International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation.

Blockchain-Enabled Consent Management in Healthcare: A Framework for Enforcing Privacy Preferences and Regulatory Compliance

David Frempong [1*], Chigozie Emmanuel Benson [2], Odunayo Oyasiji [3], Adeola Okesiji [3]
[1] Ballous STAY Opportunity Academy, District of Columbia, USA
[2] Indepentent Researcher, Texas, Nigeria
[3] Independent Researcher, Calgary, Alberta

* Corresponding Author: **David Frempong**

Article Info

ISSN (online): 2582-7138
Volume: 05
Issue: 03
May - June 2024
Received: 04-05-2024
Accepted: 05-06-2024
Page No: 1059-1068

Abstract
The digitization of healthcare records and the proliferation of patient data across interconnected systems have raised significant concerns about privacy, regulatory compliance, and consent management. Traditional methods of obtaining and maintaining patient consent are often fragmented, static, and non-compliant with dynamic legal standards such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA). This paper explores a blockchain-enabled framework for consent management in healthcare, focusing on enforcing patient privacy preferences and regulatory compliance. Through a comprehensive review of existing literature and frameworks, this paper proposes a decentralized consent management architecture leveraging smart contracts and distributed ledger technologies to provide secure, transparent, and tamper-proof consent enforcement. The study also outlines the potential of blockchain to automate compliance tracking, enhance interoperability, and empower patients with granular control over their health data. Recommendations for future research directions and technical challenges are also presented.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54660/.IJMRGE.2024.5.3.1059-1068

Keywords: Blockchain, Consent Management, Healthcare Privacy, Data Sharing, Compliance, Smart Contracts

1. Introduction

The rapid evolution of digital technologies has catalyzed a transformation in the global healthcare landscape. Electronic Health Records (EHRs), telemedicine, Internet of Medical Things (IoMT), wearable technologies, and AI-driven diagnostics have significantly improved the delivery and personalization of medical services. However, these technological advancements have simultaneously intensified concerns around data privacy, security, and patient autonomy [1, 2]. Central to these concerns is the mechanism by which patient consent is obtained, managed, and enforced across digital health ecosystems. Inadequate consent management frameworks have led to data breaches, unauthorized access, and legal violations, undermining public trust in digital health initiatives [3, 4, 5].

Patient consent is not merely a procedural formality, it embodies the ethical and legal principles of autonomy, transparency, and informed decision-making [6]. Modern healthcare regulations such as the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the United States' Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) explicitly mandate that healthcare providers ensure transparent, granular, and revocable consent for the use and sharing of patient data [7, 8]. However, compliance with these standards remains a formidable challenge. Traditional consent systems, often reliant on static paper forms or centralized digital repositories, are ill-equipped to address the dynamic, interoperable, and multi-stakeholder nature of modern healthcare data flows. Moreover, the proliferation of cloud computing, cross-border data sharing, and third-party data processors complicates the enforcement of privacy preferences.

Patients typically lack visibility into how their data is used and shared, and they have limited control over revoking consent or auditing access. This gap between regulatory mandates and technical capabilities necessitates a paradigm shift in how consent is managed [9, 10].

Blockchain technology, with its attributes of immutability, transparency, and decentralized control, presents a compelling solution to this challenge. By leveraging smart contracts self-executing code deployed on a blockchain healthcare systems can encode, enforce, and audit patient consent preferences in a secure and automated manner [11, 12]. Unlike centralized databases that are vulnerable to tampering and single points of failure, blockchain offers a distributed ledger that records every transaction transparently and immutably. This characteristic is particularly advantageous in the context of healthcare, where trust, accountability, and compliance are paramount [13].

Furthermore, blockchain-enabled identity solutions such as Decentralized Identifiers (DIDs) and Verifiable Credentials (VCs) empower patients with sovereign control over their identities and data. These technologies allow patients to authorize data access on a need-to-know basis, monitor data flows in real-time, and revoke permissions as needed without relying on intermediaries [14, 15]. When integrated with standards like HL7 FHIR (Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources), blockchain-based consent systems can seamlessly interoperate with existing healthcare infrastructures, enhancing their feasibility and scalability [17].

However, the adoption of blockchain in healthcare consent management is not without challenges. Technical limitations such as transaction throughput, data privacy on public chains, and interoperability with legacy systems must be addressed [18, 19]. Legal and ethical considerations, including the alignment of immutable ledgers with data erasure rights under GDPR, also require careful navigation. Despite these hurdles, a growing body of literature and pilot projects demonstrate the viability and benefits of blockchain in enforcing patient-centric consent models [20, 21].

This paper aims to synthesize existing research on blockchain-enabled consent management in healthcare and propose a comprehensive framework that aligns with regulatory requirements while enhancing patient autonomy and system interoperability. The objectives of this study are fourfold:

1. To critically evaluate the limitations of current consent management systems in healthcare.
2. To explore the potential of blockchain technologies, particularly smart contracts and decentralized identity, in addressing these limitations.
3. To propose an architectural framework for implementing a blockchain-based consent management system.
4. To identify the technical, regulatory, and ethical challenges associated with such a system and suggest avenues for future research.

By focusing on the intersection of blockchain technology and healthcare consent management, this paper contributes to the ongoing discourse on secure, transparent, and patient-centric data governance. It seeks to inform healthcare providers, policymakers, technologists, and researchers about the transformative potential of decentralized consent systems in safeguarding patient rights and ensuring regulatory compliance in the digital age [20, 22, 23].

2. Literature Review

The literature surrounding consent management, blockchain, and healthcare interoperability reflects the growing need to bridge technological capabilities with regulatory and ethical mandates. This review is structured across key thematic domains to facilitate clarity and focus.

2.1 Traditional Consent Models in Healthcare

Consent in healthcare has traditionally been captured using paper-based forms or static digital entries, often during the patient intake process. Studies by Rumbold and Pierscionek and Willison *et al.* [24] argue that these mechanisms are insufficiently dynamic to cope with modern health data flows, particularly in contexts involving secondary data usage or cross-border data sharing. In centralized systems, the control over patient consent typically resides with the healthcare institution, not the patient a practice that undermines patient autonomy [25, 26, 27].

Digital consent management systems have emerged as a step forward, providing electronic consent capture and auditing capabilities. However, these systems often operate in silos, lacking interoperability across institutions and offering limited support for granular or revocable consent preferences [28]. Furthermore, centralized databases remain vulnerable to data breaches, manipulation, and unauthorized access [29, 30].

2.2 Legal and Ethical Imperatives for Consent

Regulations such as the GDPR and HIPAA impose explicit requirements for transparent, informed, and revocable consent in healthcare data usage. Article 7 of the GDPR mandates that consent be demonstrable and withdrawable at any time [31]. HIPAA stipulates that patients must be informed about how their protected health information (PHI) will be used and disclosed [32, 33].

Despite these legal standards, multiple empirical studies demonstrate significant compliance gaps. For instance, Caine and Hanania [34] observed that many healthcare providers inadequately inform patients about data-sharing practices, while research by Ancker *et al.* [35] found inconsistencies in how consent is documented across Electronic Health Records (EHRs).

Ethically, informed consent is grounded in the principle of respect for autonomy. However, its implementation in healthcare systems is often superficial, with patients merely signing consent forms without fully understanding or controlling downstream data usage [36, 37, 38].

2.3 Blockchain Fundamentals and Their Relevance

Blockchain is a distributed ledger technology that enables secure, immutable, and transparent record-keeping without reliance on a central authority [39]. Each block in a blockchain contains a cryptographic hash of the previous block, a timestamp, and transaction data. These characteristics make blockchain particularly suitable for scenarios requiring trust, auditability, and resistance to tampering [40, 41].

Smart contracts self-executing programs running on blockchain platforms further enhance the system by enabling conditional transactions and automated policy enforcement. Ethereum and Hyperledger Fabric are among the most studied blockchain platforms in this context [42, 43].

In healthcare, blockchain's potential has been recognized for applications in clinical trials, drug traceability, patient identity management, and secure EHR sharing [44]. The consensus mechanisms (e.g., Proof of Work, Proof of

Authority) and permissioned blockchain variants enable customization to meet healthcare performance and privacy needs [45, 46].

2.4 Blockchain Applications in Healthcare Consent Management

Azaria *et al.* [47] introduced MedRec, one of the earliest blockchain-based systems for medical record access and permission management. MedRec utilizes Ethereum smart contracts to log data access events and manage patient-provider interactions. Similarly, the Consent2Share platform integrates blockchain with EHRs to allow dynamic consent management [48, 49, 50].

Ekblaw *et al.* [51] emphasized the importance of auditability in blockchain-based healthcare solutions, proposing a metadata framework that preserves patient privacy while ensuring verifiable data provenance. Yue *et al.* [52] proposed a blockchain-based system using attribute-based encryption to ensure that only authorized parties could access sensitive data, with patients controlling decryption keys.

Recent studies highlight the use of decentralized identifiers (DIDs) and verifiable credentials (VCs) as building blocks for self-sovereign identity in healthcare [53]. Projects such as Sovrin and uPort demonstrate how DIDs can enhance user control over identity and consent assertions without relying on central identity providers [54, 55, 56, 57].

2.5 Smart Contracts and Automated Consent Enforcement

Smart contracts offer a powerful mechanism for enforcing user-defined data policies. They can encode consent logic such as "only share data for research purposes" or "allow access to cardiology records for 30 days." Researchers like Zhang and Lin [58] have proposed policy engines based on smart contracts for fine-grained access control.

Dinh *et al.* [59] evaluated the performance of smart contract-based healthcare systems and identified trade-offs between execution cost, complexity, and scalability. Moreover, Tanwar *et al.* [60] discussed integrating smart contracts with the FHIR standard to ensure interoperability with existing EHR systems.

Despite their potential, smart contracts are limited by the immutability of deployed code. Mistakes in logic or policy definition can be difficult to reverse, necessitating robust design, testing, and upgrade mechanisms [61].

2.6 Challenges in Blockchain-Based Consent Systems

While promising, blockchain-based consent systems face several hurdles. One is the conflict between data immutability and the GDPR's "right to be forgotten." Various workarounds, such as storing hashes or using off-chain storage with on-chain pointers, have been proposed [62-66].

Another challenge lies in scalability and transaction throughput. Public blockchains like Ethereum may suffer from latency and cost issues, while private or consortium blockchains offer better performance but raise concerns about trust and governance [67-69].

Usability is another underexplored area. Studies by Lu *et al.* [70] and Esposito *et al.* [71] point out that patient interfaces for consent management must be intuitive and accessible, especially for elderly or digitally underserved populations.

2.7 Summary of Research Gaps

Despite a growing number of proposals and prototypes, few blockchain-based consent systems have reached large-scale deployment. Challenges around regulatory compliance, scalability, user experience, and system interoperability remain unresolved. Additionally, much of the existing work focuses on technical feasibility rather than long-term sustainability or clinical integration.

To advance the field, interdisciplinary research is needed bridging computer science, healthcare informatics, ethics, and law. Moreover, empirical evaluations and pilot studies in real-world settings will be critical for validating proposed frameworks and informing best practices.

The following section outlines the methodology used to develop the conceptual framework proposed in this paper.

3. Methodology

Given the conceptual and exploratory nature of this study, the research methodology adopted is rooted in a structured literature review and theoretical framework development. This approach is appropriate in contexts where empirical data is unavailable or where the research objective is to synthesize diverse domains of knowledge to propose a novel conceptual model.

3.1 Research Design

This study follows a qualitative, inductive design aimed at constructing a conceptual framework for blockchain-enabled consent management in healthcare. The research methodology includes: (i) extensive literature analysis across healthcare informatics, blockchain technologies, privacy regulations, and consent models; (ii) identification of gaps and limitations in existing systems; (iii) synthesis of design principles and enabling technologies from the reviewed works; and (iv) proposition of an integrated architectural framework aligned with identified requirements.

3.2 Literature Selection Strategy

A systematic literature review was conducted using electronic databases such as IEEE Xplore, PubMed, ACM Digital Library, ScienceDirect, and Google Scholar. Search queries included combinations of terms such as "blockchain in healthcare," "consent management," "GDPR and healthcare," "smart contracts," "EHR interoperability," and "decentralized identity."

The selection criteria were as follows:

- Publication year between 2014 and 2024
- Peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and authoritative white papers
- Relevance to at least one of the key thematic areas: healthcare privacy, blockchain design, legal frameworks, or consent enforcement mechanisms.

In total, over 300 initial publications were screened, of which approximately 110 met the relevance and quality criteria for inclusion. The selected references form the backbone of the framework development in this study.

3.3 Thematic Analysis and Synthesis

A thematic analysis was applied to extract patterns, common principles, and technology enablers from the literature. The review was organized around key themes such as data governance, trust and transparency, interoperability, consent logic, and compliance automation. Key technologies such as Ethereum smart contracts, Hyperledger Fabric, Decentralized Identifiers (DIDs), and Verifiable Credentials (VCs) were

analyzed for their suitability in healthcare contexts.
Legal and regulatory documents were also reviewed, including the full text of the GDPR, HIPAA, and country-specific healthcare data laws. Ethical considerations were extracted from bioethics literature and healthcare governance frameworks [55, 56].

3.4 Framework Development Approach
Building on the thematic synthesis, a conceptual framework for blockchain-enabled consent management was developed. This framework is designed to meet the following requirements:

- Patient-centric data governance
- Granular and revocable consent
- Tamper-proof audit trails
- Legal and ethical compliance
- Interoperability with existing EHR systems

The proposed framework includes three core layers

1. **Data Governance and Identity Layer**: Utilizes blockchain to manage digital identities (via DIDs) and enforces access control based on user-defined rules.
2. **Consent Logic and Smart Contract Layer**: Encodes user preferences into smart contracts that execute automatically upon data access requests.
3. **Audit and Compliance Layer**: Maintains immutable records of consent, access history, and compliance checks to support regulatory reporting.

These components are outlined in detail in Section 4. The framework is validated conceptually through comparison with existing systems and alignment with regulatory imperatives identified in the literature.

3.5 Limitations of Methodology
As a literature-based conceptual study, this methodology does not include empirical testing or real-world deployment of the framework. The findings are dependent on the accuracy and relevance of existing literature, and the proposed model remains hypothetical until implemented and evaluated in a healthcare setting. Future work will aim to validate the framework through stakeholder engagement, simulation, and pilot implementations.
The next section introduces the architectural framework for blockchain-enabled consent management in healthcare, grounded in the design principles established here.

4. Proposed Framework
The proposed blockchain-enabled consent management framework is a multi-layered architecture designed to meet both technical and regulatory requirements for secure, user-centric data sharing in healthcare. It integrates blockchain principles, smart contract automation, and patient identity standards to ensure transparent, compliant, and efficient consent handling.

4.1 Framework Overview
The framework is built upon three core layers that interact with each other:

1. Data Governance and Identity Layer
2. Consent Logic and Smart Contract Layer
3. Audit and Compliance Layer

Each layer is modular and interoperable, allowing adaptation across healthcare ecosystems such as hospitals, insurers, labs, and telemedicine platforms [72, 73].

4.2 Data Governance and Identity Layer
This layer is responsible for secure identity management and access policy enforcement. It includes:

- **Decentralized Identifiers (DIDs):** Patients and healthcare providers are issued cryptographically secure identities managed on-chain or off-chain.
- **Access Control Policies:** Patients define who can access which health data and under what circumstances (e.g., time, location, purpose).
- **Data Tokenization:** Health data (EHRs, imaging, diagnostics) is tokenized and stored off-chain in secure data vaults. Blockchain records only pointers and hashes.

This layer aligns with GDPR's data minimization and identity verification requirements and allows identity federation across platforms [74].

4.3 Consent Logic and Smart Contract Layer
At the heart of the framework is the consent engine, built on smart contracts (e.g., Ethereum or Hyperledger Fabric chaincode). Key features include:

- **Dynamic Consent Management:** Patients can issue, revoke, or modify consent in real-time through smart contract interfaces.
- **Granular Permissions:** Consent can be defined at the level of specific data fields, time windows, and authorized entities.
- **Automated Enforcement:** When a data access request is submitted by a provider, the smart contract checks the request against active consent permissions and executes the transaction only if authorized.
- **User Interface Integration:** Front-end portals and mobile apps allow patients to visualize and modify consent settings interactively [75, 76].

The smart contracts are programmed to be immutable yet extensible via proxy contract patterns or versioning, ensuring both security and upgradability.

4.4 Audit and Compliance Layer
This layer provides verifiable, tamper-proof records for auditing and compliance with regulatory frameworks such as GDPR, HIPAA, and national laws.

- **Immutable Consent Logs:** Every consent transaction (grant, revoke, modify) is timestamped and recorded on the blockchain ledger.
- **Access Monitoring:** All data accesses are logged with metadata including time, purpose, and accessor identity.
- **Regulatory Reporting Tools:** The layer supports dashboards for compliance officers to review access events and generate reports.

This approach supports accountability, transparency, and dispute resolution by providing cryptographic evidence of user permissions and data usage.

4.5 Interoperability and Standards Integration
The framework is designed to integrate with international health data standards, such as:

- **FHIR (Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources):** Ensures data format consistency and exchange compatibility.
- **OAuth 2.0/OpenID Connect:** Manages secure authentication and authorization.
- **W3C Verifiable Credentials:** Supports the issuance of consent credentials that are portable and verifiable across platforms.

Such standards enable cross-institutional deployment and facilitate future adoption by public health agencies and multinational healthcare providers [77].

4.6 Workflow Illustration

1. **Patient Onboarding:** A patient is issued a DID and registers on the platform.
2. **Consent Creation:** The patient uses a graphical interface to set consent rules (e.g., allow Hospital X to access blood test results for 3 months).
3. **Access Request:** Hospital X submits a data request through its integrated system.
4. **Smart Contract Evaluation:** The request is automatically evaluated against the smart contract.
5. **Access Granted/Denied:** If valid, access is granted; otherwise, the request is rejected with an audit trail entry.
6. **Audit Logging:** The event is logged immutably for compliance checks.

4.7 Privacy and Security Considerations

The framework addresses security and privacy using:

- **Zero-Knowledge Proofs (ZKPs):** To verify permissions without revealing consent contents
- **End-to-End Encryption:** Ensures that health data remains private in transit and at rest
- **Key Management Services (KMS):** Securely store and rotate cryptographic keys
- **User Consent Portability:** Patients can export and transfer consent records to new providers

4.8 Benefits and Use Case Scenarios

- **Emergency Care:** Enables real-time conditional access to data in emergencies
- **Research Data Sharing:** Patients can donate data for research under specified anonymization conditions
- **Telemedicine:** Consent enforcement is synchronized across providers and remote platforms
- **Chronic Disease Management:** Cross-provider data access is governed by persistent, patient-defined rules

The next section evaluates this framework against current systems and outlines its advantages, limitations, and future research directions.

5. Evaluation and Discussion

This section evaluates the proposed blockchain-based consent management framework in relation to existing healthcare data-sharing systems and critically analyzes its strengths, limitations, and implications.

5.1 Comparative Evaluation with Existing Systems

Traditional consent systems in healthcare are often:

- Centralized (managed by individual institutions)
- Static (consent once given, hard to update)
- Opaque (patients have little visibility into data access)
- Fragmented (lack of interoperability across providers)

The proposed blockchain framework addresses these issues by:

- Decentralizing trust via distributed ledgers
- Enabling real-time consent updates through smart contracts
- Improving transparency with immutable audit trails
- Enhancing interoperability via standardization (e.g., FHIR, DIDs)

For example, in a simulated scenario using Hyperledger Fabric, a prototype implementation showed that smart contracts could verify access permissions within milliseconds while logging events transparently.

5.2 Security and Privacy Analysis

The system integrates multiple layers of security:

- End-to-end encryption for all sensitive data
- Blockchain immutability to prevent tampering of consent records
- Zero-Knowledge Proofs (ZKPs) for privacy-preserving verification
- Access control granularity via fine-tuned smart contracts

However, challenges remain

- Key management vulnerabilities could pose risks if cryptographic keys are lost or misused
- Scalability of public blockchains (e.g., Ethereum) may limit performance in high-throughput environments
- Metadata leakage (e.g., timestamps) could still occur without proper anonymization [78, 79].

5.3 Legal and Ethical Considerations

The framework is designed to support major regulatory mandates:

- GDPR compliance through explicit, revocable, and auditable consent
- HIPAA alignment by providing controlled access and access logs
- Data sovereignty by enabling jurisdiction-specific access rules [80].

Ethically, the framework empowers patients by:

- Promoting autonomy in data governance
- Supporting transparency in data usage
- Enhancing accountability of healthcare providers

Nonetheless, certain ethical dilemmas such as consent fatigue or digital illiteracy must be addressed through user-centered design and education [81, 82].

5.4 Technical Feasibility and Implementation Readiness

Technologies required for implementation are mature or in active development:

- Smart contract platforms (e.g., Ethereum, Hyperledger)
- Identity standards (e.g., DIDs, Verifiable Credentials)
- Secure off-chain storage (e.g., IPFS, AWS S3 with encryption)

Pilot projects such as MedRec (MIT), FHIRChain, and Estonia's eHealth initiative demonstrate the feasibility of blockchain in real healthcare settings. However, integration with legacy EHR systems and organizational buy-in remain barriers to adoption [83, 84, 85].

5.5 Socio-Technical Impact and Adoption Barriers
While technically promising, blockchain adoption in healthcare faces socio-technical barriers:
- Resistance from centralized stakeholders fearing loss of control
- Regulatory uncertainty in jurisdictions without clear blockchain guidance
- Interoperability gaps with existing hospital information systems
- Cost of infrastructure changes and blockchain maintenance [86-88].

To overcome these barriers, a phased adoption strategy beginning with low-risk use cases (e.g., research data sharing) is recommended [89-91].

5.6 Future-Proofing and Scalability
To support future expansion:
- Use of Layer-2 scaling solutions (e.g., rollups, sidechains) can enhance performance
- Incorporating AI agents could assist in dynamic consent recommendation systems
- Cross-chain interoperability tools (e.g., Polkadot, Cosmos) could unify consent across networks

5.7 Summary of Key Advantages

Table 1: The next section concludes the study with recommendations for research and policy directions.

Feature	Traditional System	Proposed Blockchain Framework
Consent Flexibility	Low	High (granular, real-time)
Auditability	Poor	Excellent (immutable logs)
Transparency	Low	High (patient dashboards)
Interoperability	Limited	High (FHIR, DIDs, OAuth2)
Regulatory Compliance	Inconsistent	Integrated (GDPR, HIPAA)
Patient Empowerment	Minimal	High (self-sovereign control)

6. Conclusion and Future Work
The ongoing digitization and decentralization of healthcare necessitate advanced solutions for managing consent in a secure, transparent, and regulation-compliant manner. This paper presents a blockchain-enabled framework for healthcare consent management that prioritizes patient autonomy, strengthens auditability, and enforces legal obligations through programmable smart contracts and decentralized identifiers.

By addressing longstanding issues of data silos, static permissions, and opaque practices, the proposed architecture introduces a transformative model for health data governance [92-95]. The integration of blockchain technologies with standardized healthcare protocols such as FHIR and OAuth2 enables a scalable and interoperable solution. The system's ability to support granular consent, maintain immutable audit trails, and automate compliance tracking makes it a viable candidate for real-world adoption, especially in regions with stringent privacy mandates like the European Union and the United States [96, 97].

However, realizing this vision requires overcoming technical and institutional hurdles. These include interoperability challenges with legacy systems, education and trust-building among stakeholders, regulatory harmonization across borders, and infrastructure readiness. As such, the implementation of this model must follow a phased strategy, beginning with pilot programs in controlled healthcare environments and gradually expanding based on validated impact [98, 99].

Future research directions include:
- Empirical validation through simulation or pilot deployments in hospitals
- Development of user-friendly consent management interfaces for patients
- Design of AI-assisted consent recommendation systems
- Integration with mobile health (mHealth) platforms and Internet of Medical Things (IoMT)
- Exploration of privacy-preserving computation techniques (e.g., homomorphic encryption, secure multiparty computation)

In conclusion, blockchain technologies offer not just a technical enhancement but a paradigm shift in the way consent is defined, enforced, and experienced in healthcare. While still at a nascent stage, with deliberate development and inclusive stakeholder collaboration, blockchain-enabled consent management can become a cornerstone of secure, ethical, and equitable digital health systems [100], [101].

7. References

1. Choudhury O, *et al*. Enforcing human subject regulations using blockchain and smart contracts. Blockchain Healthc Today [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2025 Jul 21]; Available from: https://www.blockchainhealthcaretoday.com/index.php/journal/article/view/10
2. Omar IA, Jayaraman R, Salah K, Simsekler MCE, Yaqoob I, Ellahham S. Ensuring protocol compliance and data transparency in clinical trials using blockchain smart contracts. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2020;20(1):224. doi: 10.1186/s12874-020-01109-5
3. Nwokedi CN, *et al*. Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) in Medicine: A review of clinical applications. Int J Sci Res Sci Eng Technol. 2024;11(6):438-49.
4. Forkuo AY, Mustapha AY, Mbata AO, Tomoh BO, Kelvin-Agwu MC, Kolawole TO. The Role of Mental Health Integration in Primary Healthcare: A Policy and Implementation Framework [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Adelaide-Forkuo/publication/390331550
5. Anyanwu EC, Arowoogun JO, Odilibe IP, Akomolafe O, Onwumere C, Ogugua JO. The role of biotechnology in healthcare: A review of global trends. World J Adv Res

Rev. 2024;21(1):2740-52. doi: 10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.1.0382

7. Adeghe EP, Okolo CA, Ojeyinka OT. Evaluating the impact of blockchain technology in healthcare data management: A review of security, privacy, and patient outcomes. Open Access Res J Sci Technol. 2024;10(2):13-20.
8. Kelvin-Agwu MC, Adelodun MO, Igwama GT, Anyanwu EC. The Impact of Regular Maintenance on the Longevity and Performance of Radiology Equipment [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Mojeed-Adelodun/publication/384638056
9. Enahoro QE, Ogugua JO, Anyanwu EC, Akomolafe O, Odilibe IP, Daraojimba AI. The impact of electronic health records on healthcare delivery and patient outcomes: A review. World J Adv Res Rev. 2024;21(2):451-60.
10. Omaghomi TT. Telemedicine in rural Africa: A review of accessibility and impact. 2024.
11. Osamika D, Forkuo AY, Mustapha AY, Chianumba EC, Komi LS. Systematic Review of Global Best Practices in Multinational Public Health Program Implementation and Impact Assessment [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=pZekPIgAAAAJ&pagesize=80&citation_for_view=pZekPIgAAAAJ:blknAaTinKkC
12. Soyege OS, *et al*. Strategic Planning in Healthcare: A Framework for Sustainable Growth and Service Excellence. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2024;5(6):1579-83.
13. Amin MA, Tummala H, Shah R, Ray I. Empowering Patients for Disease Diagnosis and Clinical Treatment: A Smart Contract-Enabled Informed Consent Strategy. arXiv. 2024 Dec 16. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2412.09820
14. Okolo FC, Etukudoh EA, Ogunwole O, Omotunde G. Strategic Framework for Strengthening AML Compliance Across Cross-Border Transport, Shipping, and Logistics Channels. 2024.
15. Pham A, Edelson M, Nouri A, Kuo T-T. Distributed management of patient data-sharing informed consents for clinical research. Comput Biol Med. 2024;180:108956.
16. Albanese G, Calbimonte J-P, Schumacher M, Calvaresi D. Dynamic consent management for clinical trials via private blockchain technology. J Ambient Intell Humaniz Comput. 2020;11(11):4909-26. doi: 10.1007/s12652-020-01761-1
17. Gbaraba SV, Mustapha AY, Olamide B, Tomoh AO, Forkuo AY. Smart Drug Delivery Systems: The Future of Precision Medicine. IRE J. 2024;8(9):821-9.
18. Nwokedi CN, *et al*. Robotics in healthcare: A systematic review of robotic-assisted surgery and rehabilitation. Int J Sci Res Sci Technol. 2024;11(6):1061-74.
19. Verdonck M, Poels G. Decentralized Data Access with IPFS and Smart Contract Permission Management for Electronic Health Records. In: Del Río Ortega A, Leopold H, Santoro FM, editors. Business Process Management Workshops. Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing, vol. 397. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2020. p. 5-16. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-66498-5_1
20. Agbo CC, Mahmoud QH. Design and implementation of a blockchain-based e-health consent management framework. In: 2020 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC). IEEE; 2020. p. 812-7. Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9283203/
21. Forkuo AY, Ikhalea N, Chianumba EC, Mustapha AY. Reviewing the Impact of AI in Improving Patient Outcomes through Precision Medicine [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=pZekPIgAAAAJ&pagesize=80&citation_for_view=pZekPIgAAAAJ:MXK_kJrjxJIC
22. Deepthika K, Shobana G, Reddy KV, Kumar B, Upadhyay S. Blockchain-integrated deep learning for secure health data sharing and consent management. In: 2024 Second International Conference on Intelligent Cyber Physical Systems and Internet of Things (ICoICI). IEEE; 2024. p. 101-6. Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/10696868
23. Román-Martínez I, Calvillo-Arbizu J, Mayor-Gallego VJ, Madinabeitia-Luque G, Estepa-Alonso AJ, Estepa-Alonso RM. Blockchain-based service-oriented architecture for consent management, access control, and auditing. IEEE Access. 2023;11:12727-41.
24. Jung HH, Pfister FM. Blockchain-enabled clinical study consent management. Technol Innov Manag Rev [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2025 Jul 21];10(2). Available from: https://www.timreview.ca/article/1325
25. Mustapha AY, *et al*. Preventive Health Programs: Collaboration Between Healthcare Providers and Public Health Agencies. Int J Pharma Growth Res Rev. 2024;1(6):41-7.
26. Mbata AO, *et al*. Preventative Medicine and Chronic Disease Management: Reducing Healthcare Costs and Improving Long-Term Public Health. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2024;5(6):1584-600.
27. Al Amin M, Altarawneh A, Sarkar S, Ray I. Blockchain Smart Contracts for Policy Compliance: A Healthcare Perspective. In: 2023 International Conference on Emerging Trends in Networks and Computer Communications (ETNCC). IEEE; 2023. p. 1-6. Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/10284947/
28. Bergquist J. Blockchain technology and smart contracts: privacy-preserving tools [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2:1107612
29. Mbata AO, *et al*. Preventative Medicine and Chronic Disease Management: Reducing Healthcare Costs and Improving Long-Term Public Health [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Olakunle-Soyege/publication/390694904
30. Bai P, Kumar S, Kumar K, Kaiwartya O, Mahmud M, Lloret J. GDPR compliant data storage and sharing in smart healthcare system: a blockchain-based solution. Electronics. 2022;11(20):3311.
31. Griggs KN, Ossipova O, Kohlios CP, Baccarini AN, Howson EA, Hayajneh T. Healthcare Blockchain System Using Smart Contracts for Secure Automated Remote Patient Monitoring. J Med Syst. 2018;42(7):130. doi: 10.1007/s10916-018-0982-x
32. Adeyemo KS, Mbata AO, Balogun OD. Pharmaceutical

Waste Management and Reverse Logistics in the U.S. Enhancing Sustainability and Reducing Public Health Risks. Int J Adv Multidiscip Res Stud. 2024;4(6):1720-9. doi: 10.62225/2583049X.2024.4.6.4102

33. Chinyeaka M, Kelvin-Agwu, Adelodun MO, Igwama GT. Enhancing Biomedical Engineering Education: Incorporating Practical Training in Equipment Installation and Maintenance [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384638057
34. Anderson C, Carvalho A, Kaul M, Merhout JW. Blockchain innovation for consent self-management in health information exchanges. Decis Support Syst. 2023;174:114021.
35. Balogun OD, *et al*. Patient-Centered Care Models: A Review of Their Influence on Healthcare Management Practices. J Front Multidiscip Res. 2024;5(2):28-35.
36. Omaghomi TT, Akomolafe O, Onwumere C, Odilibe IP, Elufioye OA. Patient experience and satisfaction in healthcare: a focus on managerial approaches-a review. Int Med Sci Res J. 2024;4(2):194-209.
37. Chianumba EC, Ikhalea N, Mustapha AY, Forkuo AY. NLP Models for Extracting Healthcare Insights from Unstructured Medical Text [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=pZekPIgAAAAJ&pagesize=80&citation_for_view=pZekPIgAAAAJ:5nxA0vEk-isC
38. Tyagi AK, Seranmadevi R. Blockchain for Enhancing Security and Privacy in the Smart Healthcare. In: Tyagi AK, editor. Digital Twin and Blockchain for Smart Cities. 1st ed. Wiley; 2024. p. 343-70. doi: 10.1002/9781394303564.ch16
39. Jacobs B, Lal C, Conti M. Integrating consent management techniques into blockchain-based medical data sharing [Internet]. Delft: Delft Univ Technol; 2021 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://repository.tudelft.nl/file/File_5af15588-afec-42fd-bcdc-1b1d887f91cf?preview=1
40. Gbaraba BOTSV, Mustapha AY, Mbata AO, Forkuo AY. Nanocarriers for Targeted Drug Delivery in Cancer Therapy: Innovations and Challenges. 2024.
41. Ameyed D, Jaafar F, Charette-Migneault F, Cheriet M. Blockchain based model for consent management and data transparency assurance. In: 2021 IEEE 21st International Conference on Software Quality, Reliability and Security Companion (QRS-C). IEEE; 2021. p. 1050-9. Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/9741995/
42. Genestier P, *et al*. Blockchain for consent management in the ehealth environment: A nugget for privacy and security challenges. J Int Soc Telemed EHealth. 2017;5:GKR-e24.
43. Odilibe IP, Akomolafe O, Arowoogun JO, Anyanwu EC, Onwumere C, Ogugua JO. Mental health policies: a comparative review between the USA and African nations. Int Med Sci Res J. 2024;4(2):141-57.
44. Ogugua JO, Okongwu CC, Akomolafe OO, Anyanwu EC, Daraojimba OD. Mental health and digital technology: a public health review of current trends and responses. Int Med Sci Res J. 2024;4(2):108-25.
45. Komi LS, Mustapha AY, Forkuo AY, Osamika D. A Conceptual Analysis of Mental Health Screening Implementation in Primary Healthcare Settings [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=pZekPIgAAAAJ&pagesize=80&citation_for_view=pZekPIgAAAAJ:e5wmG9Sq2KIC
46. Mbata AO, *et al*. Machine learning for health informatics: An Overview [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://www.multidisciplinaryfrontiers.com/uploads/archives
47. Lee J-S, Chew C-J, Liu J-Y, Chen Y-C, Tsai K-Y. Medical blockchain: Data sharing and privacy preserving of EHR based on smart contract. J Inf Secur Appl. 2022;65:103117.
48. Tomoh BO, *et al*. Leadership in Healthcare: Building High-Performing Teams and Cultivating a Culture of Continuous Improvement [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://www.multidisciplinaryfrontiers.com/uploads/archives
49. Osamika D, Adelusi BS, Kelvin-Agwu MC, Mustapha AY, Ikhalea N. A Predictive Analytics Framework for Early Detection and Management of Cancer Using Multi-Source Health Data [Internet]. Int J Manag Organ Res. 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anfo-Pub-2/publication/392016065_A_Predictive_Analytics_Framework_for_Early_Detection_and_Management_of_Cancer_Using_Multi-Source_Health_Data/links/683076eb8a76251f22e601d6
50. Azaria A, Ekblaw A, Vieira T, Lippman A. Medrec: Using blockchain for medical data access and permission management. In: 2016 2nd International Conference on Open and Big Data (OBD). IEEE; 2016. p. 25-30. Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7573685/
51. Tith D, *et al*. Patient consent management by a purpose-based consent model for electronic health record based on blockchain technology. Healthc Inform Res. 2020;26(4):265-73.
52. Omaghomi TT, Akomolafe O, Ogugua JO, Daraojimba AI, Elufioye OA. Healthcare management in a post-pandemic world: lessons learned and future preparedness-a review. Int Med Sci Res J. 2024;4(2):210-23.
53. Mustapha AY, Gbaraba SV, Tomoh BO, Mbata AO, Forkuo AY. Implementing Sustainable Practices in Radiology Departments to Minimize Environmental and Health Risks. 2024.
54. Chianumba EC, Ikhalea N, Mustapha AY, Forkuo AY, Osamika D. Evaluating the Impact of Telemedicine, AI, and Data Sharing on Public Health Outcomes and Healthcare Access. 2024.
55. Balogun OD, *et al*. Enhancing Operational Efficiency in Healthcare: The Role of Advanced Data Analytics. Int J Pharma Growth Res Rev. 2024;1(6):33-40.
56. Peyrone N, Wichadakul D. A formal model for blockchain-based consent management in data sharing. J Log Algebr Methods Program. 2023;134:100886.
57. Rahman M, Hasan M, Rahman M, Momotaj M. A framework for patient-centric consent management using blockchain smart contracts in predictive analysis for healthcare industry. Int J Health Syst Med Sci. 2024;3(3):45-59.
58. Merlec MM, Lee YK, Hong S-P, In HP. A smart

contract-based dynamic consent management system for personal data usage under GDPR. Sensors. 2021;21(23):7994.
59. Ogugua JO, Onwumere C, Arowoogun JO, Anyanwu EC, Odilibe IP, Akomolafe O. Data science in public health: A review of predictive analytics for disease control in the USA and Africa. World J Adv Res Rev. 2024;21(1):2753-69. doi: 10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.1.0383
60. Afrihyia E, Omotayo O, Mustapha AY, Akomolafe OO, Forkuo AY, Chianumba EC. Data Analytics in U.S. Public Health Policy: A Review of Applications in Healthcare Resource Allocation and Efficiency. Int J Adv Multidiscip Res Stud. 2024;4(6):2253-60. doi: 10.62225/2583049X.2024.4.6.4295
61. Osamika D, Adelusi BS, Kelvin-Agwu MC, Mustapha AY, Forkuo AY, Ikhalea N. A Comprehensive Review of Predictive Analytics Applications in US Healthcare: Trends, Challenges, and Emerging Opportunities. 2024.
62. Omaghomi TT, Elufioye OA, Akomolafe O, Anyanwu EC, Odilibe IP. A comprehensive review of telemedicine technologies: past, present, and future prospects. Int Med Sci Res J. 2024;4(2):183-93.
63. Komi LS, Mustapha AY, Forkuo AY, Osamika D. A Conceptual Analysis of Mental Health Screening Implementation in Primary Healthcare Settings [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=pZekPIgAAAAJ&pagesize=80&citation_for_view=pZekPIgAAAAJ:e5wmG9Sq2KIC
64. Nihi TV, Forkuo AY, Ojo OO, Nwokedi CNS. A Conceptual Framework for AI-Driven Healthcare Optimization and Predictive Analytics. Multidiscip J Eng Technol Sci [Internet]. 2024;2(1) [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: http://www.academiconlinejournals.com/index.php/MJETS/article/view/295
65. Ogunnowo EO, Adewoyin MA, Fiemotongha JE, Igunma TO, Adeleke AK. Systematic Review of Non-Destructive Testing Methods for Predictive Failure Analysis in Mechanical Systems. 2020;4(4).
66. Adewoyin MA, Ogunnowo EO, Fiemotongha JE, Igunma TO, Adeleke AK. Advances in Thermofluid Simulation for Heat Transfer Optimization in Compact Mechanical Devices. 2020;4(6).
67. Adewoyin MA, Ogunnowo EO, Fiemotongha JE, Igunma TO, Adeleke AK. A Conceptual Framework for Dynamic Mechanical Analysis in High-Performance Material Selection. 2020;4(5).
68. Ikhalea N, Chianumba EC, Mustapha AY, Forkuo AY. A Conceptual Framework for Enhancing Healthcare Data Security Using Blockchain and AI [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=pZekPIgAAAAJ&pagesize=80&citation_for_view=pZekPIgAAAAJ:8k81kl-MbHgC
69. Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA, Uzoka AC, Odofin OT, Adanigbo OS, Gbenle TP. Designing Full-Stack Healthcare ERP Systems with Integrated Clinical, Financial, and Reporting Modules. J Front Multidiscip Res. 2023;4(1):406-14. doi: 10.54660/.JFMR.2023.4.1.406-414
70. Agboola OA, Ogbuefi E, Abayomi AA, Ogeawuchi JC, Akpe OE, Owoade S. Systematic Review of AI-Driven Data Integration for Enabling Smarter E-Commerce Analytics and Consumer Insights. Int J Adv Multidiscip Res Stud. 2023;3(6):1573-81.
71. Okolo FC, Etukudoh EA, Ogunwole O, Omotunde G. A Conceptual Model for Enhancing Regulatory Compliance and Risk Controls in Smart Transportation Networks. 2024.
72. Forkuo AY, Nihi TV, Ojo OO, Nwokedi CN, Soyege OS. A conceptual model for geospatial analytics in disease surveillance and epidemiological forecasting. 2024.
73. Oluoha OM, Odeshina A, Reis O, Okpeke F, Attipoe V, Orieno OH. A Privacy-First Framework for Data Protection and Compliance Assurance in Digital Ecosystems. Iconic Res Eng J. 2023;7(4):620-46.
74. Agboola OA, Ogeawuchi JC, Gbenle TP, Abayomi AA, Uzoka AC. Advances in Risk Assessment and Mitigation for Complex Cloud-Based Project Environments. J Front Multidiscip Res. 2023;4(1):309-20. doi: 10.54660/.JFMR.2023.4.1.309-320
75. Jaiman V, Urovi V. A consent model for blockchain-based health data sharing platforms. IEEE Access. 2020;8:143734-45.
76. Ikhalea N, Chianumba EC, Mustapha AY, Forkuo AY. Developing a Knowledge Graph for Integrating Health Data from Multiple Sources [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Anfo-Pub-2/publication/392194359
77. Kolawole TO, Mustapha AY, Mbata AO, Tomoh BO, Forkuo AY, Kelvin-Agwu MC. Evaluating the Effectiveness of Community-Based Health Education Programs in Preventing Non-Communicable Diseases [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Adelaide-Forkuo/publication/390193068
78. Khatoon A. A blockchain-based smart contract system for healthcare management. Electronics. 2020;9(1):94.
79. Odofin OT, Abayomi AA, Ogbuefi E, Ogeawuchi JC, Adanigbo OS, Gbenle TP. Strategic Integration of LangChain, Hugging Face Transformers, and OpenAI for Document Intelligence Systems. Int J Sci Res Sci Eng Technol. 2024;11(4). doi: 10.32628/IJSRSET25121177
80. Mgbame AC, Akpe O-E, Abayomi AA, Ogbuefi E, Adeyelu OO. Sustainable Process Improvements through AI-Assisted BI Systems in Service Industries. Int J Adv Multidiscip Res Stud. 2024;4(6):2055-75.
81. Albalwy F, Brass A, Davies A. A blockchain-based dynamic consent architecture to support clinical genomic data sharing (ConsentChain): Proof-of-concept study. JMIR Med Inform. 2021;9(11):e27816.
82. Daudu CD, Adefemi A, Adekoya OO, Okoli CE, Ayorinde OB, Daraojimba AI. LNG and climate change: Evaluating its carbon footprint in comparison to other fossil fuels. Eng Sci Technol J. 2024;5(2):412-26.
83. Oluoha OM, Odeshina A, Reis O, Okpeke F. AI-Enabled Framework for Zero Trust Architecture and Continuous Access Governance in Security-Sensitive Organizations [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384638057. doi: 10.54660/IJSSER.2024.3.1.343-364
84. Ogeawuchi JC, Uzoka AC, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA, Gbenle TP, Owoade S. Advancements in Scalable Data Modeling and Reporting for SaaS Applications and

Cloud Business Intelligence. Int J Adv Multidiscip Res Stud. 2024;4(6):2155-62.
85. Johnson OB, Olamijuwon J, Cadet E, Osundare OS, Weldegeorgise YW. Developing Real-Time Monitoring Models to Enhance Operational Support and Improve Incident Response Times. 2024.
86. Ayobami AT, Mike-Olisa U, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA. Digital Procurement 4.0: Redesigning Government Contracting Systems with AI-Driven Ethics, Compliance, and Performance Optimization. Int J Sci Res Comput Sci Eng Inf Technol. 2024;10(2). doi: 10.32628/CSEIT24102138
87. Alhajri M, Rudolph C, Shahraki AS. A blockchain-based consent mechanism for access to fitness data in the healthcare context. IEEE Access. 2022;10:22960-79.
88. Singh A, Rathee G. Smart contract empowered dynamic consent: decentralized storage and access control for healthcare applications. Peer Peer Netw Appl. 2024;18(1):40. doi: 10.1007/s12083-024-01827-3
89. Javed IT, Lemieux V, Regier DA. SecureConsent: A Blockchain-Based Dynamic and Secure Consent Management for Genomic Data Sharing. In: 2024 International Conference on Smart Applications, Communications and Networking (SmartNets). IEEE; 2024. p. 1-7. Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/1057769
90. Omoegun G, Fiemotongha JE, Omisola JO, Okenwa OK, Onaghinor O. Advances in ERP-Integrated Logistics Management for Reducing Delivery Delays and Enhancing Project Delivery. 2024.
91. Abayomi AA, Ogeawuchi JC, Gbenle TP, Agboola OA, Uzoka AC. Advances in Project Stakeholder Communication and Transparency Using Cloud Collaboration Platforms. Int J Sci Res Sci Technol. 2024;11(5). doi: 10.32628/IJSRST52310373
92. Onifade AY, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA. Data-Driven Engagement Framework: Optimizing Client Relationships and Retention in the Aviation Sector. Int J Adv Multidiscip Res Stud. 2024;4(6):2163-80.
93. Kalkman S, Mostert M, Gerlinger C, Van Delden JJM, Van Thiel GJM. Responsible data sharing in international health research: a systematic review of principles and norms. BMC Med Ethics. 2019;20(1):21. doi: 10.1186/s12910-019-0359-9
94. Daraojimba AI, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA, Ogbuefi E. Systematic Review of Serverless Architectures and Business Process Optimization. Iconic Res Eng J. 2021;5(4):284-309.
95. Adeleke AK, Ogunnowo EO, Adewoyin MA, Fiemotongha JE, Igunma TO. Systematic Review of Non-Destructive Testing Methods for Predictive Failure Analysis in Mechanical Systems [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2025 Jul 21]. Available from: https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&hl=en&user=Mh-Z4rkAAAAJ&citation_for_view=Mh-Z4rkAAAAJ:_FxGoFyzp5Q
96. Ogeawuchi JC, Akpe OE, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA. Systematic Review of Business Process Optimization Techniques Using Data Analytics in Small and Medium Enterprises. 2021;5(4).
97. Afrihyia E, Omotayo O, Mustapha AY, Akomolafe OO, Forkuo AY, Chianumba EC. Data Analytics in U.S. Public Health Policy: A Review of Applications in Healthcare Resource Allocation and Efficiency. 2024.
98. Vidhya S, Kalaivani V. A blockchain based secure and privacy aware medical data sharing using smart contract and encryption scheme. Peer Peer Netw Appl. 2023;16(2):900-13. doi: 10.1007/s12083-023-01449-1
99. Shah M, Li C, Sheng M, Zhang Y, Xing C. Smarter Smart Contracts: Efficient Consent Management in Health Data Sharing. In: Wang X, Zhang R, Lee Y-K, Sun L, Moon Y-S, editors. Web and Big Data. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 12318. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2020. p. 141-55. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-60290-1_11
100. Marino CA, Diaz Paz C. Smart Contracts and Shared Platforms in Sustainable Health Care: Systematic Review. JMIR Med Inform. 2024;13:e58575.
101. Safran C, *et al*. Toward a national framework for the secondary use of health data: an American Medical Informatics Association White Paper. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2007;14(1):1-9.
102. de Lusignan S, Chan T, Theadom A, Dhoul N. The roles of policy and professionalism in the protection of processed clinical data: a literature review. Int J Med Inf. 2007;76(4):261-8.