



## The Conflict Between Science and Religion in Dan Brown's "Angels and Demons"

**Amalya Sghomonyan**

Ph.D. Assistant, Chair of Foreign Literature, Faculty of European Languages and Communication, Yerevan State University, Armenia

\* Corresponding Author: **Amalya Sghomonyan**

### Article Info

**ISSN (online):** 2582-7138

**Volume:** 06

**Issue:** 04

**July - August 2025**

**Received:** 28-05-2025

**Accepted:** 02-07-2025

**Published:** 12-07-2025

**Page No:** 576-578

### Abstract

From the first days of human history, there has been a deep conflict between science and religion. Religion has always persecuted science. Dan Brown's "Angels and Demons" is more than a bestselling thriller—it is a fictional meditation on one of humanity's most enduring dilemmas: the conflict between science and religion. Set against the backdrop of the Vatican and CERN, the novel dramatizes ideological confrontations through symbols, historical allusions, and a suspenseful narrative. This article explores how Brown uses literary devices, semiotics, and character development to investigate epistemological tensions between faith and reason. In addition to analyzing "Angels and Demons", this paper draws brief parallels to "The Da Vinci Code" to deepen the discussion of religious semiotics, narrative symbolism, and the ethical implications of truth-seeking.

**Keywords:** Intellectual Detective, Religion, Science, Antimatter, Illuminati, Brotherhood, Enlightenment

### Introduction

The novel "Angels and Demons" by American writer Dan Brown was published in 2006. The novel is an adventure thriller with elements of an intellectual detective story. The events take place in Rome over the course of one day. The book was adapted into a film in 2009 by Ron Howard. The book contains several ambigrams created by real printer John Langdon. The novel is a new opportunity to clarify the relationship between science and religion, to draw people's attention to the four elements of science and to reconsider their ideas about faith.

After the death of the Pope, a number of mysterious events occur. Leonardo Vetra (one of the scientists) dies at CERN, and the antimatter created by the scientist and his daughter is stolen. Leonardo Vetra was sure that the attacker would never get what he came for, but unfortunately, Vetra is mistaken. The "Enlightened Ones" manage to get the antimatter. One question remains: in this extremely fluid world, will this substance save the world, or will it be used to create the most deadly weapon ever created? At the headquarters of the Swiss Guard, a mysterious object appears on the surveillance equipment with a countdown timer. The Vatican Gendarmerie turns to Robert Langdon for help in solving the latest crimes, and the Catholic Church turns to CERN, as the image shows the stigma of the latter's laboratory.

Robert Langdon is a 45-year-old professor, a specialist in religious iconography, and the author of three books on symbolism, whose nickname is dolphin. He is a man who stumbles between eras.

Langdon understands that the secret order of the Enlightened has once again raised its head. He suspects that the target of the criminal community should be sought in the Vatican, comes to Rome and cooperates with the Swiss Guard, which task is to ensure the safety of His Holiness the Pope. During his trip to the Vatican, he is accompanied by Vittoria, the adopted daughter of the deceased CERN professor. He must find the antimatter in the jar, otherwise the battery will run out within 24 hours, and an explosion of incredible power will occur.

### Science Vs Religion

The new world order is based on the scientific enlighteners. According to the Luciferian doctrine, the conflict between the devil and the bringers of light. The Enlightened had been waiting for that day for 400 years.

Only the shining scientists could find the way (the path of light). And of course all roads lead to Rome. From above, Rome is like a labyrinth. Concave (164 cardinals) elects the Pope, behind doors locked with a key. In the absence of four elected cardinals (four elements of science). They must elect the next Pope but as the Bible says, “The ways of the Lord are not like ours”.

Emerging religions often adopt existing holidays to soften the blow of conversion. It is called transmutation. And it helps people adjust to the new faith. Believers continue to celebrate the same holy days, pray at the same shrines, use the same symbols, they just replace one God with another. Christ was not the first to be sacrificed for our sins. The Aztec God Quetzalcoatl did this early on. Brown believes that people have been waiting for a savior for two thousand years and do not give up hope with the tenacity of a donkey.

In “Angels and Demons”, he presents a complex interplay between scientific discovery and religious tradition, embodied in the institutions of CERN and Vatican. Through a plot fueled by murder, stolen antimatter, and ancient secret societies, the novel examines whether science and religion are inherently at odds or capable of coexistence. Brown’s fiction invites readers to confront questions of truth, morality, and power. By integrating elements of semiotics and myth, the narrative challenges assumptions and dramatizes the ethical choices faced by individuals and institutions alike.

The science-religion dichotomy has been central to intellectual history. The Galileo affair—where the Church silenced heliocentric theory—is a foundational symbol of this tension (Finocchiaro, 2007) <sup>[5]</sup>. Brown revives such historical antagonism by depicting CERN and the Vatican as ideological opposites. Like “The Da Vinci Code”, “Angels and Demons” critiques religious institutions for suppressing knowledge and alternative narratives. The novel suggests that this conflict is not simply about belief systems but about control over truth and meaning.

CERN, the European Organization for Nuclear Research, symbolizes human innovation and the power of empirical knowledge. In the novel, it is the birthplace of antimatter—a mysterious and potentially apocalyptic substance. Leonardo Vetra, a priest-scientist, exemplifies the possibility of uniting spirituality with scientific inquiry. His murder and the theft of antimatter become the narrative catalyst for exploring whether scientific advancement is inherently constructive or dangerous. Paul Davies (2000) <sup>[3]</sup> notes that physics often touches metaphysical questions—a view echoed in Vetra’s dual identity.

The Vatican, as the seat of Catholicism, is portrayed as a bastion of tradition and spiritual authority. The election of a new Pope during the unfolding crisis serves as a metaphor for institutional continuity. Brown’s portrayal of the Church includes both devout faith and institutional secrecy. The character of Camerlengo Carlo Ventresca embodies the paradox of religious devotion and moral ambiguity, reflecting internal tensions about how faith should confront modernity (Groome, 1996) <sup>[6]</sup>.

Antimatter in “Angels and Demons” functions as a metaphor for dualism—creation and destruction, light and darkness, science and faith. It is simultaneously a marvel and a threat, reflecting the ambiguous moral status of knowledge. Brown’s narrative suggests that power, whether scientific or religious, must be tempered by ethical reflection. This dualism mirrors the semiotic complexity of “The Da Vinci Code”, where symbols such as the pentacle or chalice carry layered and

sometimes contradictory meanings (Eco, 1976).

The Illuminati, historically a group of Enlightenment thinkers, are reimagined in Brown’s novel as a secret society bent on revenge. This creative revision challenges institutional narratives and encourages skepticism toward “official” histories. As Hobsbawm and Ranger (1983) <sup>[7]</sup> argue, invented traditions serve powerful sociocultural functions. Brown’s depiction of the Illuminati as persecuted scientists aligns with the broader narrative of science marginalized by religious orthodoxy.

Robert Langdon, a symbologist, acts as both protagonist and semiotic interpreter. From ambigrams to ancient architecture, the novel is replete with non-verbal signs. The decoding of these symbols is central to the plot, as Langdon uses them to uncover hidden truths. In both “Angels and Demons” and “The Da Vinci Code”, Brown emphasizes that symbols are not static—they evolve with cultural context and reader interpretation. This semiotic approach, inspired by thinkers like Roland Barthes (1964) <sup>[1]</sup>, underlines how meaning is socially constructed and politically influenced.

Brown’s characters confront profound ethical questions. Should scientific discovery be pursued without moral constraint? Is religious faith inherently opposed to progress? Vittoria Vetra, Leonardo’s adopted daughter, embodies the possibility of reconciling spiritual depth with scientific rigor. The novel does not offer simplistic answers but instead dramatizes the complexity of navigating a world where reason and belief intersect. The Camerlengo’s actions—righteous on the surface but morally troubling—illustrate the dangers of ideological extremism, whether religious or secular.

The climax of the novel reveals that neither science nor religion holds all the answers. The Camerlengo’s deception and death expose the flaws of fanaticism. Ultimately, “Angels and Demons” concludes with a call for balance: mutual respect between science and religion. In “The Da Vinci Code”, this reconciliation is mirrored in the symbolic fusion of masculine and feminine principles. Both novels suggest that while conflict may be inevitable, dialogue and humility are essential for the progress of human civilization (Campbell, 1949) <sup>[2]</sup>.

## Conclusion

Dan Brown’s “Angels and Demons” offers more than suspense—it delivers a layered reflection on the philosophical and ethical tensions between science and religion. Through rich symbolism, historical allusion, and character-driven inquiry, the novel dramatizes how power, belief, and truth intersect. By presenting these themes through a gripping narrative, Brown invites readers to question dogma and embrace complexity. The path to truth, as Brown suggests, is not paved by the dominance of one worldview over another, but by synthesis, dialogue, and critical inquiry.

Science and religion tell the same thing in two different languages: about the harmony and balance of history, about heaven and hell, night and day, heat and cold, God and Satan. Science and religion are both happy to be part of the harmony created by God. Participants in the endless competition of darkness and light. Scientists were brutally killed alive, branded with crosses on their chests, and their bodies were thrown into the streets of Rome as a warning. The enlightened went underground, but in fact they were in Rome, in the Temple of Light. Over the years, they were joined by mystics,

alchemists, occultists, Muslims, Jews. The Vatican accused the Brotherhood, calling them Satanists, which is translated from Islam as “anti-Gods” or “satanic” in Latin. The main covenant of the Enlightenment was the abolition of Catholicism. The Brotherhood argued that the enemy of humanity was the superstitious dogmas imposed by the church and that humanity was doomed to an ignorant future of holy wars. Who needs God? Nobody. Science is God. Science has given the answers to all questions. The gods were false idols. Only a few questions remain about the secret of the creation of the world about: Where did we come from, for what purpose? What is the meaning of life, and what is the universe? On the other hand, science says that God must exist. We all benefit from a sense of contact with the divine, even if it is just imaginary. Science can heal, but it can also kill. Depending on the soul of the person who uses science. Human tragedies prove that God cannot be both all-powerful and all good. If He loves us and has enough power to change the situation, then He can prevent our pain, right? God is obsolete. Science may have won, but He has left us a world devoid of miracles. The Path of Light is an ode to science and God. To merge science with God is the ultimate scientific blasphemy.

### References

1. Barthes R. *Elements of Semiology*. New York: Hill and Wang; 1964.
2. Campbell J. *The Hero with a Thousand Faces*. Princeton: Princeton University Press; 1949.
3. Davies P. *The Fifth Miracle: The Search for the Origin of Life*. New York: Simon & Schuster; 2000.
4. Eco U. *A Theory of Semiotics*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press; 1976.
5. Finocchiaro MA. *Retrying Galileo, 1633–1992*. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2007.
6. Groome TH. *What Makes Us Catholic: Eight Gifts for Life*. San Francisco: HarperOne; 1996.
7. Hobsbawm E, Ranger T, editors. *The Invention of Tradition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1983.