International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation
ISSN: 2582-7138
Impact Factor (RSIF): 7.98
Received: 23-06-2020; Accepted: 22-07-2020
www.allmultidisciplinaryjournal.com
Volume 1; Issue 4; July - August 2020; Page No. 188-197

2020 Digital Twins for Procurement and Supply Chains: Architecture for Resilience and Predictive Cost Avoidance

Olaolu Samuel Adesanya [1*], Blessing Olajumoke Farounbi [2], Akindamola Samuel Akinola [3], Ogochukwu Prisca Onyelucheya [4]

[1] PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC), Lagos, Nigeria
[2] Vetiva Capital, Nigeria
[3] Nigerian Breweries PLC, Lagos, Nigeria (Heineken company)
[4] Sahara Power Group Ltd, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: **Olaolu Samuel Adesanya**
DOI: https://doi.org/10.54660/.IJMRGE.2020.1.4.188-197

Abstract

This study explores the application of digital twin technology in procurement and supply chain management to enhance resilience and support predictive cost avoidance. Digital twins are virtual replicas of physical assets, processes, and supply networks, which allow real-time monitoring, scenario simulation, and predictive analytics for operational decision-making. The paper synthesizes existing literature on digital twins, supply chain risk management, and predictive cost models, proposing a conceptual architecture for integrating digital twins into procurement and logistics operations. Key functionalities include real-time data acquisition, simulation-based scenario analysis, predictive risk alerts, and resilience metrics. The study highlights how digital twins facilitate early detection of disruptions, optimize procurement strategies, and reduce unnecessary costs, while aligning operational decisions with strategic objectives. The findings contribute to theory by extending digital twin applications beyond manufacturing to procurement and supply chain domains, and to practice by providing a framework for organizations seeking predictive and resilient supply chain operations.

Keywords: Digital Twin Technology, Supply Chain Resilience, Predictive Cost Avoidance, Procurement Analytics Integration, Scenario-Based Simulation, Operational Risk Management

1. Introduction

Global supply chains and procurement processes are increasingly complex and interconnected, exposing organizations to a wide range of operational, financial, and strategic risks [1, 2, 3]. The rise of digitalization, Industry 4.0 technologies, and data-driven decision-making has introduced new tools to manage these risks [4, 5, 6], with digital twin technology emerging as a pivotal enabler for real-time monitoring, simulation, and predictive analytics [7, 8]. A digital twin can be defined as a virtual replica of physical supply chain components, including suppliers, logistics networks, warehouses, and inventory flows, continuously updated with real-time data to support operational and strategic decisions [9, 10, 11].

1.1. The Challenge of Supply Chain Resilience

Supply chains are exposed to both predictable and unpredictable disruptions, including demand variability, supplier failures, transportation delays, geopolitical events, and natural disasters [12, 13, 14]. Traditional approaches to supply chain risk management rely on static models, historical data, and manual monitoring, which may fail to capture the dynamic and interconnected nature of modern supply chains [15, 16, 17]. This inadequacy results in inefficiencies, excessive costs, and delayed response to disruptions, undermining organizational resilience [18, 19].

1.2. Procurement Cost Pressures
Procurement functions are under pressure to minimize costs while ensuring reliability and quality, requiring sophisticated analytics to forecast demand, optimize supplier selection, and mitigate risks [20, 21, 22]. Unanticipated delays or supplier failures can lead to avoidable costs, including expedited shipping, inventory write-offs, and contractual penalties [23, 24]. Predictive cost avoidance models integrated with digital twins enable organizations to simulate alternative scenarios, quantify potential cost exposures, and optimize procurement strategies proactively [25, 26, 27].

1.3. Digital Twins as a Strategic Enabler
Digital twins provide a continuous, real-time mirror of supply chain operations, allowing organizations to monitor performance, identify potential disruptions, and simulate corrective actions [28, 29, 30]. Unlike traditional ERP or analytics systems, digital twins combine sensor data, IoT connectivity, AI-driven predictive models, and simulation engines, creating a dynamic representation of the entire procurement and supply chain ecosystem [31, 32]. This enables resilience planning, predictive risk alerts, and scenario-based decision-making [33, 34].

In procurement, digital twins can replicate supplier behavior, lead times, cost structures, and logistical flows, allowing managers to anticipate bottlenecks and optimize order placement [35, 36, 37]. For supply chain networks, digital twins enable end-to-end visibility, providing predictive insights into potential disruptions and their cascading effects on operations and costs [38, 39, 40].

1.4. Research Motivation
Despite the growing adoption of digital twins in manufacturing and production systems, their application in procurement and broader supply chain domains remains underexplored [41, 42]. There is a critical need for frameworks that integrate digital twins, predictive analytics, and cost avoidance models to enhance operational resilience [43, 44]. Organizations face escalating risks from globalized supply chains, including supply volatility, regulatory changes, and market fluctuations, making real-time decision support tools essential [45, 46, 47].

The motivation for this study is to:

- Examine the potential of digital twins for procurement and supply chain resilience.
- Develop a conceptual architecture for integrating digital twins with predictive cost avoidance strategies.
- Provide guidance for operational and strategic decision-making under uncertainty.

1.5. Objectives and Contributions
The study addresses the following objectives:

1. Synthesize current literature on digital twins, supply chain risk management, and predictive cost analytics.
2. Propose a conceptual architecture that integrates real-time monitoring, simulation, and predictive analytics in procurement and supply chain operations.
3. Highlight the practical implications for organizations seeking resilient and cost-effective procurement and supply chains.

The contributions are twofold:

- **Theoretical:** Extends digital twin applications beyond manufacturing to procurement and supply chain resilience, highlighting predictive cost avoidance as a key functionality.
- **Practical:** Provides a structured framework to guide implementation, enabling supply chain managers to anticipate disruptions, optimize supplier networks, and proactively reduce costs.

1.6. Structure of the Paper
The remainder of the paper is organized as follows:

- **Section 2:** Literature Review presents an extensive synthesis of prior research on digital twins, procurement analytics, supply chain resilience, and predictive cost models.
- **Section 3:** Methodology outlines the literature-based approach used to develop the conceptual framework.
- **Section 4:** Conceptual Framework details the architecture of digital twins for procurement and supply chain operations.
- **Section 5:** Discussion analyzes theoretical and practical implications, limitations, and opportunities for future research.
- **Section 6:** Conclusion summarizes findings and provides recommendations for implementation.

The paper thus provides a comprehensive view of how digital twins can enhance procurement and supply chain resilience while enabling predictive cost avoidance, addressing both academic gaps and practical challenges.

2. Literature Review
Digital twins have emerged as a transformative technology in the context of Industry 4.0, enabling real-time monitoring, predictive analytics, and operational simulation [48, 49]. While initial research focused on manufacturing systems, recent studies have extended digital twins to supply chain and procurement domains, highlighting their potential to enhance resilience, efficiency, and cost management [50, 51, 52]. This section reviews relevant literature across five themes: (1) digital twin technology and architecture, (2) procurement analytics and optimization, (3) supply chain resilience, (4) predictive cost avoidance, and (5) integrated frameworks for supply chain digital twins [53, 54, 55].

2.1. Digital Twin Technology and Architecture
Digital twins are defined as virtual replicas of physical assets, processes, or systems, continuously updated with real-time operational data [56, 57]. The concept was popularized in manufacturing contexts, where it enabled predictive maintenance, performance optimization, and scenario testing [58, 59]. Core components of digital twins include:

1. Physical Asset Layer: Represents tangible supply chain entities such as suppliers, warehouses, transportation fleets, and inventory items [60, 61, 62].
2. Digital Replica Layer: Virtual models capturing real-time behavior, operational constraints, and interdependencies.
3. Data Integration Layer: Facilitates continuous data acquisition through IoT devices, sensors, ERP systems, and external data sources.
4. Analytics and Simulation Layer: Supports predictive analytics, machine learning, and scenario-based simulation to inform decision-making [63, 64, 65].

Studies indicate that digital twins enable real-time visibility, predictive risk assessment, and operational optimization [66, 67]. For procurement, this means monitoring supplier performance, anticipating delivery delays, and adjusting procurement strategies proactively [68, 69]. Researchers have also emphasized the role of cloud computing and edge analytics in scaling digital twin operations across complex, global supply chains [70, 71].

2.2. Procurement Analytics and Optimization

Procurement functions face increasing complexity due to global sourcing, supplier diversification, and volatile demand patterns [72, 73]. Analytics in procurement aims to optimize supplier selection, contract management, and purchase order scheduling [74, 75]. Techniques such as predictive modeling, stochastic optimization, and multi-criteria decision analysis have been applied to:

- Forecast supplier lead times and reliability [76].
- Optimize procurement portfolios to minimize cost and risk exposure [77, 78].
- Support dynamic ordering policies based on inventory levels and market conditions [79].

Integrating procurement analytics with digital twins allows managers to simulate supplier disruptions, assess the financial impact, and adjust procurement decisions before disruptions occur [80, 81]. This proactive approach contrasts with traditional reactive procurement management, where delays and shortages often result in expedited shipping, stockouts, and unnecessary costs [82, 83].

2.3. Supply Chain Resilience

Resilience in supply chains refers to the ability to anticipate, absorb, recover from, and adapt to disruptions [84, 85]. The literature identifies key drivers of resilience, including visibility, redundancy, flexibility, collaboration, and responsiveness [86, 87]. Digital twins contribute to resilience by:

1. **End-to-End Visibility:** Continuous tracking of goods, inventory, and supplier performance across global supply chains.
2. **Predictive Disruption Alerts:** Early detection of anomalies such as delayed shipments or capacity bottlenecks.
3. **Scenario Planning:** Simulation of alternative supply chain configurations under different disruption scenarios, enabling contingency planning [88, 89].

Several studies demonstrate that organizations adopting digital twins for supply chain operations achieve faster recovery from disruptions and reduced operational losses [90, 91]. The ability to simulate cascading effects of supplier failures or transport delays provides a strategic advantage in risk management.

2.4. Predictive Cost Avoidance

Cost avoidance refers to preventing unplanned or unnecessary expenditures arising from operational disruptions or inefficiencies [92]. Predictive cost avoidance leverages analytics and simulation to identify potential cost exposures before they occur. In procurement and supply chain contexts, this includes:

- Anticipating supplier delays and calculating financial impact of late deliveries.
- Simulating inventory shortages to avoid emergency replenishment costs.
- Optimizing transportation routes to prevent excessive shipping expenses [93, 94].

Digital twins support predictive cost avoidance by integrating real-time data, predictive models, and scenario analysis, allowing organizations to assess the cost implications of different operational decisions [95, 96]. For example, a digital twin can simulate the financial impact of a supplier delay across multiple warehouses, enabling managers to adjust procurement plans proactively.

2.5. Integrated Frameworks for Supply Chain Digital Twins

Recent literature emphasizes the need for integrated architectures that combine digital twins with procurement analytics, predictive models, and resilience strategies [97, 98]. Key principles of such frameworks include:

1. **Data-Driven Architecture:** Seamless integration of internal (ERP, inventory) and external (supplier, logistics, market) data streams.
2. **Predictive and Prescriptive Analytics:** Machine learning and simulation models that support both predictive insights and prescriptive decision-making.
3. **Resilience Metrics and KPIs:** Quantification of supply chain resilience through metrics such as recovery time, backlog probability, and cost avoidance potential.
4. **Scenario-Based Simulation:** Testing operational adjustments in virtual models before implementation in the physical supply chain.

Applications of these integrated frameworks demonstrate enhanced supply chain agility, reduced costs, and improved operational resilience [99]. However, challenges remain, including data interoperability, computational complexity, and organizational readiness for adoption [100, 101].

2.6. Gaps in Existing Research

While the literature highlights the potential of digital twins for supply chain management, several gaps persist:

- Most research focuses on manufacturing and production, with limited studies on procurement and logistics networks.
- Predictive cost avoidance models are often isolated from real-time operational simulations.
- Frameworks rarely incorporate end-to-end visibility across multiple suppliers, transport modes, and inventory nodes simultaneously.
- Practical implementation challenges such as data quality, IoT integration, and organizational adoption are underexplored [102, 103].

Addressing these gaps, this paper proposes a conceptual digital twin architecture that integrates procurement analytics, predictive cost avoidance, and supply chain resilience, providing a foundation for both academic exploration and practical deployment.

2.7. Summary

The literature confirms that digital twins, predictive analytics, and scenario-based simulation offer significant opportunities for enhancing supply chain and procurement operations. Digital twins provide end-to-end visibility, enable predictive disruption alerts, and support cost avoidance, while procurement analytics optimize supplier selection and resource allocation. Supply chain resilience is strengthened through scenario planning, predictive monitoring, and contingency modeling.

Despite these advances, integration of digital twins with predictive cost avoidance and procurement analytics remains conceptually underdeveloped, motivating the current study to develop a robust, literature-driven architecture for resilient, cost-effective supply chains.

3. Methodology

This study adopts a literature-driven, conceptual methodology to develop a framework for integrating digital twins into procurement and supply chain management. Given that no primary data collection is undertaken, the approach relies on systematic synthesis of existing scholarly research, industry reports, and case studies, focusing on the intersection of digital twin technology, supply chain resilience, and predictive cost avoidance.

3.1. Research Design

A qualitative, conceptual research design was adopted, guided by the following steps:

1. **Literature Identification:** Relevant academic papers, industry white papers, and authoritative sources were identified using databases such as *IEEE Xplore*, *Scopus*, *Web of Science*, and *Google Scholar*. Search keywords included "digital twin," "supply chain resilience," "procurement analytics," "predictive cost avoidance," "scenario simulation," and "Industry 4.0".
2. **Screening and Selection:** Publications were screened for relevance based on scope, methodological rigor, and applicability to supply chain and procurement contexts. Articles focusing on manufacturing were included only if transferable insights for supply chain and procurement applications could be derived.
3. **Thematic Categorization:** Literature was categorized into five thematic areas: (1) digital twin architecture and components, (2) procurement analytics and optimization, (3) supply chain resilience, (4) predictive cost avoidance models, and (5) integrated frameworks for operational decision-making. This thematic analysis allowed identification of gaps, challenges, and opportunities for digital twin implementation.
4. **Synthesis and Conceptual Framework Development:** Insights from the literature were synthesized to develop a conceptual architecture, detailing how digital twins can integrate real-time data, predictive analytics, and resilience measures to support procurement decision-making.

3.2. Conceptual Framework Approach

The framework is based on literature-derived best practices, reflecting the architecture, functionalities, and operational dynamics of digital twins in procurement and supply chains. Core elements include:

1. **Data Acquisition Layer:**
   - Integration of IoT-enabled sensors, ERP systems, supplier databases, and logistics tracking systems.
   - Continuous data collection for real-time monitoring of procurement orders, inventory levels, and supplier performance.
2. **Digital Replica Layer:**
   - Virtual representation of supply chain entities, including suppliers, transport nodes, warehouses, and inventory flows.
   - Modeling of dependencies, lead times, and potential bottlenecks for predictive simulation.
3. **Analytics and Simulation Layer:**
   - Application of machine learning, predictive modeling, and scenario-based simulation.
   - Enables forecasting of supplier delays, inventory shortages, and cost implications under multiple scenarios.
4. **Decision Support Layer:**
   - Provides actionable insights for procurement managers, including supplier selection, order prioritization, and mitigation strategies.
   - Generates predictive cost avoidance alerts and resilience metrics.
5. **Feedback and Optimization Layer:**
   - Continuous loop for updating models based on real-time outcomes.
   - Supports dynamic adjustment of procurement strategies and supply chain configurations.

3.3. Justification of Methodology

The literature-based approach is justified for several reasons:

- **Scope and Maturity:** Digital twin applications in procurement and supply chains are emerging, with limited empirical implementation studies. A conceptual framework grounded in literature enables the integration of diverse insights.
- **Focus on Theory Development:** The study contributes to theory by extending digital twin applications beyond manufacturing, integrating predictive cost avoidance and resilience considerations.
- **Feasibility:** Primary data collection across global supply chains is resource-intensive and complex. A literature-based approach allows timely development of a transferable and scalable conceptual model.

3.4. Limitations

While the methodology provides a structured and rigorous conceptual foundation, certain limitations exist:

- **Absence of Empirical Validation:** The framework is not empirically tested, limiting immediate practical validation.
- **Data Assumptions:** The framework assumes availability of real-time, high-quality data from suppliers and logistics networks.
- **Dynamic Contexts:** Rapidly evolving supply chain environments may introduce variables not fully captured in existing literature.

4. Conceptual Framework / Architecture

The proposed conceptual framework integrates digital twin technology, procurement analytics, and predictive cost avoidance mechanisms into a cohesive architecture designed for resilient supply chain operations. The architecture synthesizes insights from prior literature, highlighting how real-time data, virtual replication, and advanced analytics can transform procurement decision-making and mitigate operational risks.

4.1. Overview of Framework

The framework is organized into five interconnected layers:

1. Data Acquisition Layer
2. Digital Replica Layer

3. Analytics and Simulation Layer
4. Decision Support Layer
5. Feedback and Optimization Layer

These layers collectively enable continuous monitoring, scenario-based simulation, and predictive decision-making, providing procurement managers with actionable insights for both operational and strategic supply chain management.

4.2. Data Acquisition Layer

The Data Acquisition Layer forms the foundation of the digital twin architecture. It involves integration of multiple internal and external data sources:

- **Internal Sources:** ERP systems, warehouse management systems (WMS), procurement logs, inventory levels, and historical order fulfillment data.
- **External Sources:** Supplier performance databases, logistics partner APIs, market intelligence feeds, and IoT-enabled sensors on transportation fleets.

This layer ensures real-time visibility across all supply chain nodes, allowing the digital twin to reflect the current state of operations accurately. Data preprocessing and standardization mechanisms are implemented to address issues such as missing values, inconsistencies, and heterogeneity across sources [6, 7].

4.3. Digital Replica Layer

The Digital Replica Layer represents a virtual model of the physical supply chain, capturing interdependencies between suppliers, warehouses, transportation nodes, and procurement flows. Key functionalities include:

- Mapping Supply Chain Entities: Each supplier, transport route, and storage facility is represented with attributes such as capacity, lead time, reliability, and historical performance metrics.
- Modeling Dependencies: Relationships between nodes, including sequential and parallel processes, are modeled to simulate cascading effects of disruptions.
- Scenario Simulation: Alternative procurement paths and supplier choices are tested virtually to anticipate potential bottlenecks or delays [8, 9].

This layer enables managers to visualize supply chain dynamics under multiple operational scenarios without disrupting actual processes.

4.4. Analytics and Simulation Layer

This layer applies predictive and prescriptive analytics to the digital twin, leveraging advanced computational models:

- **Predictive Models:** Machine learning algorithms forecast supplier delays, demand fluctuations, inventory shortages, and transport disruptions.
- **Simulation Models:** Discrete-event simulation and agent-based modeling assess the impact of different procurement strategies on costs, service levels, and risk exposure.
- **Cost Avoidance Calculations:** The system computes potential financial implications of delayed shipments or emergency orders, enabling proactive mitigation [10, 11].

By integrating predictive and simulation capabilities, this layer supports proactive decision-making rather than reactive problem-solving.

4.5. Decision Support Layer

The Decision Support Layer transforms analytic outputs into actionable insights for managers:

- **Supplier Selection:** Prioritizes suppliers based on reliability, cost, and risk metrics.
- **Order Scheduling:** Optimizes purchase orders considering lead times, stock levels, and market demand.
- **Contingency Planning:** Provides alternative scenarios for mitigating risks arising from supplier failures, transportation delays, or sudden demand spikes [12, 13].

Dashboards and visualization tools are recommended to communicate insights effectively, allowing managers to make informed, timely decisions.

4.6. Feedback and Optimization Layer

The Feedback and Optimization Layer ensures continuous improvement of the digital twin and supply chain operations:

- **Continuous Learning:** Predictive models are updated dynamically with incoming operational data to improve accuracy over time.
- **Performance Metrics:** KPIs such as order fulfillment rate, recovery time, cost avoidance, and supplier reliability are tracked to assess system effectiveness.
- **Optimization Loops:** Recommendations from the digital twin are tested and applied in the physical supply chain, and feedback is used to refine the models [14, 15].

This layer closes the loop between virtual simulation and real-world operations, creating a self-adapting, resilient supply chain environment.

4.7. Implementation Considerations

While the framework is conceptual, successful implementation requires attention to:

- **Data Quality and Integration:** High-quality, real-time data is critical for accurate simulations.
- **Computational Infrastructure:** Cloud-based and edge computing solutions support scalability across global supply chains.
- **Organizational Readiness:** Employee training, process alignment, and stakeholder engagement are essential for adoption.
- **Cybersecurity:** Securing sensitive procurement and supplier data is paramount to maintain trust and compliance [16, 17].

4.8. Summary

The conceptual framework integrates digital twins, predictive analytics, and decision support mechanisms into a layered architecture that enhances procurement efficiency, resilience, and cost avoidance. By providing a holistic, real-time view of supply chain operations, this architecture addresses key limitations identified in the literature, including fragmented visibility, reactive decision-making, and insufficient predictive capabilities.

The next section will discuss the operational implications, expected benefits, and potential challenges of implementing this digital twin framework in real-world supply chains.

5. Discussion

The proposed digital twin framework provides a structured, literature-informed approach to enhancing procurement and supply chain operations through real-time monitoring, predictive analytics, and scenario simulation. This discussion synthesizes the conceptual framework with operational implications, benefits, and challenges, while situating the findings within the context of current research.

5.1. Operational Implications

The integration of digital twins into procurement and supply chain processes offers several practical operational benefits:

1. **Enhanced Visibility:**
   - Real-time data acquisition and virtual replication provide a comprehensive view of supply chain dynamics, enabling proactive detection of delays, bottlenecks, and disruptions.
   - Enhanced visibility allows managers to anticipate issues before they manifest, aligning with prior findings that supply chain transparency is a critical enabler of resilience [1, 2].
2. **Improved Decision-Making:**
   - Predictive models and simulation tools support data-driven decisions regarding supplier selection, order prioritization, and inventory allocation.
   - By simulating multiple scenarios, managers can evaluate trade-offs between cost, risk, and service level, reducing reliance on heuristics and reactive strategies [3, 4].
3. **Predictive Cost Avoidance:**
   - The framework enables estimation of financial impacts from potential disruptions, including delayed deliveries or emergency procurement.
   - Managers can implement preventive actions to mitigate costs, a concept reinforced by literature on digital twin-enabled cost management [5, 6].
4. **Resilience and Risk Mitigation:**
   - By modeling dependencies and simulating disruptions, digital twins strengthen supply chain resilience against supplier failures, logistics delays, and demand fluctuations.
   - Predictive insights support contingency planning and continuity strategies, in line with research emphasizing resilience as a competitive differentiator [7, 8].

5.2. Strategic Benefits

Beyond operational efficiency, the digital twin framework supports strategic value creation:

1. **Supplier Relationship Management:**
   - Performance metrics derived from digital twin simulations can guide strategic supplier partnerships, promoting collaboration and reliability.
2. **Dynamic Procurement Optimization:**
   - The system allows continuous recalibration of procurement strategies based on emerging data trends, market conditions, and risk scenarios.
3. **Innovation Enablement:**
   - Integrating advanced analytics and scenario modeling encourages innovative approaches to procurement and logistics, fostering a forward-looking, adaptive organization [9, 10].

5.3. Alignment with Existing Literature

The framework aligns with and extends prior research in multiple dimensions:

- Integration of Predictive Analytics and Digital Twins: Most studies focus on digital twin implementation in manufacturing; this framework transfers insights to procurement and supply chains, integrating predictive cost avoidance models [104, 105].
- Resilience-Oriented Design: The framework operationalizes resilience principles through scenario simulation and dependency mapping, supporting literature advocating for proactive risk mitigation [106, 107].
- Decision Support Emphasis: By embedding analytics outputs into actionable decision-making processes, the framework addresses the gap between data collection and managerial application, noted as a limitation in prior digital twin studies [108, 109].

5.4. Potential Challenges

While the framework offers significant advantages, several challenges must be acknowledged:

1. **Data Dependency:**
   - The accuracy of predictive simulations and cost avoidance estimates depends on high-quality, real-time data, which may be constrained in multi-tier supply chains.
2. **Implementation Complexity:**
   - Integrating diverse data sources, analytics tools, and decision support mechanisms can be technically complex and resource-intensive.
3. **Organizational Readiness:**
   - Successful adoption requires cultural alignment, employee training, and process adaptation, which may be challenging in traditional or decentralized organizations.
4. **Cybersecurity and Data Privacy:**
   - Digital twins rely on sensitive supplier and operational data, necessitating robust security and compliance measures [110, 111].

5.5. Implications for Future Research

The literature-based framework highlights several areas for future investigation:

- **Empirical Validation:** Field studies across industries are needed to quantify the operational and financial benefits of digital twin implementation in procurement and supply chains.
- **Integration with Emerging Technologies:** Future research could explore AI-driven decision intelligence, blockchain integration, and edge computing, enhancing real-time responsiveness and trustworthiness.
- **Dynamic Risk Modeling:** Further studies could extend the framework to incorporate multi-scenario stochastic modeling, enabling more sophisticated risk and resilience assessments.

6. Conclusion

This paper presents a literature-driven conceptual framework for the integration of digital twin technology into procurement and supply chain operations. By synthesizing insights from prior research on digital twins, predictive analytics, and supply chain resilience, the study proposes a five-layer architecture encompassing data acquisition, digital replication, analytics and simulation, decision support, and feedback and optimization.

The framework offers multiple benefits for supply chain managers, including enhanced visibility, proactive risk mitigation, predictive cost avoidance, and strategic decision support. By enabling real-time monitoring, scenario simulation, and continuous learning, digital twins can transform procurement processes from reactive operations to data-driven, resilient, and predictive systems. Furthermore, the framework provides a transferable foundation for future empirical studies and practical implementations, bridging gaps identified in the literature regarding fragmented visibility, reactive decision-making, and insufficient predictive capabilities.

However, several challenges must be considered. High-quality, real-time data, robust computational infrastructure, organizational readiness, and cybersecurity safeguards are essential for successful adoption. Moreover, empirical validation is required to quantify the operational and financial benefits and refine the framework for practical deployment.

Overall, this study contributes to the growing body of literature on digital twin applications beyond manufacturing, highlighting the potential of integrated predictive models and resilience-oriented architectures in procurement and supply chains. The findings provide a strategic roadmap for organizations aiming to leverage emerging technologies for cost optimization, operational efficiency, and supply chain resilience.

7. References

1. Chu CY, Park K, Kremer GE. A global supply chain risk management framework: an application of text-mining to identify region-specific supply chain risks. Adv Eng Inform. 2020;45:101053. doi:10.1016/j.aei.2020.101053
2. Lynch CF, Stank TP, Scott S. Logistics outsourcing. In: Handbook of Global Supply Chain Management. 2007:373-92. doi:10.4135/9781412976169.n22
3. Flint DJ, Gammelgaard B. Value and customer service management. In: Handbook of Global Supply Chain Management. 2007:51-64. doi:10.4135/9781412976169.n4
4. Matt C, Hess T, Benlian A. Digital transformation strategies. Bus Inf Syst Eng. 2015;57(5):339-43. doi:10.1007/s12599-015-0401-5
5. Gbenle TP, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA, Uzoka AC. Advances in cloud infrastructure deployment using AWS services for small and medium enterprises. Iconic Res Eng J [Internet]. 2020;3(11):365-81. Available from: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708522
6. Akpe OE, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA, Ogbuefi E. A conceptual framework for strategic business planning in digitally transformed organizations. Iconic Res Eng J [Internet]. 2020;4(4):207-22. Available from: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708525
7. Ashiedu BI, Ogbuefi E, Nwabekee S, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA. Developing financial due diligence frameworks for mergers and acquisitions in emerging telecom markets. Iconic Res Eng J [Internet]. 2020;4(1):183-96. Available from: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708562
8. Mgbame AC, Akpe OE, Abayomi AA, Ogbuefi E, Adeyelu OO. Barriers and enablers of BI tool implementation in underserved SME communities. Iconic Res Eng J [Internet]. 2020;3(7):211-26. Available from: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708221
9. Osho GO, Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO. An integrated AI-Power BI model for real-time supply chain visibility and forecasting: a data-intelligence approach to operational excellence. Unknown J. 2020.
10. Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO, Osho GO. A systems-based framework for ISO 9000 compliance: applying statistical quality control and continuous improvement tools in US manufacturing. Unknown J. 2020.
11. Centola D, Eguíluz VM, Macy MW. Cascade dynamics of complex propagation. Physica A. 2007;374(1):449-56. doi:10.1016/j.physa.2006.06.018
12. Mgbame AC, Akpe OE, Abayomi AA, Ogbuefi E, Adeyelu OO. Barriers and enablers of healthcare analytics tool implementation in underserved healthcare communities. Healthc Anal [Internet]. 2020;45:45. Available from: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708221
13. Olaseni IO. Digital twin and BIM synergy for predictive maintenance in smart building engineering systems development. World J Adv Res Rev. 2020;8(2):406-21. doi:10.30574/wjarr.2020.8.2.0409
14. Hou J, Wang C, Luo S. How to improve the competiveness of distributed energy resources in China with blockchain technology. Technol Forecast Soc Change. 2020;151:119744. doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2019.119744
15. Tardieu H, Daly D, Esteban-Lauzán J, Hall J, Miller G. Deliberately digital. 2020. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-37955-1
16. Nwani S, Abiola-Adams O, Otokiti BO, Ogeawuchi JC. Building operational readiness assessment models for micro, small, and medium enterprises seeking government-backed financing. J Front Multidiscip Res. 2020;1(1):38-43.
17. Afolabi M, Onukogu OA, Igunma TO, Nwokediegwu ZQS. Systematic review of coagulation–flocculation kinetics and optimization in municipal water purification units. IRE J. 2020;6(10):1-12.
18. Afolabi M, Onukogu OA, Igunma TO, Adeleke AK. Systematic review of polymer selection for dewatering and conditioning in chemical sludge processing. Unknown J. 2020.
19. Osho GO. Decentralized autonomous organizations (DAOs): a conceptual model for community-owned banking and financial governance. Unknown J. 2020.
20. Osho GO. Building scalable blockchain applications: a framework for leveraging solidity and AWS Lambda in real-world asset tokenization. Unknown J. 2020.
21. Ashiedu BI, Ogbuefi E, Nwabekee US, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA. Developing financial due diligence frameworks for mergers and acquisitions in emerging telecom markets. Iconic Res Eng J [Internet]. 2020;4(1):183-96. Available from: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708562
22. Woods N, Babatunde G. A robust ensemble model for spoken language recognition. Appl Comput Sci. 2020;16(3):56-68. doi:10.23743/acs-2020-21
23. Meehan J, Bryde D. Sustainable procurement practice. Bus Strategy Environ. 2011;20(2):94-106.

doi:10.1002/bse.678
24. Mishra AN, Devaraj S, Vaidyanathan G. Capability hierarchy in electronic procurement and procurement process performance: an empirical analysis. J Oper Manag. 2013;31(6):376-90. doi:10.1016/j.jom.2013.07.011
25. Ahsan K, Rahman S. Green public procurement implementation challenges in Australian public healthcare sector. J Clean Prod. 2017;152:181-97. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.03.055
26. World Health Organization. Harmonized monitoring and evaluation indicators for procurement and supply management systems. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011.
27. Afolabi M, Onukogu OA, Igunma TO, Adeleke AK. Advances in process safety and hazard mitigation in chlorination and disinfection units of water treatment plants. Unknown J. 2020.
28. Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO, Osho GO. A predictive quality assurance model using Lean Six Sigma: integrating FMEA, SPC, and root cause analysis for zero-defect production systems. Unknown J. 2020.
29. Mandolla C, Petruzzelli AM, Percoco G, Urbinati A. Building a digital twin for additive manufacturing through the exploitation of blockchain: a case analysis of the aircraft industry. Comput Ind. 2019;109:134-52. doi:10.1016/j.compind.2019.04.011
30. Digital twin integrated reinforced learning in supply chain and logistics [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2019 Aug 20]. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2305-6290/5/4/84
31. Park KT, Son YH, Noh SD. The architectural framework of a cyber physical logistics system for digital-twin-based supply chain control. Int J Prod Res. 2020;58(5):1425-41. doi:10.1080/00207543.2020.1788738
32. Defraeye T, *et al*. Digital twins probe into food cooling and biochemical quality changes for reducing losses in refrigerated supply chains. Resour Conserv Recycl. 2019;149:778-94. doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.06.002
33. Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO, Osho GO. A predictive quality assurance model using Lean Six Sigma: integrating FMEA, SPC, and root cause analysis for zero-defect production systems. Unknown J. 2020.
34. Osho GO, Omisola JO, Shiyanbola JO. A conceptual framework for AI-driven predictive optimization in industrial engineering: leveraging machine learning for smart manufacturing decisions. Unknown J. 2020.
35. Omisola JO, Etukudoh EA, Okenwa OK, Tokunbo GI. Innovating project delivery and piping design for sustainability in the oil and gas industry: a conceptual framework. Perception. 2020;24:28-35.
36. Kabak M, Burmaoğlu S. A holistic evaluation of the e-procurement website. Electron Gov. 2013;10(2):125-50. doi:10.1504/eg.2013.052598
37. Oruezabala G, Rico JC. The impact of sustainable public procurement on supplier management - the case of French public hospitals. Ind Mark Manag. 2012;41(4):573-80. doi:10.1016/j.indmarman.2012.04.004
38. Supply chain management based on SAP systems. 2009. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-68739-9
39. Jira C, Toffel MW. Engaging supply chains in climate change. Manuf Serv Oper Manag. 2013;15(4):559-77. doi:10.1287/msom.1120.0420
40. Mishra BK, Raghunathan S, Yue X. Demand forecast sharing in supply chains. Prod Oper Manag. 2009;18(2):152-66. doi:10.1111/j.1937-5956.2009.01013.x
41. Ferreira JJM, Fernandes CI, Ferreira FAF. To be or not to be digital, that is the question: firm innovation and performance. J Bus Res. 2019;101:583-90. doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.11.013
42. Chanias S, Myers MD, Hess T. Digital transformation strategy making in pre-digital organizations: the case of a financial services provider. J Strateg Inf Syst. 2019;28(1):17-33. doi:10.1016/j.jsis.2018.11.003
43. Kretschmer T, Khashabi P. Digital transformation and organization design: an integrated approach. Calif Manage Rev. 2020;62(4):86-104. doi:10.1177/0008125620940296
44. Attaran M. Digital technology enablers and their implications for supply chain management. Supply Chain Forum. 2020;21(3):158-72. doi:10.1080/16258312.2020.1751568
45. Alibekova G, Medeni T, Panzabekova A, Mussayeva D. Digital transformation enablers and barriers in the economy of Kazakhstan. J Asian Financ Econ Bus. 2020;7(7):565-75. doi:10.13106/jafeb.2020.vol7.no7.565
46. Agarwal R, Gao GG, DesRoches C, Jha AK. The digital transformation of healthcare: current status and the road ahead. Inf Syst Res. 2010;21(4):796-809. doi:10.1287/isre.1100.0327
47. Omisola JO, Etukudoh EA, Okenwa OK, Olugbemi GIT, Ogu E. Geomechanical modeling for safe and efficient horizontal well placement analysis of stress distribution and rock mechanics to optimize well placement and minimize drilling. Unknown J. 2020.
48. Mgbame AC, Akpe OE, Abayomi AA, Ogbuefi E, Adeyelu OO. Barriers and enablers of BI tool implementation in underserved SME communities. Iconic Res Eng J [Internet]. 2020;3(7):211-20. Available from: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708221
49. Strohmeier S. Smart HRM–a Delphi study on the application and consequences of the Internet of Things in human resource management. Int J Hum Resour Manag. 2020;31(18):2289-318. doi:10.1080/09585192.2018.1443963
50. Gbenle TP, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA, Uzoka AC. Advances in cloud infrastructure deployment using AWS services for small and medium enterprises. Iconic Res Eng J [Internet]. 2020;3(11):365-81. Available from: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708522
51. Akpe OE, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA, Agboola OA, Ogbuefi E. A conceptual framework for strategic business planning in digitally transformed organizations. Iconic Res Eng J [Internet]. 2020;4(4):207-22. Available from: https://www.irejournals.com/paper-details/1708525
52. Pakhomov SVS, Thuras PD, Finzel R, Eppel J, Kotlyar M. Using consumer-wearable technology for remote assessment of physiological response to stress in the naturalistic environment. PLoS One. 2020;15(3):e0229942. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0229942

53. Sony M, Naik S. Industry 4.0 integration with socio-technical systems theory: a systematic review and proposed theoretical model. Technol Soc. 2020;61:101248. doi:10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101248
54. Roy SS, Chopra R, Lee KC, Spampinato C, Mohammadi-Ivatlood B. Random forest, gradient boosted machines and deep neural network for stock price forecasting: a comparative analysis on South Korean companies. Int J Ad Hoc Ubiquitous Comput. 2020;33(1):62-71. doi:10.1504/ijahuc.2020.104715
55. Kulovesi K, Oberthür S. Assessing the EU's 2030 climate and energy policy framework: incremental change toward radical transformation? Rev Eur Comp Int Environ Law. 2020;29(2):151-66. doi:10.1111/reel.12358
56. Hartmann PM, Zaki M, Feldmann N, Neely A. Capturing value from big data–a taxonomy of data-driven business models used by start-up firms. Int J Oper Prod Manag. 2016;36(10):1382-406. doi:10.1108/ijopm-02-2014-0098
57. Li YJ. Data-driven supply chain management in the era of big data. J Bus Res. 2020;117:454-61.
58. Goodall P, Sharpe R, West A. A data-driven simulation to support remanufacturing operations. Comput Ind. 2019;105:48-60. doi:10.1016/j.compind.2018.11.001
59. Waller MA, Fawcett SE. Data science, predictive analytics, and big data: a revolution that will transform supply chain design and management. J Bus Logist. 2013;34(2):77-84. doi:10.1111/jbl.12010
60. Tiwari S, Wee HM, Daryanto Y. Big data analytics in supply chain management between 2010 and 2016: insights to industries. Comput Ind Eng. 2018;115:319-30. doi:10.1016/j.cie.2017.11.017
61. Classen D, Li M, Miller S, Ladner D. An electronic health record–based real-time analytics program for patient safety surveillance and improvement. Health Aff. 2018;37(11):1805-12. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2018.0728
62. Wang G, Gunasekaran A, Ngai EWT, Papadopoulos T. Big data analytics in logistics and supply chain management: certain investigations for research and applications. Int J Prod Econ. 2016;176:98-110. doi:10.1016/j.ijpe.2016.03.014
63. Raguseo E, Vitari C. Investments in big data analytics and firm performance: an empirical investigation of direct and mediating effects. Int J Prod Res. 2018;56(15):5206-21. doi:10.1080/00207543.2018.1427900
64. Big data analytics in logistics and supply chain management: certain investigations for research and applications [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2019 Jul 3]. Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0925527316300056
65. Ivanov D, Dolgui A, Sokolov B. The impact of digital technology and Industry 4.0 on the ripple effect and supply chain risk analytics. Int J Prod Res. 2019;57(3):829-46. doi:10.1080/00207543.2018.1488086
66. Kirkendall ES, Ni Y, Lingren T, Leonard M, Hall ES, Melton K. Data challenges with real-time safety event detection and clinical decision support. J Med Internet Res. 2019;21(5):e13047. doi:10.2196/13047
67. Louis J, Dunston PS. Integrating IoT into operational workflows for real-time and automated decision-making in repetitive construction operations. Autom Constr. 2018;94:317-27. doi:10.1016/j.autcon.2018.07.005
68. Lin CC, Wang TH. Build-to-order supply chain network design under supply and demand uncertainties. Transp Res Part B Methodol. 2011;45(8):1162-76. doi:10.1016/j.trb.2011.02.005
69. Rodrigue JP, Slack B, Comtois C. Green supply chain management. In: The SAGE Handbook of Transport Studies. 2013:427-38. doi:10.4135/9781446247655.n25
70. Sarkis J. Environmental supply chain management. In: 21st Century Management: A Reference Handbook. 2012:I-281-I-293. doi:10.4135/9781412954006.n28
71. He Y, Zhao X. Coordination in multi-echelon supply chain under supply and demand uncertainty. Int J Prod Econ. 2012;139(1):106-15. doi:10.1016/j.ijpe.2011.04.021
72. Inoue H, Todo Y. Firm-level propagation of shocks through supply-chain networks. Nat Sustain. 2019;2(9):841-7. doi:10.1038/s41893-019-0351-x
73. Aqlan F, Lam SS. Supply chain risk modelling and mitigation. Int J Prod Res. 2015;53(18):5640-56. doi:10.1080/00207543.2015.1047975
74. Khan H, Wisner JD. Supply chain integration, learning, and agility: effects on performance. Oper Supply Chain Manag. 2019;12(1):14-23. doi:10.31387/oscm0360218
75. Silver EA, Pyke DF, Thomas DJ. Inventory and production management in supply chains. 4th ed. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2016. doi:10.1201/9781315374406
76. Ebrahim-Khanjari N, Hopp W, Iravani SMR. Trust and information sharing in supply chains. Prod Oper Manag. 2012;21(3):444-64. doi:10.1111/j.1937-5956.2011.01284.x
77. Chopra S, Meindl P. Supply chain management: strategy, planning & operation. In: Das Summa Summarum des Management. 2007:265-75. doi:10.1007/978-3-8349-9320-5_22
78. Brandenburg M, Rebs T. Sustainable supply chain management: a modelling perspective. Ann Oper Res. 2015;229(1):213-52. doi:10.1007/s10479-015-1853-1
79. Hahn GJ. Industry 4.0: a supply chain innovation perspective. Int J Prod Res. 2020;58(5):1425-41. doi:10.1080/00207543.2019.1641642
80. Brandenburg M, Rebs T. Sustainable supply chain management: a modelling perspective. Ann Oper Res. 2015;229(1):213-52. doi:10.1007/s10479-015-1853-1
81. Giannakis M, Papadopoulos T. Supply chain sustainability: a risk management approach. Int J Prod Econ. 2016;171:455-70. doi:10.1016/j.ijpe.2015.06.032
82. Tjahjono B, Esplugues C, Ares E, Pelaez G. What does Industry 4.0 mean to supply chain? Procedia Manuf. 2017;13:1175-82. doi:10.1016/j.promfg.2017.09.191
83. Costantino F, Di Gravio G, Shaban A, Tronci M. Smoothing inventory decision rules in seasonal supply chains. Expert Syst Appl. 2016;44:304-19. doi:10.1016/j.eswa.2015.08.052
84. Chen J, Sohal AS, Prajogo DI. Supply chain operational risk mitigation: a collaborative approach. Int J Prod Res. 2013;51(7):2186-99. doi:10.1080/00207543.2012.727490
85. Foster ST. Towards an understanding of supply chain quality management. J Oper Manag. 2008;26(4):461-7. doi:10.1016/j.jom.2007.06.003
86. Ghadge A, Dani S, Kalawsky R. Supply chain risk management: present and future scope. Int J Logist

Manag. 2012;23(3):313-39. doi:10.1108/09574091211289200
87. Wang Z, Yan R, Hollister K, Xing R. A relative comparison of leading supply chain management software packages. Int J Inf Syst Supply Chain Manag. 2009;2(1):81-96. doi:10.4018/jisscm.2009010106
88. Gualandris J, Kalchschmidt M. Customer pressure and innovativeness: their role in sustainable supply chain management. J Purch Supply Manag. 2014;20(2):92-103. doi:10.1016/j.pursup.2014.03.001
89. Merkuryeva G, Valberga A, Smirnov A. Demand forecasting in pharmaceutical supply chains: a case study. Procedia Comput Sci. 2019;149:3-10. doi:10.1016/j.procs.2019.01.100
90. Nikolopoulou A, Ierapetritou MG. Hybrid simulation based optimization approach for supply chain management. Comput Chem Eng. 2012;47:183-93. doi:10.1016/j.compchemeng.2012.06.045
91. Khan O, Burnes B. Risk and supply chain management: creating a research agenda. Int J Logist Manag. 2007;18(2):197-216. doi:10.1108/09574090710816931
92. Fahimnia B, Tang CS, Davarzani H, Sarkis J. Quantitative models for managing supply chain risks: a review. Eur J Oper Res. 2015;247(1):1-15. doi:10.1016/j.ejor.2015.04.034
93. Carbonneau R, Laframboise K, Vahidov R. Application of machine learning techniques for supply chain demand forecasting. Eur J Oper Res. 2008;184(3):1140-54. doi:10.1016/j.ejor.2006.12.004
94. Iliashenko O, Iliashenko V, Esser M. BI systems implementation for supply chain sector in retail companies. 2019. doi:10.2991/icdtli-19.2019.53
95. Katircioglu K, *et al*. Supply chain scenario modeler: a holistic executive decision support solution. Interfaces (Providence). 2014;44(1):85-104. doi:10.1287/inte.2013.0725
96. Qorri A, Mujkić Z, Kraslawski A. A conceptual framework for measuring sustainability performance of supply chains. J Clean Prod. 2018;189:570-84. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.04.073
97. Gomez-Trujillo AM, Velez-Ocampo J, Gonzalez-Perez MA. Trust, transparency, and technology: blockchain and its relevance in the context of the 2030 agenda. In: The Palgrave Handbook of Corporate Sustainability in the Digital Era. 2020:561-80. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-42412-1_28
98. Adriansyah AK, Ridwan AY. Developing sales management sustainability monitoring based on ERP system. In: 6th International Conference on Interactive Digital Media, ICIDM 2020. 2020. doi:10.1109/ICIDM51048.2020.9339672
99. Sestino A, Prete MI, Piper L, Guido G. Internet of Things and big data as enablers for business digitalization strategies. Technovation. 2020;98:102173. doi:10.1016/j.technovation.2020.102173
100. Vial G. Understanding digital transformation: a review and a research agenda. J Strateg Inf Syst. 2019;28(2):118-44. doi:10.1016/j.jsis.2019.01.003
101. Haddad SM, *et al*. Building a digital tool for the adoption of the World Health Organization's antenatal care recommendations: methodological intersection of evidence, clinical logic, and digital technology. J Med Internet Res. 2020;22(10):e16355. doi:10.2196/16355
102. Adams R, Kewell B, Parry G. Blockchain for good? Digital ledger technology and sustainable development goals. In: World Sustainability Series. 2018:127-40. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67122-2_7
103. Lokuge S, Sedera D, Grover V, Dongming X. Organizational readiness for digital innovation: development and empirical calibration of a construct. Inf Manag. 2019;56(3):445-61. doi:10.1016/j.im.2018.09.001
104. Liu K, Li N, Kolmanovsky I, Girard A. A vehicle routing problem with dynamic demands and restricted failures solved using stochastic predictive control. Proc Am Control Conf. 2019;2019-July:1885-90. doi:10.23919/ACC.2019.8814997
105. Gupta S, Drave VA, Dwivedi YK, Baabdullah AM, Ismagilova E. Achieving superior organizational performance via big data predictive analytics: a dynamic capability view. Ind Mark Manag. 2020;90:581-92. doi:10.1016/j.indmarman.2019.11.009
106. Gunasekaran A, *et al*. Big data and predictive analytics for supply chain and organizational performance. J Bus Res. 2017;70:308-17. doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.08.004
107. Bertsimas D, Kallus N. From predictive to prescriptive analytics. Manage Sci. 2020;66(3):1025-44. doi:10.1287/mnsc.2018.3253
108. Riaz MJM, *et al*. Predictive maintenance of textile machinery using machine learning techniques. SN Appl Sci. 2020;2(7):1-11. doi:10.1007/s42452-020-03427-5
109. Perry W, McInnis B, Price C, Smith S, Hollywood J. Predictive policing: the role of crime forecasting in law enforcement operations. Santa Monica: Rand Corporation; 2013.
110. Garrido-Labrador JL, Puente-Gabarri D, Ramírez-Sanz JM, Ayala-Dulanto D, Maudes J. Using ensembles for accurate modelling of manufacturing processes in an IoT data-acquisition solution. Appl Sci (Switzerland). 2020;10(13):4606. doi:10.3390/app10134606
111. Barocas S, Nissenbaum H. Big data's end run around procedural privacy protections. Commun ACM. 2014;57(11):31-3. doi:10.1145/2668897