International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation.

Biophilic Design: Health, Well-being, and Sustainability

Abigael Kuponiyi [1*], Opeoluwa Oluwanifemi Akomolafe [2]
[1-2] Independent Researcher, United Kingdom

* Corresponding Author: **Abigael Kuponiyi**

Article Info

ISSN (online): 2582-7138
Volume: 05
Issue: 01
January - February 2024
Received: 02-01-2024
Accepted: 03-02-2024
Published: 18-02-2024
Page No: 1746-1753

Abstract
Biophilic design is an innovative approach to architecture and interior design that seeks to connect people with nature in the built environment. This review explores the concept of biophilic design and its impact on health, well-being, and sustainability. Biophilic design principles are based on the idea that humans have an innate connection to nature and benefit from having access to natural elements in their surroundings. By incorporating natural materials, lighting, and vegetation into buildings, biophilic design aims to create spaces that promote physical and mental health, improve cognitive function, and enhance overall well-being. Research has shown that biophilic design can have numerous benefits for occupants. Studies have found that exposure to natural elements, such as daylight and greenery, can reduce stress, improve mood, and increase productivity. Additionally, biophilic design has been linked to better air quality, increased thermal comfort, and reduced energy consumption in buildings. In addition to its impact on health and well-being, biophilic design also has sustainability benefits. By incorporating natural elements into buildings, designers can reduce the environmental impact of construction and improve the overall sustainability of the built environment. For example, using natural ventilation and daylighting can reduce the need for mechanical heating and cooling, while green roofs and walls can help mitigate the urban heat island effect and reduce stormwater runoff. In conclusion, biophilic design offers a holistic approach to architecture and interior design that prioritizes the well-being of occupants and the environment. By incorporating natural elements into buildings, designers can create spaces that promote health, well-being, and sustainability.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54660/.IJMRGE.2024.5.1.1746-1753

Keywords: Biophilic, Design, Health, Well-being, Sustainability

1. Introduction

Biophilic design is a concept that has gained increasing attention in recent years for its potential to enhance human health, well-being, and sustainability in the built environment (Hähn, Essah & Blanusa, 2021, Zhong, Schröder & Bekkering, 2022). This approach to design seeks to connect people with nature by incorporating natural elements, materials, and processes into the built environment.

The principles of biophilic design are based on the idea that humans have an innate connection to nature and benefit from having access to natural elements in their surroundings (Adekanmbi, et. al., 2024, Richardson & Butler, 2022). This can include elements such as natural light, views of nature, and the use of natural materials like wood and stone. By integrating these elements into buildings and spaces, biophilic design aims to create environments that support human health and well-being.

Biophilic design is important for several reasons. First and foremost, research has shown that exposure to nature and natural elements can have a positive impact on human health (Adekanmbi, et. al., 2024, Andreucci, et. al., 2021). Studies have found that access to natural light and views can reduce stress, improve mood, and increase productivity.

Additionally, biophilic design can help create spaces that are more sustainable by reducing the environmental impact of buildings and promoting a closer connection to the natural world. In summary, biophilic design offers a holistic approach to architecture and interior design that prioritizes the well-being of occupants and the environment (Adekanmbi, et. al., 2024, Richardson & Butler, 2022). By incorporating natural elements into buildings and spaces, designers can create environments that promote health, well-being, and sustainability for all who inhabit them.

Biophilic design principles can be applied in various ways, including the use of natural materials, incorporation of vegetation and greenery, creation of views to nature, and design of spaces that mimic natural forms and patterns (Adeleye, et. al., 2024, Hady, 2021, McGee & Park, 2022). These elements can be integrated into both interior and exterior spaces, creating a seamless connection between the built environment and the natural world.

Importantly, biophilic design is not just about creating aesthetically pleasing spaces; it is also about creating environments that support human health and well-being (Ajiga,et. al., 2024, Aristizabal, et. al., 2021, Bayatmaku, 2022). By incorporating elements of nature into the built environment, biophilic design can help reduce stress, improve mood, and enhance cognitive function. Additionally, biophilic design can promote sustainability by encouraging a deeper connection to the natural world and fostering a greater appreciation for the environment.

In conclusion, biophilic design offers a promising approach to creating healthier, more sustainable built environments (Akomolafe, et. al., 2024, Rai, Asim & Shree, 2020, Zhong, Schröder & Bekkering, 2022). By integrating natural elements into buildings and spaces, designers can create environments that not only look beautiful but also support the health and well-being of those who inhabit them. As we continue to explore the potential of biophilic design, we have the opportunity to create spaces that not only enhance our lives but also benefit the planet.

1.1 History of Biophilic Design: Health, Well-being, and Sustainability

Biophilic design is a concept that seeks to connect people with nature through the built environment, enhancing health, well-being, and sustainability (Adeleye, et. al., 2024, Hähn, Essah & Blanusa, 2021, Zhong, Schröder & Bekkering, 2022). This essay explores the history of biophilic design, tracing its roots from early human interactions with nature to the modern-day incorporation of biophilic principles in architectural and interior design.

The concept of biophilic design is rooted in the deep-seated human instinct to seek connections with nature (Adeleye, et. al., 2024, Ajiga, et. al., 2024, Karam, 2022). Throughout history, humans have lived in close proximity to nature, relying on natural elements for survival and well-being. Early dwellings were often built using natural materials and incorporated elements such as natural light, fresh air, and views of the natural landscape. These early interactions with nature shaped human evolution and influenced cultural beliefs and practices, laying the foundation for the biophilic design principles we see today. The term "biophilia" was first coined by biologist Edward O. Wilson in the 1980s to describe the innate human tendency to seek connections with nature and other forms of life. This concept laid the groundwork for the development of biophilic design principles, which seek to integrate nature into the built environment in meaningful ways.

In the 1990s, researchers began to study the impact of nature on human health and well-being, leading to a growing body of evidence supporting the benefits of biophilic design (Ajiga, et. al., 2024, Gaekwad, et. al., 2022, Totaforti, 2020). Studies have shown that exposure to nature can reduce stress, improve cognitive function, and enhance overall well-being. In recent years, there has been a growing interest in incorporating biophilic design principles in architecture and interior design. Architects and designers are increasingly using natural materials, such as wood and stone, to create spaces that evoke a sense of connection to nature. Biophilic design also emphasizes the use of natural light, views of nature, and indoor plants to create environments that promote health and well-being. These elements are thought to reduce stress, improve mood, and enhance productivity.

Numerous case studies showcase successful applications of biophilic design principles in architecture and interior design (Anyanwu, et. al., 2024, Ghaziani, Lemon & Atmodiwirjo, 2021). For example, the Amazon Spheres in Seattle are a prime example of biophilic design, featuring a series of interconnected domes filled with tens of thousands of plants. The Spheres are designed to provide employees with a connection to nature, creating a more stimulating and productive work environment. Another example is the Bosco Verticale in Milan, Italy, which features two residential towers covered in greenery. The towers are designed to improve air quality, reduce noise pollution, and provide residents with access to nature in an urban setting.

The history of biophilic design is a testament to the profound impact that nature has on human health, well-being, and sustainability (Atadoga, et. al., 2024, Wijesooriya & Brambilla, 2021). From early human interactions with nature to the modern-day incorporation of biophilic design principles in architecture and interior design, the connection between humans and nature has remained a central theme in the built environment. As we continue to explore the benefits of biophilic design, it is clear that nature will continue to inspire and enrich our lives for generations to come.

1.2 Health Benefits of Biophilic Design

Biophilic design, which integrates natural elements into the built environment, has been shown to have numerous health benefits for occupants (Ayinla, et. al., 2024, Nitu, et. al., 2022, Wijesooriya & Brambilla, 2021). This article explores the various ways in which biophilic design can improve health, including reduced stress and anxiety, improved mood and mental health, enhanced cognitive function and productivity, and better physical health outcomes.

One of the key benefits of biophilic design is its ability to reduce stress and anxiety levels among building occupants (Aristizabal, et. al., 2021, Ayinla, et. al., 2024, Yin, et. al., 2020). Studies have shown that exposure to nature and natural elements can have a calming effect on the mind, helping to reduce feelings of stress and anxiety. By incorporating natural elements such as plants, water features, and natural light into buildings, biophilic design can create a more relaxing and soothing environment for occupants.

In addition to reducing stress and anxiety, biophilic design can also improve mood and mental health (Afacan, 2023, Chinyere, Anyanwu & Innocent, 2023). Research has shown that exposure to nature can help elevate mood and reduce symptoms of depression. By creating spaces that mimic

natural environments, biophilic design can help lift the spirits of building occupants and promote overall mental well-being. Biophilic design has also been shown to enhance cognitive function and productivity. Studies have found that exposure to natural elements can improve concentration, memory, and cognitive performance (Aristizabal, et. al., 2021, Dada, et. al., 2024, Latini, et. al., 2024). By incorporating natural light, views of nature, and other natural elements into buildings, biophilic design can create a more stimulating environment for occupants, leading to improved focus and productivity.

Finally, biophilic design can also have positive effects on physical health outcomes. Research has shown that access to nature and natural elements can help lower blood pressure, reduce heart rate, and improve overall physical health (Dada, et. al., 2024, Lei, et. al., 2021, Mollazadeh & Zhu, 2021). By creating environments that promote physical activity, such as outdoor green spaces and walking paths, biophilic design can help encourage a healthier lifestyle among building occupants.

In conclusion, biophilic design offers a range of health benefits for occupants, including reduced stress and anxiety, improved mood and mental health, enhanced cognitive function and productivity, and better physical health outcomes (Barnaby, et. al., 2023). By incorporating natural elements into the built environment, designers can create spaces that not only look beautiful but also promote the health and well-being of those who inhabit them.

1.3 Well-being Benefits of Biophilic Design

Biophilic design, which incorporates natural elements into the built environment, offers a range of well-being benefits for occupants (Dozie, et. al., 2024, Lei, et. al., 2022, Nitu, et. al., 2022). This article explores how biophilic design can enhance well-being by increasing connection to nature, enhancing sense of place and identity, and improving social interactions and community cohesion.

One of the key benefits of biophilic design is its ability to increase connection to nature. Studies have shown that exposure to natural elements can help people feel more connected to the natural world, which in turn can have a positive impact on mental and physical well-being. By incorporating natural elements such as plants, water features, and natural materials into buildings, biophilic design can help create a sense of connection to nature, even in urban environments (Emeka-Okoli, et. al., 2024, Ibeh, et. al., 2024, Totaforti, S. (2020).

Biophilic design can also enhance a sense of place and identity for building occupants. By creating spaces that reflect the local environment and culture, biophilic design can help people feel more connected to their surroundings and foster a sense of belonging (Ibekwe, et. al., 2024, Ilojianya, et. al., 20204, Peters & D'Penna, 2020). This can have a positive impact on mental well-being and overall life satisfaction.

Another well-being benefit of biophilic design is its ability to improve social interactions and community cohesion (Cobreros, et. al., 2023, Engelen, Rahmann & de Jong, 2022, Majemite, et. al., 2024). Studies have shown that access to nature and natural elements can encourage people to spend more time outdoors and engage in social activities. By incorporating outdoor green spaces, communal areas, and natural gathering spots into buildings, biophilic design can help foster a sense of community and encourage social interactions among building occupants.

In conclusion, biophilic design offers a range of well-being benefits for occupants, including increased connection to nature, enhanced sense of place and identity, and improved social interactions and community cohesion (Cole, Coleman & Scannell, 2021, DeLauer, et. al., 2024, Nwokediegwu & Ugwuanyi, 2024). By incorporating natural elements into the built environment, designers can create spaces that not only look beautiful but also promote the well-being of those who inhabit them.

1.4 Sustainability Benefits of Biophilic Design

Biophilic design, which integrates natural elements into the built environment, offers a range of sustainability benefits (Emeka-Okoli, et. al., 2024, Nwokediegwu, et. al., 2024). This article explores how biophilic design can reduce the environmental impact of buildings, improve energy efficiency and resource conservation, and mitigate the urban heat island effect and stormwater runoff.

One of the key sustainability benefits of biophilic design is its ability to reduce the environmental impact of buildings (Emeka-Okoli, et. al., 2024, Obijuru, et. al., 2024). By incorporating natural elements such as plants, trees, and green walls into buildings, biophilic design can help improve air quality, reduce carbon emissions, and promote biodiversity. Additionally, using sustainable materials and construction techniques can further reduce the environmental impact of buildings.

Biophilic design can also improve energy efficiency and resource conservation in buildings. By incorporating natural light and ventilation, biophilic design can reduce the need for artificial lighting and mechanical heating and cooling, leading to lower energy consumption. Additionally, using sustainable materials and construction techniques can help conserve resources such as water and timber, further reducing the environmental footprint of buildings (Nwokediegwu, et. al., 2024, Odilibe, et. al., 2024).

Another sustainability benefit of biophilic design is its ability to mitigate the urban heat island effect and reduce stormwater runoff (Cabanek, et. al., 2020, Emeka-Okoli, et. al., 2024). The urban heat island effect occurs when urban areas become significantly warmer than surrounding rural areas due to the heat-absorbing properties of buildings and pavement. By incorporating green roofs, green walls, and other vegetation into buildings, biophilic design can help cool urban areas and reduce the need for energy-intensive cooling systems (Nwokediegwu, et. al., 2024, O'Hara, et. al.,2022). Additionally, using permeable paving and rain gardens can help absorb and filter stormwater runoff, reducing the strain on municipal stormwater systems and improving water quality.

In conclusion, biophilic design offers a range of sustainability benefits for buildings and urban areas. By incorporating natural elements into the built environment, designers can reduce the environmental impact of buildings, improve energy efficiency and resource conservation, and mitigate the urban heat island effect and stormwater runoff (Emeka-Okoli, et. al., 2024, Ibekwe, et. al., 2024,).

1.5 Case Studies of Biophilic Design Projects

Biophilic design has gained traction in recent years as architects and designers seek to create buildings that prioritize human well-being and environmental sustainability (Nwokediegwu, et. al., 2024, Vera Suarez, 2023). This article examines several case studies of biophilic design projects,

showcasing successful implementation of biophilic design principles and highlighting lessons learned and best practices for integrating biophilic design into buildings.

The Amazon Spheres are a trio of glass domes located at the company's headquarters in Seattle. Designed to serve as a workplace and community space for Amazon employees, the Spheres feature over 40,000 plants from around the world, creating a lush, biodiverse environment inside the buildings (Carlisle, 2022, Nwokediegwu, et. al., 2024). The project demonstrates how biophilic design can create a natural oasis in an urban setting, improving the well-being of occupants and promoting environmental sustainability.

Bosco Verticale, or "Vertical Forest," is a pair of residential towers in Milan that are covered in thousands of trees and plants. The towers provide habitat for birds and insects, improve air quality, and reduce the urban heat island effect. The project showcases how biophilic design can be integrated into high-density urban developments, transforming concrete jungles into green oases for residents and wildlife alike.

The Edge is a sustainable office building in Amsterdam that has been hailed as one of the greenest buildings in the world. Designed to promote employee well-being and productivity, the building features a central atrium filled with plants, natural light, and views of the surrounding landscape (Aridi, R., & Yehya, A. (2022, Obijuru, et. al., 2024). The project demonstrates how biophilic design can create healthy, inspiring work environments that prioritize the needs of occupants.

Incorporate elements such as plants, water features, and natural light into building design to create a connection to the natural world. Create habitats for birds, insects, and other wildlife to promote biodiversity and ecosystem health (Nwokediegwu, et. al., 2024, Odilibe, et. al., 2024). Blur the boundaries between indoor and outdoor spaces to create a seamless transition between the built environment and nature. Incorporate elements of local flora, fauna, and landscape into building design to reflect the cultural and regional context of the project.

In conclusion, the case studies presented here demonstrate the potential of biophilic design to create healthy, sustainable, and inspiring built environments. By incorporating natural elements into buildings and prioritizing the well-being of occupants and the environment, biophilic design offers a promising approach to architecture and design in the 21st century.

1.6 Challenges and Barriers to Biophilic Design

While biophilic design offers numerous benefits for human health and environmental sustainability, its implementation can be hindered by various challenges and barriers. This article explores some of the key challenges and barriers to biophilic design, including cost considerations and budget constraints, limited availability of biophilic design expertise, and regulatory constraints and permitting challenges (Abdelaal, 2019, Sadick, Kamardeen & Vu, 2023).

One of the primary challenges of implementing biophilic design is the cost considerations and budget constraints associated with integrating natural elements into buildings. For example, incorporating features such as green roofs, living walls, and extensive landscaping can be expensive, particularly for large-scale projects. Additionally, maintaining these features over time requires ongoing investment, which can be a barrier for some developers and building owners (Omaghomi, et. al., 2024, Sadick & Kamardeen, 2024).

Another challenge to biophilic design is the limited availability of expertise in this area. Biophilic design requires a deep understanding of both architectural principles and ecological systems, as well as the ability to integrate these two disciplines effectively (Kayıhan, 2018, Umoh, et. al., 2024). However, there are relatively few designers and architects with the necessary expertise in biophilic design, which can make it challenging to find qualified professionals to lead biophilic design projects.

Biophilic design can also face regulatory constraints and permitting challenges, particularly in urban areas where building codes and regulations may not always accommodate innovative design approaches (Bornati & Arcila Gonzalez, 2021, Omaghomi, et. al., 2024, Sadick, Kamardeen & Vu, 2023). For example, zoning regulations may restrict the height or placement of green roofs, or building codes may require certain safety features that are difficult to integrate into biophilic design concepts. Additionally, obtaining permits for biophilic design elements such as green roofs or living walls can be a complex process that adds time and cost to a project.

In conclusion, while biophilic design offers numerous benefits, its implementation can be hindered by various challenges and barriers (Gordonson, 2018, Siebring, 2020). Addressing these challenges will require collaboration between designers, developers, regulators, and other stakeholders to find creative solutions that allow biophilic design to flourish in the built environment.

1.7 Future Directions of Biophilic Design

Biophilic design has the potential to shape the future of architecture and urban planning by creating more sustainable, healthy, and inspiring built environments (Okoduwa, et. al., 2024, Panagopoulos, Sbarcea & Herman, 2020). This article explores emerging trends and innovations in biophilic design, as well as opportunities for collaboration and knowledge sharing among stakeholders. Cities around the world are embracing biophilic design principles to create more sustainable and livable urban environments. From green roofs and vertical gardens to urban parks and naturalized waterways, biophilic design is being integrated into urban planning to enhance biodiversity, reduce the urban heat island effect, and improve overall quality of life for residents.

The use of biophilic materials, such as sustainably sourced wood, natural stone, and plant-based fibers, is becoming increasingly popular in building design (Al Darwish, 2023, AlAli, et. al., 2023, Matheson, et. al., 2023). These materials not only create a connection to nature but also have lower environmental impact compared to traditional building materials. Advances in technology are enabling new ways to incorporate biophilic design into buildings. For example, smart glass that adjusts its tint based on sunlight levels can help maximize natural light while reducing glare and heat gain. Similarly, sensor-based systems can monitor indoor air quality and adjust ventilation systems to ensure optimal conditions for occupants.

Biophilic design requires collaboration between architects, designers, engineers, biologists, and other experts to integrate natural elements into buildings effectively (Butt & Dimitrijević, 2022, Ogugua, et. al., 2024). By fostering interdisciplinary collaboration, stakeholders can leverage their collective expertise to create innovative biophilic design solutions. The development of knowledge sharing platforms,

such as conferences, workshops, and online forums, can help facilitate the exchange of ideas and best practices in biophilic design. These platforms can also help build a community of practice around biophilic design, enabling stakeholders to learn from each other and collaborate on future projects.

As biophilic design becomes more prevalent, there is a growing need for education and training programs to equip professionals with the skills and knowledge needed to implement biophilic design principles (Ebbini, 2022, Nwokediegwu, et. al., 2024). By investing in education and training, stakeholders can ensure that the next generation of designers and architects are well-equipped to incorporate biophilic design into their work. In conclusion, the future of biophilic design holds great promise for creating more sustainable, healthy, and inspiring built environments. By embracing emerging trends and innovations, and fostering collaboration and knowledge sharing among stakeholders, biophilic design can continue to evolve and shape the way we live and work in the future.

3. Conclusion

Biophilic design offers a holistic approach to creating built environments that prioritize human health, well-being, and environmental sustainability. By integrating natural elements into buildings and urban spaces, biophilic design can improve mental and physical health, enhance the sense of place and community, and reduce the environmental impact of buildings.

Throughout this discussion, we have explored the various benefits of biophilic design, including its ability to reduce stress and anxiety, improve mood and mental health, enhance cognitive function and productivity, and promote a sense of connection to nature. We have also examined how biophilic design can contribute to sustainability by reducing the environmental impact of buildings, improving energy efficiency and resource conservation, and mitigating the urban heat island effect and stormwater runoff.

Moving forward, it is essential to promote biophilic design as a model for creating healthier, more sustainable built environments. This can be achieved through education and awareness-building efforts, collaboration among stakeholders, and the development of policies and incentives that encourage the integration of biophilic design principles into building design and urban planning.

By embracing biophilic design, we have the opportunity to create built environments that not only enhance our health and well-being but also contribute to a more sustainable future for all. Let us work together to promote biophilic design and create a built environment that supports human health, well-being, and environmental sustainability for generations to come.

4. References

1. Abdelaal MS. Biophilic campus: an emerging planning approach for a sustainable innovation-conducive university. J Clean Prod. 2019;215:1445-56.
2. Adekanmbi AO, Ani EC, Abatan A, Izuka U, Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu N, Obaigbena A. Assessing the environmental and health impacts of plastic production and recycling. World J Biol Pharm Health Sci. 2024;17(2):232-41.
3. Adekanmbi AO, Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu N, Izuka U, Abatan A, Ani EC, Obaigbena A. Implementing health and safety standards in offshore wind farms. 2024.
4. Adekanmbi AO, Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu N, Izuka U, Abatan A, Ani EC, Obaigbena A. Assessing the environmental health and safety risks of solar energy production. World J Biol Pharm Health Sci. 2024;17(2):225-31.
5. Adeleye RA, Asuzu OF, Bello BG, Oyeyemi OP, Awonuga KF. Digital currency adoption in Africa: a critical review and global comparison. 2024.
6. Adeleye RA, Awonuga KF, Asuzu OF, Ndubuisi NL, Tubokirifuruar TS. Digital marketing analytics: a review of strategies in the age of big data and AI. 2024.
7. Adeleye RA, Ndubuisi NL, Asuzu OF, Awonuga KF, Oyeyemi OP. Business analytics in CRM: a comparative review of practices in the USA and Africa. 2024.
8. Afacan Y. Impacts of biophilic design on the development of gerotranscendence and the profile of mood states during the COVID-19 pandemic. Ageing Soc. 2023;43(11):2580-604.
9. Ajiga DI, Adeleye RA, Asuzu OF, Owolabi OR, Bello BG, Ndubuisi NL. Review of AI techniques in financial forecasting: applications in stock market analysis. Financ Account Res J. 2024;6(2):125-45.
10. Ajiga DI, Adeleye RA, Tubokirifuruar TS, Bello BG, Ndubuisi NL, Asuzu OF, Owolabi OR. Machine learning for stock market forecasting: a review of models and accuracy. Financ Account Res J. 2024;6(2):112-24.
11. Ajiga DI, Ndubuisi NL, Asuzu OF, Owolabi OR, Tubokirifuruar TS, Adeleye RA. AI-driven predictive analytics in retail: a review of emerging trends and customer engagement strategies. Int J Manag Entrep Res. 2024;6(2):307-21.
12. Akomolafe OO, Olorunsogo T, Anyanwu EC, Osasona F, Ogugua JO, Daraojimba OH. Air quality and public health: a review of urban pollution sources and mitigation measures. Eng Sci Technol J. 2024;5(2):259-71.
13. Al Darwish L. Green furniture: sustainability, consumer choice, and market analysis in an evolving landscape. 2023.
14. AlAli M, Mattar Y, Alzaim MA, Beheiry S. Applications of biomimicry in architecture, construction and civil engineering. Biomimetics. 2023;8(2):202.
15. Andreucci MB, Loder A, Brown M, Brajković J. Exploring challenges and opportunities of biophilic urban design: evidence from research and experimentation. Sustainability. 2021;13(8):4323.
16. Anyanwu EC, Okongwu CC, Olorunsogo TO, Ayo-Farai O, Osasona F, Daraojimba OD. Artificial intelligence in healthcare: a review of ethical dilemmas and practical applications. Int Med Sci Res J. 2024;4(2):126-40.
17. Aridi R, Yehya A. Review on the sustainability of phase-change materials used in buildings. Energy Convers Manag X. 2022;15:100237.
18. Aristizabal S, Byun K, Porter P, Clements N, Campanella C, Li L, *et al*. Biophilic office design: exploring the impact of a multisensory approach on human well-being. J Environ Psychol. 2021;77:101682.
19. Atadoga A, Ike CU, Asuzu OF, Ayinla BS, Ndubuisi NL, Adeleye RA. The intersection of AI and quantum computing in financial markets: a critical review. Comput Sci IT Res J. 2024;5(2):461-72.
20. Ayinla BS, Ike CU, Asuzu OF, Atadoga A, Ndubuisi NL, Adeleye RA. The role of robotic process automation (RPA) in modern accounting: a review-investigating

how automation tools are transforming traditional accounting practices. Eng Sci Technol J. 2024;5(2):427-47.
21. Ayinla BS, Ike CU, Asuzu OF, Atadoga A, Ndubuisi NL, Adeleye RA. Environmental costing and sustainable accounting: a comprehensive review: delving into methods of accounting for environmental impacts in financial statements. 2024.
22. Barnaby J, Irouke VM, Odoanyanwu NM, Ivoke HI, Nzewi NU. Economic benefits of biophilic design: a holistic approach to enhancing productivity and well-being in the workplace. UBS J Eng Technol Appl Sci. 2023;1(1):1-16.
23. Bayatmaku S. Biophilic design efficiency on humans' well-being in daily life with an atmospheric approach [dissertation]. İstanbul: İstanbul Teknik Üniversitesi; 2022.
24. Bornati R, Arcila Gonzalez JA. Bridging biophilia and energy efficiency within high-performance building design. The Innovation Center at University City in Philadelphia. 2021.
25. Butt AN, Dimitrijević B. Multidisciplinary and transdisciplinary collaboration in nature-based design of sustainable architecture and urbanism. Sustainability. 2022;14(16):10339.
26. Cabanek A, Zingoni de Baro ME, Newman P. Biophilic streets: a design framework for creating multiple urban benefits. Sustain Earth. 2020;3(1):1-17.
27. Carlisle L. Healing grounds: climate, justice, and the deep roots of regenerative farming. Washington, DC: Island Press; 2022.
28. Chinyere EVANGEL, Anyanwu OP, Innocent DC. Exploring the awareness level of cervical cancer concept among postmenopausal women in Ezinihitte Mbaise, Imo State, Nigeria. Iconic Res Eng. 2023;7(4):187-93.
29. Cobreros C, Medoza-Ruvalcaba N, Flores-García M, Roggema R. Improving psychological well-being in urban university districts through biophilic design: two cases in Mexico. Sustainability. 2023;15(7):5703.
30. Cole LB, Coleman S, Scannell L. Place attachment in green buildings: making the connections. J Environ Psychol. 2021;74:101558.
31. Dada MA, Obaigbena A, Majemite MT, Oliha JS, Biu PW. Innovative approaches to waste resource management: implications for environmental sustainability and policy. Eng Sci Technol J. 2024;5(1):115-27.
32. Dada MA, Oliha JS, Majemite MT, Obaigbena A, Biu PW. A review of predictive analytics in the exploration and management of US geological resources. Eng Sci Technol J. 2024;5(2):313-37.
33. DeLauer V, McGill-O'Rourke A, Hayes T, Haluch A, Gordon C, Crane J, *et al*. The impact of natural environments and biophilic design as supportive and nurturing spaces on a residential college campus. Cogent Soc Sci. 2022;8(1):2000570.
34. Dozie UW, Benjamin WI, Innocent DC, Anyanwu EC, Chukwuocha UM, Innocent RC, *et al*. Knowledge, acceptability and willingness to receive HPV vaccine among women in Owerri municipal Imo state. Acad J Health Sci Med Balear. 2024;39(2):37-45.
35. Ebbini GW. Transformative design pedagogy: teaching biophilic design through experiential learning. J Experiential Educ. 2022;45(1):7-31.
36. Emeka-Okoli S, Nwankwo EE, Nwankwo TC, Otonnah CA. Navigating non-technical risks in the oil & gas industry: insights and frameworks-a review. Int J Appl Res Soc Sci. 2024;6(3):348-59.
37. Emeka-Okoli S, Nwankwo TC, Otonnah CA, Nwankwo EE. Effective stakeholder relationship management in the oil & gas sector: a conceptual and review perspective. Financ Account Res J. 2024;6(3):372-83.
38. Emeka-Okoli S, Nwankwo TC, Otonnah CA, Nwankwo EE. Strategies and outcomes of corporate social investment in the oil & gas industry: a review. Int J Manag Entrep Res. 2024;6(3):648-59.
39. Emeka-Okoli S, Nwankwo TC, Otonnah CA, Nwankwo EE. Integrating sustainable development goals into oil & gas operations: a comprehensive review. Int J Manag Entrep Res. 2024;6(3):660-77.
40. Emeka-Okoli S, Otonnah CA, Nwankwo TC, Nwankwo EE. Review of carbon pricing mechanisms: effectiveness and policy implications. Int J Appl Res Soc Sci. 2024;6(3):337-47.
41. Engelen L, Rahmann M, de Jong E. Design for healthy ageing–the relationship between design, well-being, and quality of life: a review. Build Res Inf. 2022;50(1-2):19-35.
42. Gaekwad JS, Sal Moslehian A, Roös PB, Walker A. A meta-analysis of emotional evidence for the biophilia hypothesis and implications for biophilic design. Front Psychol. 2022;13:750245.
43. Ghaziani R, Lemon M, Atmodiwirjo P. Biophilic design patterns for primary schools. Sustainability. 2021;13(21):12207.
44. Gordonson J. Biophilic design: more than just plants-examining the status quo and identifying barriers to a diverse practice. 2018.
45. Hady SIMA. Activating biophilic design patterns as a sustainable landscape approach. J Eng Appl Sci. 2021;68(1):46.
46. Hähn N, Essah E, Blanusa T. Biophilic design and office planting: a case study of effects on perceived health, well-being and performance metrics in the workplace. Intell Build Int. 2021;13(4):241-60.
47. Ibeh CV, Awonuga KF, Okoli UI, Ike CU, Ndubuisi NL, Obaigbena A. A review of agile methodologies in product lifecycle management: bridging theory and practice for enhanced digital technology integration. Eng Sci Technol J. 2024;5(2):448-59.
48. Ibekwe KI, Etukudoh EA, Nwokediegwu ZQS, Umoh AA, Adefemi A, Ilojianya VI. Energy security in the global context: a comprehensive review of geopolitical dynamics and policies. Eng Sci Technol J. 2024;5(1):152-68.
49. Ibekwe KI, Umoh AA, Nwokediegwu ZQS, Etukudoh EA, Ilojianya VI, Adefemi A. Energy efficiency in industrial sectors: a review of technologies and policy measures. Eng Sci Technol J. 2024;5(1):169-84.
50. Ilojianya VI, Usman FO, Ibekwe KI, Nwokediegwu ZQS, Umoh AA, Adefemi A. Data-driven energy management: review of practices in Canada, USA, and Africa. Eng Sci Technol J. 2024;5(1):219-30.
51. Karam SF. Byron's environmental imaginings in Childe Harold's Pilgrimage. J Engl Lit Cult Stud. 2022;3(2):32-45.
52. Kayıhan KS. Examination of biophilia phenomenon in the context of sustainable architecture. In: Proceedings

of 3rd International Sustainable Buildings Symposium (ISBS 2017). Volume 1 3. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2018. p. 80-101.
53. Latini A, Torresin S, Oberman T, Di Giuseppe E, Aletta F, Kang J, D'Orazio M. Effects of biophilic design interventions on university students' cognitive performance: an audio-visual experimental study in an immersive virtual office environment. Build Environ. 2024;250:111196.
54. Lei Q, Yuan C, Lau SSY. A quantitative study for indoor workplace biophilic design to improve health and productivity performance. J Clean Prod. 2021;324:129168.
55. Majemite MT, Obaigbena A, Dada MA, Oliha JS, Biu PW. Evaluating the role of big data in US disaster mitigation and response: a geological and business perspective. Eng Sci Technol J. 2024;5(2):338-57.
56. Matheson S, Fleck R, Irga PJ, Torpy FR. Phytoremediation for the indoor environment: a state-of-the-art review. Rev Environ Sci Bio/Technol. 2023;22(1):249-80.
57. McGee B, Park NK. Colour, light, and materiality: biophilic interior design presence in research and practice. Interiority. 2022;5(1):27-52.
58. Mollazadeh M, Zhu Y. Application of virtual environments for biophilic design: a critical review. Buildings. 2021;11(4):148.
59. Nitu MA, Gocer O, Wijesooriya N, Vijapur D, Candido C. A biophilic design approach for improved energy performance in retrofitting residential projects. Sustainability. 2022;14(7):3776.
60. Nwokediegwu ZQS, Ugwuanyi ED. Implementing AI-driven waste management systems in underserved communities in the USA. Eng Sci Technol J. 2024;5(3):794-802.
61. Nwokediegwu ZQS, Adefemi A, Ayorinde OB, Ilojianya VI, Etukudoh EA. Review of water policy and management: comparing the USA and Africa. Eng Sci Technol J. 2024;5(2):402-11.
62. Nwokediegwu ZQS, Daraojimba OH, Oliha JS, Obaigbena A, Dada MA, Majemite MT. Review of emerging contaminants in water: USA and African perspectives. 2024.
63. Nwokediegwu ZQS, Ibekwe KI, Ilojianya VI, Etukudoh EA, Ayorinde OB. Renewable energy technologies in engineering: a review of current developments and future prospects. Eng Sci Technol J. 2024;5(2):367-84.
64. Nwokediegwu ZQS, Ilojianya VI, Ibekwe KI, Adefemi A, Etukudoh EA, Umoh AA. Advanced materials for sustainable construction: a review of innovations and environmental benefits. Eng Sci Technol J. 2024;5(1):201-18.
65. Nwokediegwu ZQS, Majemite MT, Obaigbena A, Oliha JS, Dada MA, Daraojimba OH. Review of water reuse and recycling: USA successes vs. African challenges. 2024.
66. Nwokediegwu ZQS, Ugwuanyi ED, Dada MA, Majemite MT, Obaigbena A. AI-driven waste management systems: a comparative review of innovations in the USA and Africa. Eng Sci Technol J. 2024;5(2):507-16.
67. Nwokediegwu ZQS, Ugwuanyi ED, Dada MA, Majemite MT, Obaigbena A. Urban water management: a review of sustainable practices in the USA. Eng Sci Technol J. 2024;5(2):517-30.
68. Obijuru A, Arowoogun JO, Onwumere C, Odilibe IP, Anyanwu EC, Daraojimba AI. Big data analytics in healthcare: a review of recent advances and potential for personalized medicine. Int Med Sci Res J. 2024;4(2):170-82.
69. Odilibe IP, Akomolafe O, Arowoogun JO, Anyanwu EC, Onwumere C, Ogugua JO. Mental health policies: a comparative review between the USA and African nations. Int Med Sci Res J. 2024;4(2):141-57.
70. Odilibe IP, Akomolafe O, Arowoogun JO, Anyanwu EC, Onwumere C, Ogugua JO. Mental health policies: a comparative review between the USA and African nations. Int Med Sci Res J. 2024;4(2):141-57.
71. Ogugua JO, Okongwu CC, Akomolafe OO, Anyanwu EC, Daraojimba OD. Mental health and digital technology: a public health review of current trends and responses. Int Med Sci Res J. 2024;4(2):108-25.
72. O'Hara AC, Miller AC, Spinks H, Seifert A, Mills T, Tuininga AR. The sustainable prescription: benefits of green roof implementation for urban hospitals. Front Sustain Cities. 2022;4:798012.
73. Okoduwa IO, Ashiwaju BI, Ogugua JO, Arowoogun JO, Awonuga KF, Anyanwu EC. Reviewing the progress of cancer research in the USA. World J Biol Pharm Health Sci. 2024;17(2):68-79.
74. Omaghomi TT, Elufioye OA, Akomolafe O, Anyanwu EC, Odilibe IP. A comprehensive review of telemedicine technologies: past, present, and future prospects. Int Med Sci Res J. 2024;4(2):183-93.
75. Omaghomi TT, Elufioye OA, Akomolafe O, Anyanwu EC, Daraojimba AI. Health apps and patient engagement: a review of effectiveness and user experience. 2024.
76. Panagopoulos T, Sbarcea M, Herman K. A biophilic mindset for a restorative built environment. Landsc Archit Art. 2020;17(17):68-77.
77. Peters T, D'Penna K. Biophilic design for restorative university learning environments: a critical review of literature and design recommendations. Sustainability. 2020;12(17):7064.
78. Rai S, Asim F, Shree V. Biophilic architecture for restoration and therapy within the built environment. Vis Sustain. 2020;15:00-00.
79. Richardson M, Butler CW. Nature connectedness and biophilic design. Build Res Inf. 2022;50(1-2):36-42.
80. Sadick AM, Kamardeen I. Enablers for accelerating biophilic design adoption in Australian buildings. J Build Eng. 2024;83:108464.
81. Sadick AM, Kamardeen I, Vu XP. Challenges for implementing biophilic strategies in Australian building design. J Build Eng. 2023;74:106849.
82. Siebring E. Biophilic urbanism in The Netherlands. A qualitative study about the motivations, effects and difficulties of implementing more nature in cities [dissertation]. 2020.
83. Totaforti S. Emerging biophilic urbanism: the value of the human–nature relationship in the urban space. Sustainability. 2020;12(13):5487.
84. Umoh AA, Adefemi A, Ibewe KI, Etukudoh EA, Ilojianya VI, Nwokediegwu ZQS. Green architecture and energy efficiency: a review of innovative design and construction techniques. Eng Sci Technol J. 2024;5(1):185-200.

85. Vera Suarez MJ. Visual connections with nature in residential buildings: a study of health and well-being in Lima's San Miguel District [dissertation]. Tucson: The University of Arizona; 2023.
86. Wijesooriya N, Brambilla A. Bridging biophilic design and environmentally sustainable design: a critical review. J Clean Prod. 2021;283:124591.
87. Yin J, Yuan J, Arfaei N, Catalano PJ, Allen JG, Spengler JD. Effects of biophilic indoor environment on stress and anxiety recovery: a between-subjects experiment in virtual reality. Environ Int. 2020;136:105427.
88. Zhong W, Schröder T, Bekkering J. Biophilic design in architecture and its contributions to health, well-being, and sustainability: a critical review. Front Archit Res. 2022;11(1):114-41.