, Pre/Post Surveys, Causal Inference, Data Analytics, Marketing Metrics, Machine Learning

1. Introduction

Advertising continues to be one of the most pivotal drivers of business growth and consumer engagement in the contemporary marketplace [1-5]. As brands compete in increasingly crowded and fragmented environments, the ability to precisely measure the effectiveness of advertising campaigns becomes paramount [6-7]. The overarching goal is to ensure that marketing investments generate meaningful returns through enhanced brand equity, customer acquisition, and ultimately sales conversion [9-11]]. To this end, marketing professionals and researchers have long sought rigorous frameworks and methodologies for ad impact assessment [12, 14]. Among the various approaches to measuring advertising effectiveness, pre- and post-campaign survey data analytics stand out for their unique capacity to directly capture consumer perceptions, attitudes, and behavioral intentions before and after exposure to advertising stimuli [15, 17]. This survey-based approach, deeply rooted in traditional marketing research, offers insights into intermediate outcomes such as brand awareness, message recall, favorability, and purchase intent metrics often not immediately reflected in sales or transactional data [18, 22]. Consequently, pre/post survey data provide a critical lens through which marketers can understand the qualitative and quantitative shifts attributable to advertising efforts [23-27].

In recent years, the marketing landscape has been dramatically transformed by digital technologies, media convergence, and evolving consumer behaviors [28, 29]. Digital platforms have multiplied the points of consumer interaction, enabling multi-touch campaigns that span social media, video, display advertising, search, and traditional media [30-33]. While these developments open new avenues for engagement, they also complicate measurement efforts. The multiplicity of channels and devices, coupled with data privacy regulations and cookie restrictions, challenges marketers to disentangle the true causal effect of advertising from background noise and confounding variables [20, 34, 35]. Traditional measurement tools such as direct sales attribution or marketing mix modelling face limitations in capturing nuanced consumer attitudes and in isolating short-term campaign effects [36]. This context has elevated the importance of robust survey-based methodologies, which when combined with sophisticated data analytics, can provide more granular, consumer-centric insights into ad impact [37-39].

Specifically, the integration of econometric models, causal inference techniques, and machine learning algorithms into survey data analysis allows for more reliable estimation of incremental advertising lift [40-43], addressing issues of bias, selection effects, and heterogeneous responses across different consumer segments.

The field of marketing analytics has therefore witnessed a growing interest in developing models that effectively leverage pre/post survey data [44-47]. These models serve not only as diagnostic tools but also as prescriptive frameworks that guide resource allocation, creative optimization, and media planning [48]. Despite significant advances, challenges remain ranging from data quality concerns, survey design complexities, temporal lag effects, to methodological debates over causal attribution and generalizability [49, 50]. The absence of ground-truth experimental data in many applied settings further complicates validation efforts, emphasizing the need for literature-driven conceptual frameworks that synthesize current knowledge and best practices [51-53].

This paper endeavours to fill this gap by conducting a comprehensive review of the literature pertinent to ad impact assessment models based on pre/post survey data analytics [54-56]. The intent is to systematize existing methodologies, evaluate their strengths and limitations, and identify emerging trends and research frontiers. By drawing on over 100 academic and industry sources spanning marketing science, statistics, data science, and behavioural research, the paper develops an integrated conceptual framework designed to inform both practitioners and scholars. This framework emphasizes rigorous data collection protocols, advanced causal modelling techniques, and the leveraging of multi-source data integration to enhance the fidelity and actionable value of ad impact insights.

The structure of the paper is as follows: Section 2 delves deeply into the literature, covering survey design considerations, measurement validity, causal inference strategies including randomized and quasi-experimental methods, econometric and machine learning models, and data integration techniques. Section 3 synthesizes these insights into a coherent framework outlining the key components and analytical pathways for ad impact assessment. Section 4 discusses practical implications, highlighting how the framework can inform strategic decision-making and future research priorities. Section 5 concludes with reflections on limitations and avenues for ongoing advancement in the field.

Through this work, the paper aims to contribute to the evolving discourse on marketing effectiveness measurement by bridging foundational survey research with cutting-edge analytics, thereby supporting a more nuanced and data-driven understanding of advertising impact in the digital age.

2. Literature Review

The assessment of advertising impact using pre/post-survey data has attracted considerable scholarly attention due to its potential to directly measure consumer-level changes in attitudes, awareness, and behavioral intentions attributable to advertising campaigns. This section provides a comprehensive review of the literature, focusing on the core domains that underpin the development of effective ad impact assessment models: survey methodologies, causal inference frameworks, econometric and machine learning analytics, data integration practices, and persistent challenges.

2.1 Survey Methodologies in Advertising Impact Measurement

Surveys remain a foundational tool in marketing research for capturing consumer perceptions and reactions to advertising stimuli. Early work by Rossiter and Percy [57] emphasized the importance of well-designed surveys to measure brand awareness, recall, and attitudes reliably. Pre/post survey designs, where data are collected both before and after a campaign, allow for within-subject comparison, thus controlling for baseline differences and providing direct evidence of change [58-61].

Methodological rigor in survey design is critical. Sampling strategies must ensure representativeness of the target population to avoid selection bias [21]. Probability sampling techniques such as stratified or cluster sampling are preferred over convenience samples, which can lead to distorted inference [22]. Additionally, panel surveys, where the same respondents are surveyed pre- and post-exposure, provide stronger internal validity by tracking individual-level changes, although they may suffer from panel attrition and response fatigue [23].

Questionnaire construction also impacts data quality. Closed-ended questions using Likert scales for measuring brand attitudes and purchase intent have become standard [24], but semantic differential scales and visual analogue scales are also used to capture nuances in sentiment [25]. The wording and ordering of questions influence response accuracy and must be carefully pilot tested [26].

Furthermore, advances in mobile and web-based survey platforms have expanded the feasibility of real-time data collection, enabling more frequent and granular tracking of consumer responses [27]. Nevertheless, challenges such as social desirability bias, where respondents provide answers, they perceive as favorable rather than truthful, remain prevalent [62-64].

2.2 Validity and Reliability of Survey Metrics

For pre/post surveys to effectively inform ad impact models, the measures employed must be both valid and reliable. Validity concerns whether the survey items accurately capture the constructs of interest, such as brand awareness or purchase intent [29]. Content validity is ensured through expert review and alignment with theoretical frameworks, while construct validity can be evaluated through factor analysis and structural equation modeling [30].

Reliability pertains to the consistency of responses over time or across equivalent items. Cronbach’s alpha is commonly used to assess internal consistency of multi-item scales [31]. Test-retest reliability, especially important for panel surveys, confirms stability of measurement [32].

Recent studies have also emphasized predictive validity the ability of survey measures to forecast actual consumer behavior, such as purchase or brand switching [33], [34]. While survey data are inherently self-reported and subject to biases, efforts to triangulate survey metrics with behavioral data enhance confidence in their use for impact assessment [35].

2.3 Causal Inference Frameworks in Advertising Effectiveness

Isolating the causal effect of advertising from confounding factors is a central challenge in ad impact assessment. The literature distinguishes between experimental and observational approaches to causal inference.

Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs) are considered the gold standard, involving random assignment of consumers or markets to exposed and control groups [36]. RCTs eliminate selection bias and confounding, enabling clean estimation of incremental advertising effects [37]. However, they are often costly, logistically challenging, and sometimes ethically or commercially infeasible [38].

In lieu of RCTs, quasi-experimental designs are widely adopted. Propensity Score Matching (PSM) involves matching exposed and non-exposed units with similar covariates to reduce selection bias [39]. Difference-in-Differences (DiD) approaches compare changes over time between treatment and control groups, assuming parallel trends [40]. Regression Discontinuity Designs (RDD) exploit threshold-based assignment to estimate local treatment effects [41]. Each method relies on key assumptions that must be validated to avoid biased estimates.

More recently, causal machine learning methods such as causal forests and double/debiased machine learning have emerged to flexibly model heterogeneous treatment effects and control for high-dimensional confounders [65-69]. These approaches leverage rich survey and auxiliary data to improve causal attribution, though they require careful implementation to maintain interpretability and avoid overfitting [70, 71].

2.4 Econometric Models for Ad Impact Assessment

Econometric modeling has a long history in quantifying advertising effects on consumer outcomes. Marketing mix models (MMM), using aggregate sales data, estimate ad elasticity through regression or time series methods [45]. However, MMM often suffer from aggregation bias and cannot directly leverage individual-level survey data.

Micro-level econometric models that integrate pre/post survey data provide greater granularity. Structural equation models (SEM) are employed to simultaneously estimate relationships among latent variables representing consumer attitudes and observed outcomes [46]. Logistic and probit models analyze binary outcomes such as purchase likelihood or brand switching [47].

Panel data techniques, including fixed-effects and random-effects models, exploit longitudinal survey data to control for unobserved heterogeneity [48]. Instrumental variables (IV) approaches address endogeneity arising from simultaneous causation or omitted variables [49].

The integration of econometric and machine learning models has gained traction, combining the explanatory power of traditional methods with the predictive capabilities of data-driven techniques [50].

2.5 Machine Learning and Advanced Analytics in Survey Data

Machine learning (ML) methods have revolutionized the analysis of marketing data, including pre/post surveys. Techniques such as random forests, gradient boosting machines, and neural networks can model complex nonlinear relationships and interactions among variables [72-75]. Supervised learning algorithms predict key metrics like purchase intent or brand loyalty from survey features, enabling segmentation and targeting [76-78]. Unsupervised methods such as clustering and topic modeling extract latent consumer segments and themes from open-ended survey responses [79].

Natural language processing (NLP) advances facilitate the analysis of textual survey data, such as verbatim feedback or social media comments, augmenting structured survey data for richer insights [80, 81].

Despite their power, ML models require large datasets to avoid overfitting, and their “black box” nature challenges interpretability and trust in causal inference contexts [82]. Hybrid approaches that incorporate domain knowledge and theory-driven constraints help balance accuracy with explainability [56].

2.6 Integration of Multi-Source Data

Combining pre/post survey data with other data sources such as digital analytics, transaction records, and third-party panels enhances the robustness of ad impact models [57]. Multi-touch attribution models fuse survey and behavioral data to capture the full customer journey [58]. Data integration raises issues of alignment across differing granularities, temporal frequencies, and data quality standards [59]. Techniques such as data fusion, record linkage, and Bayesian hierarchical modeling have been applied to reconcile heterogeneous data sources [60].

Furthermore, the growing emphasis on privacy and data governance requires careful compliance with regulations like GDPR and CCPA when integrating consumer data [61].

2.7 Challenges and Limitations

Several persistent challenges complicate the development of reliable ad impact assessment models using pre/post survey data:

- Measurement error: Respondent recall bias and social desirability can distort survey responses [62].
- Temporal effects: External events occurring between pre and post surveys may confound attribution [63].
- Sample attrition: Loss of panel participants over time threatens internal validity [64].
- Generalizability: Findings from specific samples or campaigns may not extrapolate broadly [65].
- Causal ambiguity: Without randomization, causal claims remain tentative and require robustness checks [66].

Addressing these issues demands continuous methodological innovation and integration of complementary data and analytic approaches [67].

2.8 Emerging Trends and Future Directions

The literature identifies several emerging trends shaping the future of ad impact assessment:

- **Real-time and continuous measurement:** Leveraging mobile surveys and digital tracking for near-instantaneous feedback loops [83-86].
- **Explainable AI:** Developing interpretable ML models to elucidate drivers of ad effectiveness [87].
- **Adaptive survey designs:** Using AI to tailor surveys dynamically based on respondent behavior [88-90].
- **Cross-device and cross-channel attribution:** Integrating survey data with digital attribution models for omnichannel insights [1].
- **Ethical analytics:** Ensuring fairness, transparency, and consumer privacy in data collection and analysis [91, 92].

In sum, the literature reveals a rich and evolving landscape of methodologies and best practices for developing ad impact assessment models grounded in pre/post survey data. The following section synthesizes these insights into a conceptual framework that guides the practical implementation of such models.

3. Conceptual Framework

The development of robust advertising impact assessment models using pre/post-survey data requires a well-defined conceptual framework that integrates survey design, data processing, analytical modeling, and decision-support components. This framework serves as a blueprint for practitioners and researchers to systematically capture, analyze, and interpret changes in consumer perceptions and behaviors attributable to advertising efforts.

3.1 Overview of the Framework

The proposed framework comprises four interrelated layers:
1. Data Collection Layer
2. Data Processing and Quality Assurance Layer
3. Analytical Modeling Layer
4. Reporting and Decision Support Layer

Each layer addresses critical aspects identified in the literature, ensuring methodological rigor and practical relevance.

3.2 Data Collection Layer

At the foundation lies the data collection layer, emphasizing the design and administration of pre/post surveys. Consistent with best practices [93-96], this layer focuses on:
- Defining target populations aligned with campaign objectives.
- Employing representative sampling methods to ensure generalizability.
- Utilizing panel survey designs to track individual-level changes over time.
- Implementing validated and reliable survey instruments for constructs such as brand awareness, ad recall, attitudes, and purchase intent [29-31].
- Incorporating both quantitative scales and qualitative open-ended questions for richer insights.
- Leveraging digital survey platforms to enable rapid deployment and minimize data latency.

3.3 Data Processing and Quality Assurance Layer

Once collected, raw survey data undergo systematic cleaning and validation to ensure data integrity and accuracy:
- Handling missing data through imputation techniques, including multiple imputation or model-based approaches [73].
- Detecting and mitigating response biases such as social desirability or straight-lining [28].
- Validating internal consistency of multi-item scales via Cronbach's alpha and exploratory factor analysis [31].
- Ensuring temporal alignment of pre and post waves for accurate comparison.
- Harmonizing data formats to facilitate integration with auxiliary datasets, such as digital analytics or transaction records.

This layer ensures that subsequent analytical modeling relies on high-quality, dependable data, minimizing measurement error.

3.4 Analytical Modeling Layer

This core layer operationalizes causal inference and predictive analytics to quantify advertising impact. Key components include:
- **Baseline Adjustment:** Calculating within-subject differences in survey metrics pre and post advertising exposure to control for individual heterogeneity [20].
- **Causal Attribution:** Employing quasi-experimental techniques such as propensity score matching or difference-in-differences analysis to estimate incremental advertising effects while controlling for confounders [39, 40].
- **Econometric Models:** Utilizing panel regression, instrumental variables, and structural equation modeling to understand relationships between ad exposure, consumer attitudes, and intended or actual behavior [46-49].
- **Machine Learning Models:** Applying supervised algorithms (e.g., random forests, gradient boosting) to predict key outcomes such as purchase intent or brand loyalty from multidimensional survey and behavioral data [51, 52]. These models can detect nonlinearities and interactions that traditional methods may miss.
- **Model Validation and Interpretability:** Using cross-validation, holdout samples, and explainability techniques like SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) values to ensure model robustness and transparency [97-99].

The combination of causal inference and machine learning leverages their complementary strengths: causal frameworks provide interpretability and theoretical grounding, while ML enhances predictive accuracy and uncovering complex patterns.

3.5 Reporting and Decision Support Layer

The final layer translates analytical insights into actionable business intelligence:
- **Dynamic Dashboards:** Interactive visualizations presenting key metrics, trends, and segment-level results, enabling marketing managers to monitor ad effectiveness in near real-time.
- **Alerts and Recommendations:** Automated flags for significant deviations or anomalies in ad impact metrics, coupled with strategic recommendations based on model outputs.
- **Scenario Analysis Tools:** Simulation modules to forecast the potential effects of alternative advertising strategies or budget allocations.
- **Integration with Marketing KPIs:** Aligning survey-based impact metrics with broader organizational performance indicators such as sales lift, market share, and ROI to ensure holistic evaluation.

This layer facilitates data-driven decision making and continuous campaign optimization, closing the feedback loop between analytics and marketing strategy.

3.6 Framework Summary

The framework synthesizes diverse methodologies into an end-to-end process that starts from rigorous survey design and ends with actionable insights. It addresses common pitfalls highlighted in the literature such as measurement error, selection bias, and causal ambiguity by integrating best practices in survey methodology, causal inference, and advanced analytics. Moreover, it is adaptable to incorporate emerging trends such as real-time data collection and explainable AI, ensuring ongoing relevance.

4. Implementation Considerations

Translating the conceptual framework for developing ad impact assessment models using pre/post-survey data analytics into practice involves navigating various operational, methodological, and technological challenges. This section discusses critical considerations to ensure effective deployment, scalability, and reliability.

4.1 Survey Design and Administration

The foundation of accurate impact assessment lies in the quality of survey data collection. Key implementation factors include:

- **Sampling Strategy:** Ensuring the sampled population reflects the target audience for the advertising campaign is paramount. Probability sampling methods such as stratified or cluster sampling help achieve representativeness [22, 24]. Panel retention strategies must be in place to reduce attrition bias between pre and post waves [25].
- **Survey Timing:** The timing of pre and post surveys should align with the advertising schedule to capture immediate and delayed effects, accounting for recall decay or changing market dynamics [23, 26].
- **Questionnaire Design:** Questions must be clear, concise, and tailored to capture relevant constructs awareness, favourability,
- Intent—using validated scales to improve reliability [29], [31]. Cognitive pretesting and pilot studies can identify ambiguities and improve question wording [30].
- **Mode of Delivery:** Online surveys are increasingly preferred for cost-efficiency and speed but must be balanced against potential digital divide issues affecting response rates among certain demographics [27]. Mobile-friendly formats and reminders improve engagement.

4.2 Data Quality and Integration

Implementing robust data cleaning and integration protocols is critical:

- **Data Validation:** Real-time validation rules embedded within survey platforms help catch inconsistent or incomplete responses early [100-102]. Post-collection statistical techniques correct for outliers and logical inconsistencies [103].
- **Data Privacy and Ethics:** Compliance with data protection regulations such as GDPR and CCPA must guide data collection, storage, and usage. Anonymization and secure storage protocols protect respondent confidentiality [20, 104].
- **Multi-Source Integration:** Combining survey data with digital analytics (clickstreams, ad impressions), CRM systems, or transactional data enhances the richness of analysis but requires careful alignment of identifiers and timestamps to maintain data integrity [37, 38].

4.3 Analytical Methodologies

Choosing appropriate analytical methods impacts the validity of impact estimates:

- **Handling Confounders:** Quasi-experimental methods require comprehensive data on covariates influencing both exposure and outcomes. Propensity score modeling depends on observed variables; hence, unobserved confounding remains a challenge [39, 41]. Sensitivity analyses should assess robustness.
- **Model Complexity vs Interpretability:** While machine learning models can improve predictive power, they risk opacity. Balancing accuracy with explainability is essential, especially when insights must inform strategic decisions [105-107]. Techniques like SHAP or LIME assist interpretability.
- **Temporal Dynamics:** Advertising effects may evolve over time; longitudinal models such as growth curve or time-series analysis help capture delayed or sustained impacts beyond immediate post-survey windows [45].
- **Sample Size and Statistical Power:** Sufficient sample sizes are necessary for detecting meaningful effects and conducting subgroup analyses. Power calculations prior to study initiation inform required respondent numbers [46].

4.4 Technological Infrastructure

Deploying the framework at scale requires scalable and interoperable technology:

- **Data Platforms:** Cloud-based platforms enable scalable data storage, processing, and integration across diverse sources [47]. Real-time data pipelines facilitate near real-time insights but require robust ETL (Extract, Transform, Load) processes.
- **Analytics Toolkits:** Open-source and commercial tools (R, Python, SAS, Tableau, Power BI) support a range of analyses and visualizations. The choice depends on organizational expertise, data volumes, and integration needs [48, 49].
- **Automation:** Automating routine tasks such as data cleaning, model retraining, and report generation enhances efficiency and consistency while freeing resources for deeper analysis [50].
- **Security**: Implementing strong cybersecurity measures, including role-based access controls and encrypted communication, protects sensitive marketing and consumer data [51].

4.5 Organizational and Strategic Factors

Successful adoption requires organizational alignment:

- **Cross-Functional Collaboration:** Marketing, analytics, IT, and legal teams must coordinate to ensure alignment on objectives, data governance, and interpretation of results [52].
- **Skill Development:** Training marketing analysts and decision-makers in data literacy and model interpretation fosters informed usage of insights [53].
- **Change Management:** Embedding data-driven impact assessment into existing marketing workflows may require cultural shifts emphasizing continuous measurement and optimization [54].
- **Cost-Benefit Considerations:** Investments in surveys, analytics tools, and personnel should be weighed against expected improvements in campaign efficiency and ROI [55].

4.6 Limitations and Mitigation Strategies

Recognizing inherent limitations guides realistic expectations:

- **Self-Report Bias:** Surveys rely on respondents' honesty and memory; social desirability bias or recall errors can distort measurements. Triangulation with behavioral data mitigates this [56].
- **Causal Inference Challenges:** Without randomized control trials, attributing changes solely to advertising remains complex. Employing multiple methods and robustness checks improves confidence [57].
- **Dynamic Market Conditions:** External factors such as competitor activity or macroeconomic shifts influence consumer attitudes and can confound impact estimates; incorporating control variables helps isolate effects [58].

4.7 Summary

Implementation of ad impact assessment models using pre/post-survey data analytics requires careful attention to methodological rigor, data quality, technological capability, and organizational readiness. By addressing these considerations, organizations can leverage the full potential of survey analytics to optimize advertising effectiveness and business outcomes.

5. Discussion

The development and application of ad impact assessment models using pre/post-survey data analytics represent a critical evolution in marketing measurement, enabling more nuanced understanding of advertising effectiveness. This section synthesizes key insights from the extensive literature review and implementation considerations, highlighting their implications for marketers, researchers, and organizations.

5.1 Enhancing Accuracy in Advertising Measurement

Traditional advertising metrics, such as reach and frequency, offer limited insight into actual consumer response or brand equity changes. Pre/post-survey data analytics, when combined with advanced modeling techniques, provide a more direct assessment of how advertising influences consumer attitudes, perceptions, and behaviors [59, 60]. By systematically capturing shifts in key performance indicators such as brand awareness, favorability, purchase intent, and emotional engagement, these models bridge the gap between exposure and impact [61].

The literature emphasizes that integrating multiple analytical approaches ranging from difference-in-differences and propensity score matching to machine learning classifiers enhances the robustness of impact estimates [62], [63]. However, the quality of insights hinges on rigorous survey design, data integrity, and appropriate methodological choices, as underscored in Section 4. Without these foundations, impact assessments risk bias, confounding, and limited actionable value.

5.2 Challenges and Trade-offs

Despite methodological advancements, challenges persist. Causal inference remains difficult outside randomized control trials due to unobserved confounders and potential selection biases [64, 65]. While techniques such as instrumental variables or synthetic control methods offer partial solutions, their assumptions and data requirements can be prohibitive [66, 67].

Moreover, balancing model complexity with interpretability is a perennial tension. Black-box machine learning models may yield higher predictive accuracy but can obscure causal relationships, limiting strategic insight [68]. Explainable AI methods can mitigate this but add layers of technical complexity [69].

From an operational standpoint, implementing comprehensive survey programs demands resources and organizational commitment [70]. Achieving representative samples, minimizing respondent fatigue, and integrating survey insights with other data streams are non-trivial tasks requiring cross-functional collaboration and continuous refinement [71].

5.3 Technological and Organizational Implications

The rapid proliferation of data platforms, analytics tools, and cloud computing has democratized access to sophisticated modeling capabilities [72]. Organizations can now automate data pipelines, deploy scalable machine learning models, and visualize results interactively, facilitating faster decision-making [73]. Yet, technology alone does not guarantee success.

Organizational culture and data literacy are critical enablers. Marketing teams must develop competencies not only in interpreting analytic outputs but also in framing measurement questions and embedding findings into campaign strategies [74]. Senior leadership support for data-driven marketing fosters alignment and investment in these capabilities [75].

Furthermore, ethical considerations around data privacy, consent, and transparency are increasingly prominent. Adhering to regulatory frameworks and building consumer trust through responsible data practices are essential to sustainable impact assessment [76].

5.4 Future Directions and Research Opportunities

The evolving digital and media landscape presents exciting avenues for future research and development:

- **Hybrid Data Integration:** Combining pre/post-survey data with passive data sources such as social media sentiment, digital ad impressions, and transaction data can enrich models and offer multi-dimensional views of ad impact [77, 78].
- **Real-Time Impact Assessment:** Advances in real-time analytics and streaming data enable near-immediate evaluation of campaign performance, allowing agile optimization and rapid feedback loops [79].
- **Personalization and Microtargeting:** Leveraging granular impact insights supports hyper-personalized advertising, tailoring content and delivery to individual preferences and behaviors [80].
- **Cross-Channel Attribution:** Developing unified frameworks that assess ad impact across diverse channels and devices remains an open challenge but is critical for integrated marketing measurement [81].
- **Advanced Causal Inference Methods:** Application of novel econometric and machine learning causal inference techniques holds promise for more precise attribution in complex environments [82].

5.5 Limitations of the Current Framework

While the proposed framework offers a comprehensive approach to leveraging pre/post-survey data analytics, its reliance on survey data imposes inherent limitations such as self-report biases, timing constraints, and respondent attrition [83]. Future frameworks should incorporate adaptive designs that dynamically respond to data quality issues and incorporate multi-modal data sources to enhance reliability.

6. Conclusion and Future Work

This paper has presented a comprehensive review and conceptual framework for developing ad impact assessment models using pre/post-survey data analytics. As advertising ecosystems grow increasingly complex, marketers and researchers require robust tools to understand the true influence of campaigns on consumer perceptions and behaviors. Pre/post-survey data, when carefully designed and analyzed using a combination of statistical and machine learning methods, offers a valuable lens into advertising effectiveness beyond traditional metrics.

The extensive literature review underscores that successful ad impact assessment hinges on meticulous survey design, rigorous data processing, and sophisticated modeling to mitigate biases and uncover causal relationships. Incorporating ensemble modeling approaches further enhances robustness by leveraging the strengths of diverse analytical techniques. Moreover, integrating survey analytics with emerging digital data sources can unlock richer, real-time, and more personalized insights, transforming how brands measure and optimize their advertising efforts.

However, challenges remain. Achieving causal inference in observational settings, balancing model complexity with interpretability, and overcoming operational constraints require ongoing innovation and cross-disciplinary collaboration. Ethical data practices and organizational readiness also play pivotal roles in ensuring that ad impact assessments are trustworthy and actionable.

Looking ahead, the future of ad impact assessment will be shaped by the integration of hybrid data streams, real-time analytics, and advanced causal inference methods. Research into adaptive survey designs, explainable AI, and cross-channel attribution frameworks will further refine the precision and utility of impact models. Additionally, the proliferation of privacy regulations necessitates novel approaches to maintain consumer trust while enabling sophisticated measurement.

In conclusion, this study lays a foundational blueprint for academics and practitioners aiming to harness pre/post-survey data analytics for advertising evaluation. By advancing methodological rigor and embracing technological innovation, the marketing field can develop more accurate, timely, and meaningful ad impact models—empowering brands to drive better business outcomes and enhance consumer experiences.

7. References

1. Kumar V, Gupta S. Conceptualizing the Evolution and Future of Advertising. J Advert. 2016;45(3):302-17. doi:10.1080/00913367.2016.1199335
2. Nabout NA, Ada S. Overcoming Quality Issues in Digital Display Advertising Using Digital Dashboards. In: The Routledge Companion to Strategic Marketing. 2020:449-65. doi:10.4324/9781351038669-34/OVERCOMING-QUALITY-ISSUES-DIGITAL-DISPLAY-ADVERTISING-USING-DIGITAL-DASHBOARDS-NADIA-ABOU-NABOUT-S
3. Fennis BM, Stroebe W. The Psychology of Advertising. 2020. doi:10.4324/9780429326981
4. Cadogan JW. Market Orientation and Profitability. In: The Routledge Companion to Strategic Marketing. 2020:407-21. doi:10.4324/9781351038669-32/MARKET-ORIENTATION-PROFITABILITY-JOHN-CADOGAN
5. Balogun E, Ogunmokun AS, Balogun ED, Ogunsola KO. A Risk Intelligence Framework for Detecting and Preventing Financial Fraud in Digital Marketplaces. 2021. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390303162
6. Keller KL. Unlocking the Power of Integrated Marketing Communications: How Integrated Is Your IMC Program? J Advert. 2016;45(3):286-301. doi:10.1080/00913367.2016.1204967
7. Oluoha OM, Odeshina A, Reis O, Okpeke F, Attipoe V, Orieno O. Optimizing Business Decision-Making with Advanced Data Analytics Techniques. Iconic Res Eng J. 2022;6(5):184-203. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1703887
8. Oluoha OM, Odeshina A, Reis O, Okpeke F, Attipoe V, Orieno O. Development of a Compliance-Driven Identity Governance Model for Enhancing Enterprise Information Security. Iconic Res Eng J. 2021;4(11):310-24. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1702715
9. Odeshina A, Reis O, Okpeke F, Attipoe V, Orieno O. A Unified Framework for Risk-Based Access Control and Identity Management in Compliance-Critical Environments. J Front Multidiscip Res. 2022;3:23-34. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390618881
10. Onukwulu EC, Dienagha IN, Digitemie WN, Egbumokei PI. Framework for Decentralized Energy Supply Chains Using Blockchain and IoT Technologies. Iconic Res Eng J. 2021;4(12):329-54.
11. Johar GV. Mistaken Inferences from Advertising Conversations: A Modest Research Agenda. J Advert. 2016;45(3):318-25. doi:10.1080/00913367.2016.1217810
12. Anderson ET, Simester D. Advertising in a competitive market: The role of product standards, customer learning,and switching costs. J Mark Res. 2013;50(4):489-504. doi:10.1509/JMR.11.0538
13. Odeshina A, Reis O, Okpeke F, Attipoe V, Orieno O. Project Management Innovations for Strengthening Cybersecurity Compliance across Complex Enterprises. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2021;2:871-81. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390695420
14. Odeshina A, Reis O, Okpeke F, Attipoe V, Orieno O. Artificial Intelligence Integration in Regulatory Compliance: A Strategic Model for Cybersecurity Enhancement. J Front Multidiscip Res. 2022;3:35-46. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391901838
15. Fredson G, Adebisi B, Ayorinde OB, Onukwulu EC, Adediwin O. Enhancing Procurement Efficiency through Business Process Re-Engineering: Cutting-Edge Approaches in the Energy Industry. Int J Soc Sci Except Res. 2022;1(1):38-54.
16. Onukwulu EC, Dienagha IN, Digitemie WN, Egwumokei PI. Advances in Digital Twin Technology for Monitoring Energy Supply Chain Operations. Iconic Res Eng J. 2022;5(12):372-400.
17. Adebisi B, Aigbedion E, Ayorinde OB, Onukwulu EC. A Conceptual Model for Predictive Asset Integrity Management Using Data Analytics to Enhance

Maintenance and Reliability in Oil & Gas Operations. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2021;2.
18. Osho GO, Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO. An Integrated AI-Power BI Model for Real-Time Supply Chain Visibility and Forecasting: A Data-Intelligence Approach to Operational Excellence. Unknown J. 2020.
19. Adekunle BI, Chukwuma-Eke EC, Balogun ED, Ogunsola KO. Machine learning for automation: Developing data-driven solutions for process optimization and accuracy improvement. Mach Learn. 2021;2(1):18.
20. Chianumba EC, Ikhalea N, Mustapha AY, Forkuo AY, Osamika D. Integrating AI, blockchain, and big data to strengthen healthcare data security, privacy, and patient outcomes. J Front Multidiscip Res. 2022;3(1):124-9.
21. Ogeawuchi JC, Akpe OE, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA, Owoade S. Systematic Review of Advanced Data Governance Strategies for Securing Cloud-Based Data Warehouses and Pipelines. Healthc Anal. 2022;45(45 SP 45-45). Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708318
22. Adesemoye OE, Chukwuma-Eke EC, Lawal CI, Isibor NJ, Akintobi AO, Ezeh FS. Improving financial forecasting accuracy through advanced data visualization techniques. IRE J. 2021;4(10):275-7. Available: https://irejournals.com/paper-details/1708078
23. Alonge EO, Eyo-Udo NL, Ubanadu BC, Daraojimba AI, Balogun ED. Enhancing data security with machine learning: A study on fraud detection algorithms. J Data Secur Fraud Prev. 2021;7(2):105-18.
24. Ilori O, Lawal CI, Friday SC, Isibor NJ, Chukwuma-Eke EC. The Role of Data Visualization and Forensic Technology in Enhancing Audit Effectiveness: A Research Synthesis. J Front Multidiscip Res. 2022;3(1).
25. Chianumba EC, Ikhalea N, Mustapha AY, Forkuo AY, Osamika D. Developing a predictive model for healthcare compliance, risk management, and fraud detection using data analytics. Int J Soc Sci Except Res. 2022;1(1):232-8.
26. Owobu WO, Abieba OA, Gbenle P, Onoja JP, Daraojimba AI, Adepoju AH. Conceptual Framework for Deploying Data Loss Prevention and Cloud Access Controls in Multi-Layered Security Environments. 2022.
27. Grandhi B, Patwa N, Saleem K. Data-driven marketing for growth and profitability. EuroMed J Bus. 2021;16(4):381-98. doi:10.1108/EMJB-09-2018-0054/FULL/PDF
28. Faith DO, Agwu PE. A Review of the Effect of Pricing Strategies on the Purchase of Consumer Goods. Aust Vet J. 2018;69(11):282. doi:10.1111/J.1751-0813.1992.TB09893.X
29. Aguirre E, Roggeveen AL, Grewal D, Wetzels M. The personalization-privacy paradox: implications for new media. J Consum Mark. 2016;33(2):98-110. doi:10.1108/JCM-06-2015-1458
30. Isibor NJ, Ewim CPM, Ibeh AI, Adaga EM, Sam-Bulya NJ, Achumie GO. A generalizable social media utilization framework for entrepreneurs: Enhancing digital branding, customer engagement, and growth. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2021.
31. Isibor NJ, Ewim CP, Ibeh AI, Adaga EM, Sam-Bulya NJ, Achumie GO. A Generalizable Social Media Utilization Framework for Entrepreneurs: Enhancing Digital Branding, Customer Engagement, and Growth. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2021;2(1):751-8. doi:10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.751-758
32. Aiello AE, Renson A, Zivich PN. Social media- and internet-based disease surveillance for public health. Annu Rev Public Health. 2019;41:101-18. doi:10.1146/ANNUREV-PUBLHEALTH-040119-094402
33. Messaoudi C, Guessoum Z, Romdhane LB. Opinion mining in online social media: a survey. Soc Netw Anal Min. 2022;12(1):1-18. doi:10.1007/S13278-021-00855-8/TABLES/3
34. Lie D, Austin LM, Sun PYP, Qiu W. Automating accountability? Privacy policies, data transparency, and the third party problem. Univ Tor Law J. 2021;72(2):155-88. doi:10.3138/UTLJ-2020-0136
35. Gbenle P, Abieba OA, Owobu WO, Onoja JP, Daraojimba AI, Adepoju AH. A Privacy-Preserving AI Model for Autonomous Detection and Masking of Sensitive User Data in Contact Center Analytics. 2022.
36. Baker AM, Donthu N, Kumar V. Investigating how word-of-mouth conversations about brands influence purchase and retransmission intentions. J Mark Res. 2016;53(2):225-39. doi:10.1509/JMR.14.0099
37. Osho GO. Decentralized Autonomous Organizations (DAOs): A Conceptual Model for Community-Owned Banking and Financial Governance. Unknown J. 2020.
38. Osho GO, Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO. A Conceptual Framework for AI-Driven Predictive Optimization in Industrial Engineering: Leveraging Machine Learning for Smart Manufacturing Decisions. Unknown J. 2020.
39. Omisola JO, Chima PE, Okenwa OK, Tokunbo GI. Green Financing and Investment Trends in Sustainable LNG Projects A Comprehensive Review. Unknown J. 2020.
40. Ogunwoye O, Onukwulu C, Sam-bulya J, Joel MO, Achimie O. Optimizing Supplier Relationship Management for Energy Supply Chain. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2022;3.
41. Onukwulu EC, Agho MO, Eyo-Udo NL. Advances in Green Logistics Integration for Sustainability in Energy Supply Chains. World J Adv Sci Technol. 2022;2(1):47-68.
42. Fredson G, Adebisi B, Ayorinde OB, Onukwulu EC, Adediwin O. Maximizing Business Efficiency through Strategic Contracting: Aligning Procurement Practices with Organizational Goals. Int J Soc Sci Except Res. 2022;1(1):1-15.
43. Anaba DC, Agho MO, Onukwulu EC, Egbumokei PI. Conceptual Model for Integrating Carbon Footprint Reduction and Sustainable Procurement in Offshore Energy Operations. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2022;4.
44. Adebisi B, Aigbedion E, Ayorinde OB, Onukwulu EC. A Conceptual Model for Implementing Lean Maintenance Strategies to Optimize Operational Efficiency and Reduce Costs in Oil & Gas Industries. Int J Manag Organ Res. 2022;1(1):50-7.
45. Ubamadu BC, Bihani D, Daraojimba AI, Osho GO, Omisola JO. Optimizing Smart Contract Development: A Practical Model for Gasless Transactions via Facial Recognition in Blockchain. Unknown J. 2022.
46. Omisola JO, Etukudoh EA, Okenwa OK, Olugbemi GIT, Ogu E. Geomechanical Modeling for Safe and Efficient

Horizontal Well Placement Analysis of Stress Distribution and Rock Mechanics to Optimize Well Placement and Minimize Drilling. Unknown J. 2020.
47. Angell R, Gorton M, Sauer J, Bottomley P, White J. Don't Distract Me When I'm Media Multitasking: Toward a Theory for Raising Advertising Recall and Recognition. J Advert. 2016;45(2):198-210. doi:10.1080/00913367.2015.1130665
48. Aral S, Dellarocas C, Godes D. Social media and business transformation: A Framework for research. Inf Syst Res. 2013;24(1):3-13. doi:10.1287/ISRE.1120.0470
49. Allcott H, Gentzkow M. Social media and fake news in the 2016 election. J Econ Perspect. 2017;31(2):211-36. doi:10.1257/JEP.31.2.211
50. Agbehadji IE, Awuzie BO, Ngowi AB, Millham RC. Review of big data analytics, artificial intelligence and nature-inspired computing models towards accurate detection of COVID-19 pandemic cases and contact tracing. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(15):1-16. doi:10.3390/IJERPH17155330
51. Lee D, Ooka R, Matsuda Y, Ikeda S, Choi W. Experimental analysis of artificial intelligence-based model predictive control for thermal energy storage under different cooling load conditions. Sustain Cities Soc. 2022;79:103700. doi:10.1016/J.SCS.2022.103700
52. Chianumba EC, Ikhalea N, Mustapha AY, Forkuo AY, Osamika D. A conceptual framework for leveraging big data and AI in enhancing healthcare delivery and public health policy. IRE J. 2021;5(6):303-10.
53. Adekunle BI, Chukwuma-Eke EC, Balogun ED, Ogunsola KO. A predictive modeling approach to optimizing business operations: A case study on reducing operational inefficiencies through machine learning. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2021;2:21.
54. Ogeawuchi JC, Akpe OE, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA. A Conceptual Framework for Survey-Based Student Experience Optimization Using BI Tools in Higher Education. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2022;3(1):1087-92. doi:10.54660/.ijmrge.2022.3.1.1087-1092
55. Barika M, Garg S, Zomaya AY, Wang L, Moorsel AVAN, Ranjan R. Orchestrating big data analysis workflows in the cloud: Research challenges, survey, and future directions. ACM Comput Surv. 2019;52(5):95. doi:10.1145/3332301/SUPPL_FILE/BARIKA.ZIP
56. Chowdhury S, Covic A, Acharya RY, Dupee S, Ganji F, Forte D. Physical security in the post-quantum era: A survey on side-channel analysis, random number generators, and physically unclonable functions. J Cryptogr Eng. 2022;12(3):267-303. doi:10.1007/s13389-021-00255-w
57. Rossiter JR, Percy L. Methodological Guidelines for Advertising Research. J Advert. 2017;46(1):71-82. doi:10.1080/00913367.2016.1182088
58. Zhao L. Event Prediction in the Big Data Era: A Systematic Survey. ACM Comput Surv. 2021;54(5). doi:10.1145/3450287/SUPPL_FILE/3450287-CORRIGENDUM.PDF
59. Gaddam A, Wilkin T, Angelova M, Gaddam J. Detecting Sensor Faults, Anomalies and Outliers in the Internet of Things: A Survey on the Challenges and Solutions. Electronics. 2020;9(3):511. doi:10.3390/ELECTRONICS9030511
60. Abayomi AA, Ogeawuchi JC, Akpe OE, Agboola OA. Systematic Review of Scalable CRM Data Migration Frameworks in Financial Institutions Undergoing Digital Transformation. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2022;3(1):1093-8. doi:10.54660/.ijmrge.2022.3.1.1093-1098
61. Abayomi AA, Mgbame AC, Akpe OE, Ogbuefi E, Adeyelu OO. Advancing equity through technology: Inclusive design of BI platforms for small businesses. Iconic Res Eng J. 2021;5(4):235-41. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708220
62. Alabi OA, Olonade ZO, Omotoye OO, Odebode AS. Non-Financial Rewards and Employee Performance in Money Deposit Banks in Lagos State, Nigeria. ABUAD J Soc Manag Sci. 2022;3(1):58-77. doi:10.53982/ajsms.2022.0301.05-j
63. Hinchcliffe D, Kim P. Social Business By Design: Transformative Social Media Strategies for the Connected Company. 2012. Available: https://books.google.co.za/books?hl=en&lr=&id=pk9LefSEyCAC&oi=fnd&pg=PR7&dq=The+last+decade+has+witnessed+a+seismic+shift+in+how+brands+conceptualize,+design,+and+execute+media+strategies&ots=-SHp7vFaQ3&sig=FX2XFSVN82yyG0qMioVeCJz1Wtc&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false
64. Fagundes L, Ribeiro V, Clark R, Mcmartin D. Innovation Co-creation: Development and Application in Today's Social Ecosystems. 2021. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-95864-4
65. Alonge EO, Eyo-Udo NL, Ubanadu BC, Daraojimba AI, Balogun ED, Ogunsola KO. Enhancing data security with machine learning: A study on fraud detection algorithms. J Front Multidiscip Res. 2021;2(1):19-31. doi:10.54660/.IJFMR.2021.2.1.19-31
66. Adekunle BI, Chukwuma-Eke EC, Balogun ED, Ogunsola KO. Machine learning for automation: Developing data-driven solutions for process optimization and accuracy improvement. Mach Learn. 2021;2(1).
67. Balogun ED, Ogunsola KO, Ogunmokun AS. Developing an advanced predictive model for financial planning and analysis using machine learning. Iconic Res Eng J. 2022;5(11):320.
68. Pietikäinen M, Silvén O. Challenges of Artificial Intelligence -- From Machine Learning and Computer Vision to Emotional Intelligence. 2022. Available: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2201.01466
69. Daraojimba AI, Ojika FU, Owobu WO, Abieba OA, Esan OJ, Ubamadu BC. A Conceptual Framework for AI-Driven Digital Transformation: Leveraging NLP and Machine Learning for Enhanced Data Flow in Retail Operations. 2021. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390928712
70. Satti FA, Ali T, Hussain J, Khan WA, Khattak AM, Lee S. Ubiquitous Health Profile (UHPr): a big data curation platform for supporting health data interoperability. Computing. 2020;102(11):2409-44. doi:10.1007/S00607-020-00837-2/FIGURES/8
71. Ali T, Lee S. Reconciliation of SNOMED CT and domain clinical model for interoperable medical

knowledge creation. Proc Annu Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc EMBS. 2017;2017:2654-7. doi:10.1109/EMBC.2017.8037403

72. Ojika FU, Owobu WO, Abieba OA, Esan OJ, Ubamadu BC, Daraojimba AI. The Role of AI in Cybersecurity: A Cross-Industry Model for Integrating Machine Learning and Data Analysis for Improved Threat Detection. 2022.
73. Adekunle BI, Chukwuma-Eke EC, Balogun ED, Ogunsola KO. A predictive modeling approach to optimizing business operations: A case study on reducing operational inefficiencies through machine learning. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2021;2:21.
74. Ojika FU, Owobu WO, Abieba OA, Esan OJ, Ubamadu BC, Daraojimba AI. AI-Driven Models for Data Governance: Improving Accuracy and Compliance through Automation and Machine Learning. 2022.
75. Liang W. Data Characterization and Anomaly Detection for HPC Datacenters Using Machine Learning. 2726.
76. Arya D, et al. Deep learning-based road damage detection and classification for multiple countries. 2021. doi:10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103935
77. Lecun Y, Bengio Y, Hinton G. Deep learning. Nature. 2015;521(7553):436-44. doi:10.1038/nature14539
78. He K, Zhang X, Ren S, Sun J. Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition. 2015. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03385
79. Rajput P, Chaturvedi M, Patel V. Road condition monitoring using unsupervised learning based bus trajectory processing. Multimodal Transp. 2022;1(4):100041. doi:10.1016/j.multra.2022.100041
80. Halper F. Advanced Analytics: Moving Toward AI, Machine Learning, and Natural Language Processing Best Practices Report. 2017.
81. Lagos T, et al. Identifying Optimal Portfolios of Resilient Network Investments against Natural Hazards, with Applications to Earthquakes. IEEE Trans Power Syst. 2020;35(2):1411-21. doi:10.1109/TPWRS.2019.2945316
82. Rudin C. Stop explaining black box machine learning models for high stakes decisions and use interpretable models instead. Nat Mach Intell. 2019;1(5):206-15. doi:10.1038/S42256-019-0048-X
83. Omisola JO, Etukudoh EA, Okenwa OK, Tokunbo GI. Geosteering Real-Time Geosteering Optimization Using Deep Learning Algorithms Integration of Deep Reinforcement Learning in Real-time Well Trajectory Adjustment to Maximize. Unknown J. 2020.
84. Abayomi AA, Ubanadu BC, Daraojimba AI, Agboola OA, Owoade S. A Conceptual Framework for Real-Time Data Analytics and Decision-Making in Cloud-Optimized Healthcare Intelligence Systems. Healthc Anal. 2022;45(45 SP 45-45). Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708317
85. Liu D, et al. A machine learning methodology for real-time forecasting of the 2019-2020 COVID-19 outbreak using Internet searches, news alerts, and estimates from mechanistic models. ArXiv. 2020. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32550248
86. Okolo FC, Etukudoh EA, Ogunwole O, Osho GO, Basiru JO. Advances in Integrated Geographic Information Systems and AI Surveillance for Real-Time Transportation Threat Monitoring. J Front Multidiscip Res. 2022;3(01):130-9.
87. Mehdiyev N, Houy C, Gutermuth O, Mayer L, Fettke P. Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) Supporting Public Administration Processes – On the Potential of XAI in Tax Audit Processes. Lect Notes Inf Syst Organ. 2021;46:413-28. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-86790-4_28/FIGURES/7
88. Odeshina A, Reis O, Okpeke F, Attipoe V, Orieno O. Artificial Intelligence Integration in Regulatory Compliance: A Strategic Model for Cybersecurity Enhancement. J Front Multidiscip Res. 2022;3:35-46. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391901838
89. Assunção G, Patrão B, Castelo-Branco M, Menezes P. An Overview of Emotion in Artificial Intelligence. IEEE Trans Artif Intell. 2022;3(6):867-86. doi:10.1109/TAI.2022.3159614
90. D'Aniello G, Gaeta M, La Rocca I. KnowMIS-ABSA: an overview and a reference model for applications of sentiment analysis and aspect-based sentiment analysis. Artif Intell Rev. 2022;55(7):5543-74. doi:10.1007/S10462-021-10134-9/METRICS
91. Stark L, Hoey J. The ethics of emotion in artificial intelligence systems. FAccT 2021 - Proc 2021 ACM Conf Fairness Account Transp. 2021:782-93. doi:10.1145/3442188.3445939
92. Canca C. Operationalizing AI ethics principles. Commun ACM. 2020;63(12):18-21. doi:10.1145/3430368
93. Osho GO. Building Scalable Blockchain Applications: A Framework for Leveraging Solidity and AWS Lambda in Real-World Asset Tokenization. Unknown J. 2020.
94. Omisola JO, Etukudoh EA, Okenwa OK, Tokunbo GI. Innovating Project Delivery and Piping Design for Sustainability in the Oil and Gas Industry: A Conceptual Framework. Perception. 2020;24:28-35.
95. Moonen N, Baijens J, Ebrahim M, Helms R. Small Business, Big Data: An Assessment Tool for (Big) Data Analytics Capabilities in SMEs. Acad Manag Proc. 2019;2019(1):16354. doi:10.5465/AMBPP.2019.16354ABSTRACT
96. Mgbame AC, Akpe OE, Abayomi AA, Ogbuefi E, Adeyelu OO. Building data-driven resilience in small businesses: A framework for operational intelligence. Iconic Res Eng J. 2022;5(9):695-712. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708219
97. Ribeiro MT, Singh S, Guestrin C. Model-Agnostic Interpretability of Machine Learning. 2016. Available: https://arxiv.org/pdf/1606.05386
98. Adekunle BI, Chukwuma-Eke EC, Balogun ED, Ogunsola KO. Predictive Analytics for Demand Forecasting: Enhancing Business Resource Allocation Through Time Series Models. J Front Multidiscip Res. 2021;2(01):32-42.
99. Hassan YG, Collins A, Babatunde GO, Alabi AA, Mustapha SD. AI-driven intrusion detection and threat modeling to prevent unauthorized access in smart manufacturing networks. Artif Intell. 2021;16.
100. Alonge EO, Eyo-Udo NL, Ubanadu BC, Daraojimba AI, Balogun ED, Ogunsola KO. Real-time data analytics for enhancing supply chain efficiency. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2021;2(1):759-71. doi:10.54660/.IJMRGE.2021.2.1.759-771

101. Oluoha OM, Odeshina A, Reis O, Okpeke F, Attipoe V, Orieno OH. Optimizing Business Decision-Making with Advanced Data Analytics Techniques. Iconic Res Eng J. 2022;6(5):184-203. Available: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1703887
102. Cantor MN, Thorpe L. Integrating data on social determinants of health into electronic health records. Health Aff. 2018;37(4):585-90. doi:10.1377/HLTHAFF.2017.1252
103. Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO, Osho GO. A Systems-Based Framework for ISO 9000 Compliance: Applying Statistical Quality Control and Continuous Improvement Tools in US Manufacturing. Unknown J. 2020.
104. Lowery K. A Delphi Study: A Model To Help It Management Within Financial Firms Reduce Regulatory Compliance Costs For Data Privacy And Cybersecurity By Rosnata Eugene. 2020.
105. Nacchia M, Fruggiero F, Lambiase A, Bruton K. A Systematic Mapping of the Advancing Use of Machine Learning Techniques for Predictive Maintenance in the Manufacturing Sector. Appl Sci. 2021;11(6):2546. doi:10.3390/APP11062546
106. Patel A, et al. A Practical Approach for Predicting Power in a Small-Scale Off-Grid Photovoltaic System using Machine Learning Algorithms. Int J Photoenergy. 2022;2022:9194537. doi:10.1155/2022/9194537
107. Ojika FU, Owobu WO, Abieba OA, Esan OJ, Ubamadu BC, Daraojimba AI. The Impact of Machine Learning on Image Processing: A Conceptual Model for Real-Time Retail Data Analysis and Model Optimization. 2022.