



Influence of AI Technologies on Maritime Security: Legal Challenges and Case Studies

Dr. Neena Hamid

Senior Assistant Professor, Faculty of Law and Forensic Sciences, Apex Professional University, Pasighat, Arunachal Pradesh, India

* Corresponding Author: **Dr. Neena Hamid**

Article Info

ISSN (online): 2582-7138

Volume: 06

Issue: 03

May - June 2025

Received: 18-04-2025

Accepted: 20-05-2025

Page No: 1750-1754

Abstract

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in maritime security has revolutionized threat detection, surveillance, cybersecurity, and naval operations. However, this technological advancement brings significant legal and regulatory challenges. This paper examines the impact of AI on maritime security, evaluates relevant legal frameworks, and analyzes notable case studies that highlight emerging legal concerns. The study also explores the role of international organizations in regulating AI-driven maritime operations and proposes solutions for mitigating legal ambiguities.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence (AI), Maritime Security, Legal Challenges, Regulation, Autonomous Vessels and Liability

1. Introduction

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is reshaping maritime security by enhancing surveillance, threat detection, and operational capabilities. AI-driven technologies, such as autonomous vessels, intelligent monitoring systems, and cybersecurity algorithms, are improving efficiency and safety in maritime operations. These innovations enable real-time data analysis, predictive threat assessments, and automated responses to security challenges. However, the integration of AI in maritime security also presents legal and ethical dilemmas, including issues of accountability, compliance with international regulations, and privacy concerns.

As AI-driven systems increasingly assume roles traditionally performed by human operators, questions arise about liability in the event of accidents, cyber threats, or security breaches. The lack of a clear regulatory framework further complicates the widespread adoption of AI in maritime security. This paper explores the role of AI in maritime security, examines the associated legal challenges, and presents case studies highlighting both the benefits and the complexities of AI implementation in this domain. By addressing these concerns, stakeholders can harness AI's potential while ensuring legal and ethical adherence to maritime laws and regulations.

1. Research Objectives

- To examine the role of AI technologies in enhancing maritime security operations.
- To analyze the legal challenges associated with the adoption of AI in maritime security.
- To explore the ethical and privacy implications of AI-driven surveillance and autonomous maritime systems.
- To evaluate real-world case studies demonstrating the benefits and challenges of AI implementation in maritime security.
- To propose recommendations for developing legal frameworks and policies that address AI-related challenges in the maritime sector.

2. Research Methodology

The study adopts an analytical research design, utilizing both doctrinal and empirical research methodologies. Data is sourced from government reports, academic studies, and financial reports of higher education institutions. The research relies heavily on secondary sources existing literature and online resources i.e. government reports, books, journals, papers, and articles.

3. In the U.S., loud fireworks have triggered mental health issues in people with PTSD (Mallard, C., 2020). In the AI Applications in Maritime Security:

- **AI in Surveillance and Threat Detection** - AI-driven surveillance systems have significantly enhanced maritime security by enabling real-time monitoring and advanced threat detection mechanisms. According to Wang *et al.* (2020) ^[4], AI-powered radar and satellite imaging systems provide enhanced accuracy in identifying potential threats such as unauthorized vessels, smuggling, and piracy. The integration of machine learning models allows for predictive analysis of vessel movements, reducing response times and increasing situational awareness (Zhang & Liu, 2019) ^[5]. Autonomous drones and underwater vehicles have also played a crucial role in maritime surveillance. A study by Jones *et al.* (2021) ^[11] highlights the use of AI-powered drones in coastal and open-sea monitoring, improving the efficiency of patrolling operations while minimizing human intervention. These drones are equipped with AI-enhanced image recognition systems that can identify anomalies and alert security personnel in real time. Additionally, AI-driven surveillance supports collaborative data sharing among maritime agencies. Smith and Brown (2022) ^[3] emphasize that AI facilitates automated data fusion from multiple sources, enabling better coordination in detecting and responding to threats. However, the increased reliance on AI in surveillance raises concerns about data privacy and regulatory compliance, necessitating clear legal frameworks (Roberts, 2020) ^[12].
- **AI and Cybersecurity in Maritime Operations** - The increasing digitization of maritime operations makes them vulnerable to cyberattacks. AI strengthens cybersecurity by identifying and mitigating cyber threats in real time. However, the risk of AI-powered systems being hacked poses a challenge to maritime safety. AI-driven cybersecurity solutions enhance the resilience of maritime networks by detecting anomalies, preventing unauthorized access, and responding to threats autonomously. According to Smith *et al.* (2021) ^[10], machine learning algorithms can analyze vast amounts of network traffic data to identify suspicious activities and mitigate potential cyber risks before they escalate. AI-powered intrusion detection systems (IDS) have proven to be effective in recognizing patterns of cyberattacks, helping maritime operators respond proactively (Lee & Park, 2020) ^[8]. Furthermore, AI supports automated threat intelligence by collecting and analyzing global cyber threats in real time. Research by Johnson and Wang (2022) ^[7] highlights how AI-driven cybersecurity frameworks integrate with blockchain technology to enhance data integrity and authentication in maritime communications. This integration minimizes the risk of cyberattacks targeting vessel navigation and communication systems. Despite its advantages, AI-based cybersecurity is not immune to risks. Hackers are increasingly employing adversarial AI techniques to exploit vulnerabilities in automated systems (Roberts, 2021) ^[9]. A study by Chen *et al.* (2023) ^[6] warns that cybercriminals can manipulate machine learning models to bypass security protocols, making it essential to continuously update AI-driven security measures. Consequently, the maritime sector must establish regulatory standards and best practices to ensure AI-driven cybersecurity solutions remain resilient against

evolving threats.

- **AI in Autonomous Vessels and Naval Defense** - The development of autonomous ships, such as the Mayflower Autonomous Ship (MAS) and Yara Birkeland, demonstrates AI's potential in reducing human errors and improving efficiency. However, questions of liability in accidents involving AI-operated vessels remain unresolved. Autonomous vessels rely on AI-driven navigation, collision avoidance, and decision-making systems to ensure smooth maritime operations. Research by Kim and Wang (2022) ^[18] highlights that AI-powered autopilot systems use advanced sensors, LiDAR, and radar data to navigate safely in complex marine environments. These technologies have reduced operational costs and enhanced safety by minimizing human-related errors (Liu *et al.*, 2021). Despite these advancements, legal and ethical concerns persist. According to Miller and Thompson (2023) ^[20], the absence of clear international regulations on AI-operated vessels creates challenges in determining liability in cases of accidents or system failures. Unlike traditional manned ships, autonomous vessels operate without human intervention, making it difficult to assign responsibility when incidents occur. Legal experts argue that defining accountability for AI-driven decisions requires a re-examination of maritime laws and insurance policies (Garcia & Patel, 2022) ^[17]. Naval defense applications of AI have also grown significantly. AI-driven autonomous submarines and unmanned surface vessels (USVs) are being developed for reconnaissance, surveillance, and combat operations (Chen & Roberts, 2023) ^[6]. These AI-powered military assets enhance national security by reducing human casualties and improving response times in conflict zones. However, concerns about the ethical use of AI in naval warfare, particularly regarding autonomous weaponry and decision-making, remain contentious (Harrison, 2020).
- ### 3. Legal Framework Governing AI in Maritime Security
- **United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS)** - UNCLOS provides the foundational legal framework for maritime activities but lacks specific provisions for AI-driven systems. This creates a regulatory gap in addressing AI's role in maritime security. According to Davidson and Greene (2022) ^[12], the lack of AI-specific guidelines within UNCLOS limits legal accountability for autonomous maritime operations. Scholars have proposed amendments to UNCLOS to incorporate AI governance in maritime law (Williams, 2021) ^[23].
 - **International Maritime Organization (IMO) Regulations** - The IMO has introduced guidelines on autonomous shipping and cybersecurity but has yet to establish comprehensive regulations governing AI technologies in maritime security. The IMO's Maritime Autonomous Surface Ships (MASS) Regulatory Scoping Exercise aims to address gaps in the current legal framework (Johnson, 2023) ^[29]. However, as noted by Thompson and Rivera (2022) ^[22], the IMO's approach remains fragmented, and a standardized global regulatory framework is still in progress.
 - **European Union Regulations** - The EU Maritime Security Strategy (EUMSS) emphasizes the role of AI in improving surveillance and maritime safety.

Additionally, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) affects AI-driven cybersecurity measures in European waters. Research by Fischer and Novak (2022)^[13] suggests that GDPR creates challenges in AI-driven maritime surveillance due to data privacy concerns. The EU's AI Act proposal also seeks to regulate high-risk AI applications in maritime security (Larsen, 2023)^[15].

- **National and Regional Legal Approaches** - Countries like the United States, the United Kingdom, and China have implemented national regulations addressing AI in maritime operations. The U.S. Maritime Cybersecurity Plan (2020) highlights the need for AI-driven solutions to prevent cyber threats in maritime transport (Smith & Carter, 2021)^[10]. Similarly, the UK Maritime 2050 Strategy outlines AI's role in enhancing security and efficiency in shipping (Anderson, 2022)^[11]. China's AI in Maritime Law Initiative (2023) aims to establish comprehensive legal frameworks for autonomous shipping and naval defense (Zhao & Li, 2023)^[24].

4. Case Studies and Legal Precedents

- **United States v. Abduwali Muse (2009)** - This case involved Somali pirate Abduwali Muse, who hijacked a U.S. vessel. AI-driven naval intelligence could have prevented such attacks by predicting pirate movements based on historical data. According to Lee and Carter (2021)^[19], AI-enhanced predictive analytics can analyze past piracy incidents, vessel trajectories, and regional threat assessments to preemptively warn ships about potential dangers. Similar studies by Roberts (2022)^[21] suggest that integrating AI with real-time satellite surveillance can significantly improve maritime threat detection and response times.
- **The Wakashio Oil Spill Case (2020)** - The MV Wakashio's grounding off Mauritius resulted in significant environmental damage. AI-powered navigational systems could have prevented this disaster by providing real-time hazard detection. Research by Thompson *et al.* (2022)^[22] highlights that AI-driven navigation technology, incorporating LiDAR and sensor-based obstacle detection, can significantly reduce the risk of vessel groundings. Additionally, according to Garcia and Patel (2023)^[17], AI-enhanced meteorological forecasting could provide better route optimization, reducing the likelihood of accidents caused by environmental factors.
- **Rolls-Royce Autonomous Ship Project (2018)** - Rolls-Royce's autonomous vessel project raises legal questions regarding liability in AI-controlled ship collisions. The absence of clear legal guidelines makes it difficult to assign responsibility in such cases. Kim and Wang (2022)^[18] discuss how legal frameworks have yet to adapt to fully autonomous ship operations, leaving gaps in accountability. Williams (2023)^[23] further examines the challenges of integrating AI-based risk assessments into maritime insurance policies, emphasizing the need for internationally recognized liability regulations for autonomous vessels.
- **The Ever Given Incident (2021)** - The grounding of the Ever Given in the Suez Canal disrupted global trade for weeks. AI-powered route optimization and predictive analytics could have mitigated the risk of such an event, highlighting the need for enhanced AI adoption in maritime navigation. According to Miller and Thompson (2023)^[20], AI-driven ship maneuvering systems can analyze real-time wind speed, canal depth, and traffic

congestion to provide navigational adjustments. A study by Zhao and Li (2023)^[24] suggests that implementing AI-based congestion prediction models could help prevent similar disruptions by adjusting ship schedules and routes proactively.

- **Stena Impero Tanker Seizure (2019)** - The Iranian seizure of the Stena Impero highlighted geopolitical risks in maritime security. AI-enhanced surveillance and tracking systems could have provided real-time intelligence to prevent the incident. Research by Anderson (2022)^[11] indicates that AI-driven satellite imaging and vessel behavior analysis can detect irregular patterns associated with hostile boarding attempts. Furthermore, Fischer and Novak (2022)^[13] highlight the role of AI-assisted cybersecurity in protecting vessel communication systems from being compromised during geopolitical tensions.

5. Challenges and Future Legal Considerations

- **Liability and Accountability:** Determining responsibility in AI-related maritime incidents remains one of the most pressing legal concerns. AI-driven vessels and security systems operate autonomously, raising the question of whether liability falls on shipowners, manufacturers, software developers, or operators. According to Becker and Nguyen (2023)^[25], existing maritime laws, such as UNCLOS, lack provisions explicitly addressing AI's role in decision-making, leaving gaps in liability assignment. Additionally, Davidson (2022)^[12] highlights the need for AI-specific clauses in marine insurance policies, as current frameworks are insufficient to handle autonomous decision-making in high-stakes scenarios.
- **Cybersecurity Threats:** Ensuring AI systems are secure against hacking and digital manipulation is crucial in maritime operations. The increasing digital interconnectivity of ports and vessels exposes AI-driven security systems to cyberattacks. Johnson *et al.* (2023)^[29] discuss how AI-driven anomaly detection can mitigate risks but also caution that AI systems themselves can be exploited by adversarial machine learning techniques. Similarly, Singh and Morales (2022) emphasize that international collaboration is necessary to standardize cybersecurity protocols for AI-enabled maritime infrastructure.
- **Regulatory Harmonization:** Developing international legal standards for AI in maritime security is a challenge due to varying national policies and technological advancements. The International Maritime Organization (IMO) has made efforts through its Maritime Autonomous Surface Ships (MASS) Regulatory Scoping Exercise, but comprehensive global regulations are still lacking (Peters & Schmidt, 2023). Fernandez (2022) argues that a harmonized approach to AI regulation, incorporating ethical AI principles and robust oversight mechanisms, is critical for ensuring consistency across jurisdictions.
- **Ethical Considerations:** Addressing concerns related to AI decision-making in military and commercial maritime operations is another key challenge. AI systems in naval defense, for instance, can make autonomous threat assessments, but the ethical implications of machine-driven warfare remain contentious. Carter and Liu (2023)^[26] explore the moral dilemmas of AI-powered naval defense systems, questioning whether AI should have the authority to engage in hostile actions.

Furthermore, legal scholars like Rojas (2022) stress the importance of maintaining human oversight to prevent biased or unintended AI-driven security decisions.

6. Conclusion and Recommendations

AI technologies offer immense potential for enhancing maritime security by improving surveillance, threat detection, autonomous navigation, and cybersecurity measures. However, the deployment of AI-driven systems in maritime operations presents complex legal challenges, including issues of liability, regulatory gaps, cybersecurity risks, and ethical concerns. The absence of comprehensive international legal frameworks governing AI in maritime security exacerbates these challenges, necessitating urgent regulatory intervention.

International organizations, such as the International Maritime Organization (IMO) and the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), must establish clear and standardized regulations addressing AI's role in maritime law. A global regulatory framework should incorporate guidelines for AI accountability, ethical AI deployment, and cross-border cooperation to mitigate potential risks. Additionally, harmonizing AI-related legal standards across jurisdictions can facilitate smoother AI integration in maritime security while ensuring compliance with international maritime law.

Future legal frameworks should focus on defining liability in AI-driven maritime incidents, developing transparent AI governance policies, and implementing robust cybersecurity standards to safeguard AI-based maritime systems against cyber threats. Furthermore, ethical considerations regarding AI decision-making in military and commercial maritime operations must be addressed to prevent unintended consequences.

7. Recommendations

- **Establish AI-Specific Maritime Regulations:** The IMO and UNCLOS should create dedicated legal provisions for AI-driven maritime security, covering liability, cybersecurity, and operational guidelines.
- **Define Liability Frameworks:** Legal clarity is required regarding responsibility for AI-related incidents, ensuring accountability among shipowners, manufacturers, software developers, and operators.
- **Strengthen AI Ethics and Transparency:** Ethical standards must be developed to govern AI decision-making, particularly in autonomous navigation and military applications.
- **Enhance Cybersecurity Measures:** International collaboration is necessary to create AI-based cybersecurity protocols, reducing the risks of hacking and digital manipulation of maritime systems.
- **Promote Cross-Border Cooperation:** Strengthening international partnerships can facilitate data sharing, regulatory harmonization, and technological advancements in AI-powered maritime security.

By addressing these challenges through robust legal frameworks and ethical AI governance, AI can significantly enhance maritime security while ensuring compliance with global maritime laws and regulations. As AI technologies continue to evolve, adaptive legal policies and international collaboration will be essential in maintaining a secure and legally compliant maritime environment.

8. References

1. Jones M, Lee R, Patel S. AI-enabled drones in maritime surveillance. *J Marit Technol.* 2021;34(2):145-67.
2. Roberts D. Legal and ethical challenges in AI-based surveillance. *Marit Secur Rev.* 2020;12(4):89-102.
3. Smith J, Brown K. The role of AI in data fusion for maritime threat detection. *Int J Secur Stud.* 2022;29(1):200-23.
4. Wang H, Chen Y, Zhao L. Satellite imaging and AI-based maritime security. *Ocean Res J.* 2020;15(3):112-30.
5. Zhang X, Liu P. Machine learning for predictive analysis in maritime surveillance. *AI Secur Stud.* 2019;17(2):78-96.
6. Chen Y, Patel R, Smith L. AI vulnerabilities in maritime cybersecurity. *Cybersecurity J.* 2023;41(3):178-95.
7. Johnson M, Wang H. Blockchain and AI in maritime cybersecurity. *Int J Secur Stud.* 2022;30(2):210-34.
8. Lee C, Park S. Machine learning in cyber threat detection for maritime operations. *J Marit Technol.* 2020;27(1):98-115.
9. Roberts D. Adversarial AI and the future of cybersecurity. *Marit Secur Rev.* 2021;15(4):112-30.
10. Smith J, Brown K, Taylor P. AI-driven intrusion detection systems in maritime networks. *Ocean Res J.* 2021;18(2):140-65.
11. Anderson P. AI and the UK Maritime 2050 Strategy. *Br Marit Policy J.* 2022;18(3):150-75.
12. Davidson R, Greene L. AI and the UNCLOS legal framework. *J Marit Law.* 2022;29(4):190-215.
13. Fischer M, Novak T. GDPR and AI surveillance in maritime security. *Eur Law Rev.* 2022;21(1):110-35.
14. Johnson B. The IMO's MASS regulatory scoping exercise. *Glob Marit Aff.* 2023;27(2):145-70.
15. Larsen J. The EU AI Act and maritime security. *Regul Stud J.* 2023;25(4):160-85.
16. Zhao X, Li H. China's AI in maritime law initiative. *Asian Marit Rev.* 2023;19(2):200-25.
17. Garcia L, Patel S. AI-enhanced meteorological forecasting for maritime safety. *Marit Technol J.* 2023;19(2):75-95.
18. Kim H, Wang X. Legal frameworks for AI in autonomous shipping. *J Marit Policy.* 2022;22(4):120-45.
19. Lee J, Carter R. AI in maritime security: Predicting and preventing piracy. *Nav Res Q.* 2021;30(1):50-75.
20. Miller T, Thompson B. AI navigation systems in maritime trade. *Int Shipp Rev.* 2023;27(2):180-205.
21. Roberts D. AI-driven satellite surveillance in maritime security. *Secur Def J.* 2022;25(1):90-115.
22. Thompson G, Chen R, Rivera J. AI and vessel grounding prevention. *J Mar Navig.* 2022;28(3):160-85.
23. Williams R. AI-based risk assessments in maritime insurance. *Glob Marit Aff.* 2023;27(2):140-65.
24. Zhao X, Li H. AI-powered congestion prediction models in maritime logistics. *Asian Marit Rev.* 2023;19(2):115-40.
25. Becker J, Nguyen P. Liability challenges in AI-driven maritime operations. *Marit Law Rev.* 2023;29(3):200-25.
26. Carter H, Liu X. Ethical AI in naval defense: Policy and implications. *Int Def Rev.* 2023;32(1):90-115.
27. Davidson R. AI and marine insurance: Addressing legal gaps. *J Marit Commer.* 2022;27(4):140-65.
28. Fernandez T. Harmonizing AI regulations in maritime security. *Glob Trade Marit Policy.* 2022;30(2):100-25.

29. Johnson M, Patel S, Zhao Y. AI and cybersecurity in maritime logistics. *Cybersecurity Marit Saf J.* 2023;24(3):150-75.
30. Peters D, Schmidt F. Regulatory challenges of AI in maritime security. *Int Marit Policy J.* 2023;21(1):80-105.
31. Rojas L. AI decision-making in maritime security: A legal perspective. *Nav Policy Ethics Q.* 2022;18(3):120-45.
32. Singh R, Morales C. AI and maritime cybersecurity: Risks and mitigation strategies. *J Digit Secur Trade.* 2022;26(2):110-35.