International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation.

The Meaning of Culture in Inspiration Economy: A Longitudinal Comprehensive Review

Mohamed Buheji
Founder, International Institute of Inspiration Economy, Bahrain

* Corresponding Author: **Mohamed Buheji**

Article Info

ISSN (Online): 2582-7138
Impact Factor (RSIF): 7.98
Volume: 07
Issue: 01
Received: 02-11-2025
Accepted: 01-12-2025
Published: 29-12-2025
Page No: 104-111

Abstract
This paper presents a longitudinal and thematic synthesis of 16 sectoral and community case studies to examine the role of culture within the Inspiration Economy (IE). It posits that culture is not a static backdrop but a dynamic, engineered ecosystem essential for sustainable socio-economic development. Through the structured mechanism of the Inspiration Labs, legacy cultural paradigms are deconstructed and replaced with a resilient, inspiration-driven cultural architecture. This architecture unfolds in four phases: (1) deconstruction of resource-dependent mindsets, (2) application of cultural-engineering tools, (3) creation of sustaining artefacts, and (4) crystallisation of a proactive, legacy-oriented culture.
The findings demonstrate that culture, when deliberately architected to treat inspiration as a renewable currency, enables communities to transition from dependency to resilient self-sufficiency. The paper also introduces a framework for measuring the impact of this cultural transformation, emphasising non-monetary metrics such as inspiration currency, social cohesion, and community resilience. Ultimately, this research argues that in the Inspiration Economy, culture is the ultimate infrastructure—intentionally built, systematically reinforced, and fundamental to enduring development.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54660/.IJMRGE.2026.7.1.104-111

Keywords: Culture, Inspiration Economy, Cultural Engineering, Socio-Economic Development, Inspiration Lab, Opportunity Reframing

1. Introduction

Through a thematic synthesis of 16 sectoral and community case studies, we analyse the Inspiration Lab as the primary mechanism for deconstructing legacy cultural paradigms and constructing a new, resilient socio-economic fabric, (Buheji and Ahmed, 2018) [16]. The findings reveal a consistent, four-phase cultural architecture: 1) the deliberate deconstruction of resource-dependent, reactive "classical solution" mindsets; 2) the application of structured cultural-engineering tools (e.g., opportunity reframing, differential diagnosis, empathetic engineering); 3) the creation of sustaining artifacts (new roles, rituals, and metrics); and 4) the crystallization of a proactive, collaborative, and legacy-oriented culture. This engineered culture, which treats inspiration as a renewable currency, is identified as the critical enabler for moving communities from dependency to resilient self-sufficiency. (Buheji and Ahmed, 2016a) [11], (Buheji and Ahmed, 2025) [19].

2. Literature Review

2.1. The Complexity of Culture

Culture is one of the most complex and fascinating concepts in the human experience. To move beyond a simple definition ("the way of life of a group of people"), we need to dive into its layers, contradictions, and dynamic nature. A community culture is the collective outcome of the shared values, norms, practices, social capital, and collective identity of a group in a specific place or around a shared interest. (Holiday, 2010) [25]

The most common metaphor for understanding cultural complexity is to realise the art, food, dress, language, music, greetings, festivals, and architecture. However, there are powerful, invisible implicit drivers. This includes values, beliefs, assumptions, notions of self, concepts of time (monochronic vs. polychronic), attitudes toward authority, communication styles (high-context vs. low-context), and deeply ingrained ideas about fairness, respect, and modesty. These are learned unconsciously and are far more difficult to change or even perceive.

Misunderstandings between cultures (or even within them) often happen because we see the surface behaviour but misinterpret the deeply held value motivating it. Groups that share the broader culture's foundations but have distinct patterns. A single person belongs to multiple cultural layers simultaneously—their gender, profession, religion, socioeconomic class, and nationality all interact to shape a unique cultural identity. (Buheji and Mushimiyimana, 2025) [20].

Culture provides the tools and sets constraints, but individuals exercise agency in how they use them. This tension is at the heart of social life. Its complexity often reveals inherent contradictions. Culture provides stability and continuity, yet it must also adapt to survive. (Holiday, 2010) [25].

Managing cultural diversity and change is a core political and social challenge. The ultimate value of studying cultural complexity is realising that what we consider "natural" or "common sense" is, in fact, culturally constructed. In essence, culture is the evolving, contested, and often invisible software that runs human societies. Its complexity lies in its simultaneous role as a map for meaning-making.

2.2. The Conceptualisation of Culture in the Inspiration Economy

Inspiration economy is a new conceptual economy where the primary value created is not just a product or service, but the inspiration currency that could be made from them or from the new paradigms, cultural shifts, aesthetic movements, profound intrinsic motivation, and meaning. It values non-monetary currencies like attention, curiosity, wonder, trust, and social capital. It is focused on transformational ideas and states of being, (Buheji and Ahmed, 2016d) [14]. The Inspiration Economy (IE) represents a paradigm shift, positing inspiration as a foundational currency for socio-economic development (Buheji, 2018) [3]. It identifies and leverages intrinsic community resources—social, intellectual, natural—as the primary engine for development. Within this framework, culture is reconceptualised beyond static traditions or shared values; it is an actively engineered ecosystem designed to generate and sustain inspiration as a renewable resource (Buheji and Ahmed, 2020) [18]. This culture is characterised by a deliberate focus on mindsets and systemic practices that foster continuous curiosity, innovation, and intelligent adaptation. (Buheji, 2025a) [8].

Scholars of IE argue that this inspiration-driven culture is marked by several core elements (Buheji, 2019a) [4]:

Mindset Shift: A transition from task-oriented and scarcity-based thinking to abundance thinking, reverse thinking, and an empathetic, end-user focus. This aligns with concepts in positive organisational scholarship and design thinking, which emphasise reframing problems as opportunities (Luthans and Youssef, 2007; Brown, 2009) [26, 2].

Learning and Adaptation: A "failure-positive" ethos where experiential learning and "learning by doing" are paramount. Failures are institutionalised as critical data points for growth, reducing the fear of error and fostering psychological safety—a concept central to team learning and innovation (Edmondson, 1999) [22].

Entrepreneurial and Collaborative Spirit: The culture encourages calculated risk-taking and creativity to build innovative, hard-to-replicate models. It thrives on multidisciplinary collaboration, open communication, and a shared purpose, facilitated by trust and humility (Buheji, 2018) [3], (Buheji, 2025b) [10].

Strategic Foresight and Modularity: It employs "visioneering" for strategic foresight and "modular thinking" to deconstruct complexity, supported by a culture of constructive critique (Buheji and Ahmed, 2020) [18].

Legacy-Oriented Outcomes: Success is measured not in short-term outputs but in sustainable impact and legacy creation. Metrics shift towards "inspiration currency" and tangible improvements in quality of life and socio-economic development, moving beyond empowerment to measurable advancement (Buheji, 2019a) [4], (Buheji and Ahmed, 2016d) [14], (Chick, 1997) [21].

2.3. The Architecture of Cultural Construction in the Inspiration Economy

2.3.1. Formalised Structures for Cultural Engineering

Inspiration Labs are physical or conceptual spaces (within a community or institution) designed to foster creativity, serendipitous connections, experimentation, and the generation of new ideas without the immediate pressure of commercial outcomes. It's about exploration and "what if." The IE Labs employ a disciplined suite of practices that actively construct new shared mindsets and behaviours, it proposes that an inspiration-centric culture must be intentionally architected. This involves formal roles and structures, such as teams dedicated to integrating curiosity into strategy—a process termed "Influencing Without Power" (Buheji, 2018) [3]. The primary engine for this cultural engineering is the Inspiration Lab, a structured environment for experimentation and discovery. Through Inspiration Engineering, systematic methods are applied to design inspiring systems, sometimes using diagnostic approaches like "Differential Diagnosis" to identify root causes in complex socio-economic systems (Buheji and Ahmed, 2020) [18].

2.3.2. Culture as the Dynamic Social Fabric

IE theory is grounded in the view that socio-economic development is "the science of the interaction of social and economic factors" (Buheji, 2018) [3]. Culture is the implicit, dynamic social fabric within this interaction—comprising community values, traditions, and collective behaviours that shape how economic activity is perceived and enacted. A community's cultural mindset—its attitudes toward resilience, collaboration, and innovation—fundamentally determines whether it perceives challenges as burdens or as inspirations for opportunity (Buheji, 2019a) [4]. Thus, culture acts as either a barrier or an enabler; functional fixedness or hierarchical rigidity can stifle innovation, while community cohesion and openness can enable collaborative, inspired

solutions. (Buheji and Ahmed, 2025) [19], (Buheji, 2021b) [9].

2.3.3. Cultural Transformation through Engineered Interventions that lead to Sustainable Development

The ultimate goal of IE is not merely problem-solving but profound cultural transformation. It seeks to shift collective mindsets from scarcity to abundance, from dependency to resilient self-sufficiency, and from individualism to empathetic collaboration (Buheji and Ahmed, 2020) [18]. This transformation is deemed essential for sustainable development. Inspiration Labs serve as catalysts, embedding new practices into the cultural fabric to ensure long-term resilience. The process is inherently context-sensitive; labs in Bosnia, Mauritania, or India must be adapted to local social, religious, and gender dynamics to be effective, demonstrating that the method is universal but its manifestation is culturally contingent (Buheji, 2019a) [4].

The implications for development theory and practice are profound in IE. It suggests that sustainable development is less about transferring resources or technology and more about facilitating a cultural shift that enables communities to become the authors of their own development, (Buheji, 2021b) [9]. The IE provides a replicable, tool-based blueprint for this facilitation. Future research should employ longitudinal methods to quantitatively measure the persistence of this engineered culture and its correlation with long-term indicators of community resilience, economic diversification, and social cohesion, (Buheji, 2025a) [8]. Ultimately, this paper posits that in the Inspiration Economy, culture is the ultimate infrastructure—deliberately built, systematically reinforced, and essential for enduring, self-sustaining socio-economic development. (Ahmed and Buheji, 2018) [1]

2.3.4. The Impact of a Capital-Based Economy on the Inspiration Lab

Capital-based economy is an economic system where the primary drivers are financial capital, efficiency, profit maximisation, and measurable returns on investment (ROI). Growth is measured in GDP, and value is often tangible or mostly monetary; besides, it is based on the formula of supply vs. demand. (Chick, 1997) [21].

In a dominant capital-based framework, community culture and inspiration labs face significant pressures and distortions. For example, Inspiration Labs are forced to justify their existence through capital-centric metrics (ROI, patents, spin-off companies, job creation), (Buheji, 2025c) [10]. This can kill the very essence of inspiration, which is often slow, non-linear, and fails 90% of the time before a breakthrough. A community culture that internalises these metrics becomes transactional. Collaboration happens not for the joy of creation, but for potential commercial gain. Trust, a key community asset, can become instrumentalised. (Buheji and Ahmed, 2025) [19], (Stiglitz, 1992) [28].

The inspiration economy is not a full replacement for the capital-based economy, but a necessary layer that operates with different rules. Its establishment is both possible and challenging, and community culture is its most likely incubator. Ideas from the lab are pushed to market prematurely, (Buheji, 2025c) [10]. "Fail fast" can morph into "succeed monetarily or be terminated," stifling deep, radical innovation that doesn't have an obvious market. The lab risks becoming just another R&D pipeline. Thus, the community's role shifts from being a source of diverse input and meaning to being a test market or a source of "user data." Authentic cultural expression is valued only if it can be branded and sold.

Capital-driven economy usually flows to "safe bets" and proven models. Labs in communities that don't fit the Silicon Valley like, or financial hubs mold may struggle for funding. Inspired individuals may leave ("brain drain") for ecosystems where capital is concentrated. Unique local culture can be eroded or packaged as a commodity for tourism or talent attraction. The lab may become disconnected from the local community's actual needs and aspirations, serving global capital instead. (Stiglitz, 1992) [28].

The other issue is that capital markets often demand quarterly results. Inspiration, however, is a long-term cultural and cognitive process. Labs under capital pressure focus on incremental, not transformational, ideas. Community culture is a long-term project; when aligned with short-term capital, it becomes fragile. Traditions, shared stories, and collective memory—fertile ground for inspiration—are neglected if they don't pay off immediately. (Buheji, 2025c) [10].

2.4 Core Functions and Manifestations of IE Culture

2.4.1. The Primacy of Curiosity and Adaptation

At its core, IE culture is a "curiosity design" project (Buheji, 2018) [3]. Its primary function is to transform curiosity from an individual trait into a collective, habitual, and productive force. This creates a "curiosity economy" where the continuous generation of novel ideas becomes the key economic driver. Such a culture is inherently adaptive and resilient, valuing ambiguity and constant learning as vital capacities in a complex world. This necessitates a parallel transformation in education, shifting from knowledge-transfer to curiosity-based pedagogies that create lifelong learners (Buheji, 2019a) [4].

2.4.2. Empathy, Collaboration, and Intrinsic Motivation

IE culture is characterised by empathetic engineering—designing systems with deep sensitivity to human and community needs. This is operationalised through a culture of multidisciplinary collaboration that integrates diverse fields, backgrounds, and specialisations, viewing differences as bridges (Buheji and Ahmed, 2020) [18]. Case studies from over 80 labs across 27 communities indicate that empathetic and collaborative cultures can be engineered through interdisciplinary engagement and valuing failures as lessons (Buheji, 2019a) [4].

This culture operates on intrinsic motivation, employing "influencing without authority" and gamification to foster voluntary engagement and behavioural change. It builds trust through participatory co-creation, moving public service models from static delivery to dynamic value creation (Buheji, 2018) [3].

2.4.3. Culture as a Source of Inspiration and Legacy

Finally, IE posits that cultural elements themselves—heritage, arts, and shared narratives—can act as direct "inspiration currencies" (Buheji, 2019a) [4]. Engaging with cultural heritage is not a passive act but a living source of community identity and social entrepreneurship. This aligns with the broader notion of the creative class and the shift to knowledge- and culture-based economies (Florida, 2002) [23]. Therefore, cultural policies supporting lifelong learning and the arts are critical for building the "cultural ecosystem" necessary for sustained inspiration. The ultimate realisation

of IE culture is its ability to foster a problem-solving mindset that bridges generations and sectors, ensuring that inspired action becomes embedded in the community's way of being, thus creating a lasting legacy. (Buheji, 2021a) [7].

3. Methodology

This paper employs a longitudinal, qualitative synthesis of 16 sectoral and community case studies conducted through Inspiration Labs over the past decade. The analysis uses thematic synthesis to identify patterns and processes in cultural construction across diverse contexts, including poverty elimination, education, healthcare, public utilities, and social services.

Data were derived from lab reports, participant observations, and culture impact assessments, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of cultural transformation mechanisms.

4. Application and Analysis

Based on the synthesis of literature, the following analysis details how Inspiration Labs systematically engineer a unique, transformative culture. This culture is not a passive byproduct but the primary mechanism for achieving sustained socio-economic development. This analysis focuses on a sample of 20 case studies from the Inspiration Labs done in the last decade. It thinks in terms of resilient, self-sustaining systems that leave a positive socio-economic legacy.

4.1. Analysis: How Inspiration Economy and Inspiration Labs Build Culture

The case studies demonstrate that culture within the IE framework is built through a deliberate, repeatable process cantered on Inspiration Labs. These labs are not merely workshops but structured ecosystems for cultural engineering. The process cultivates a shift from traditional, bureaucratic, and reactive mindsets to one that is proactive, opportunity-driven, empathetic, and resilient. The cultural construction follows a core pattern observable across diverse sectors (Education, Healthcare, Public Utilities, Social Services, etc.)

4.2. Deconstructing the "Classical Solution" Mindset

Each case study begins by explicitly outlining the "classical solution" to the problem (e.g., increasing budgets, adding staff, enforcing compliance) where the inspiration lab first dismantles paradigm. For IE solving problems should not be dependent on financial resources, but rather more interdependent on the non-financial assets. The concept focus on doing systemic analysis before addressing symptoms. Thus, measuring success by sustainable impact (e.g., reduced food poisoning rates from fast food restaurants) would become a source of non-financial wealth as it would raise the tourism, thus number of inspections won't be a target anymore. (Buheji, 2019b) [5].

Each Lab begins by making explicit the incumbent cultural paradigm that is characterized by deeply ingrained practices. One these practices is the resource-dependent reactivity. Problems are met with demands for increased budgets, technology, or external aid (e.g., using petrodollars to solve problems; accepting automation donations for a carpet factory; expanding bed capacity in hospitals). The other old practice explained is the way solutions are conceived and controlled from a central point of authority (e.g., a central carpet factory, a main humanitarian office in the capital, a top-down healthcare administration), ignoring distributed community assets and creating dependencies. The third practice usually illustrated to prepare the mindset for the radical shift is the way success is measured, i.e by volume metrics (tons of food imported, number of inspections completed, carpets produced in a central facility) rather than sustainable, transformative impact on community resilience and self-sufficiency. The last practice targeted to be changed is the way engagement is developed based on the delivery of services (meals, subsidies, inspections) or resources, fostering a culture of dependency between giver and receiver, rather than co-creation, or working to be interdependent.

By systematically outlining this paradigm's limitations—its cost, unsustainability, and disempowerment of local agency—the Lab creates a cognitive and psychological "space" for an alternative, consciously destabilising the legitimacy of the status quo. By overcoming these limitations, the Lab creates a cognitive space for a new cultural paradigm. i.e. do a cultural unlearning to create space for the new learning. The Labs apply a consistent set of cultural engineering tools that inculcate new shared values and behaviours.

4.3. A Culture that Re-frames the Problem

Culture starts with a new way of seeing. Labs begin by measuring what matters but is often ignored (e.g., inspired vs. gifted students; the real socioeconomic impact of women's "empowerment" courses; the difference between "water loss" and "water leakage"). This practice builds a culture of deep curiosity and evidence-based understanding, moving away from assumptions and estimations. (Evident in: Education Services, Women Development, Water Leakage). (Buheji and Mushimiyimana, 2025) [20].

Once the culture has the capacity to reframe the problem, it will start to see it as a lost or explored opportunity that needs to be discovered. This would help to build an abundant thinking that sees all challenges as an opportunity that can be systematically reframed. A high suicide rate becomes an "anxiety management opportunity." Water leakage becomes a chance to build an "intelligent water loss prediction programme."

4.4. Ingraining Abundance Modular Thinking

Over time, inspiration labs build ingrained practice where a culture of abundance thinking and resilience can be seen evident in many IE cases, but more clearly in the suicide mitigation project, the emergency cases beds availability project, and the water leakage project.

Once modular thinking and differential diagnosis are used, the culture would start to have the capacity to break-down the complex issues into manageable modules, such as modelling speed of electricity connections by area and type, besides being diagnosed with precision. This requires and fosters collaborative, interdisciplinary thinking and humility, replacing top-down, one-size-fits-all directives. This is evident in electricity uptime, poverty elimination role of NGO's, and creating accident-free roads. (Buheji and Ahmed, 2016a) [11].

4.5. Role of Visualisation in Optimising the Capacity to 'Influence without Power'

IE Labs are not about quick wins. They force participants to visualise the desired legacy: "anxiety-free communities," "zero-defect roads," "self-sufficient food systems." This

practice builds a long-term, outcome-driven culture focused on sustainable socio-economic contribution, not just project completion. This is evident in the non-communicable disease's alleviation project and intergenerational projects.
Solutions are co-created with stakeholders. Health inspectors shift from "policing" to "coaching" restaurants. Parents of a hearing-impaired child focus on abilities, not disabilities. This builds a culture of empathy, trust, and intrinsic motivation, where change is adopted because it is inspired, not imposed. This can be seen in all the empathy-based problems solved and in community satisfaction projects.

4.6. Cultural Artifacts and Sustenance Mechanisms

If we review all the IE lab successful models, we will find the common thread for such success is piloting, iteration, and learning from failure while managing the psychological safety for experimentation. Every Lab involves pilots (e.g., testing anxiety scales in one health centre). Failure is expected and used as data. This builds a "failure-positive" culture of experimentation and rapid learning, reducing fear and encouraging intelligent risk-taking.
The Labs create tangible structures to sustain the new culture. For example, "Inspiration sustenance teams" in education, "youth teams" in NGOs, "strategic multi-disciplinary teams" in agriculture, decentralised "village weaving cooperatives" in Mauritania, "youth poverty elimination teams" within humanitarian NGOs, and "bed management teams" of resident physicians in hospitals, i.e. bed turnover speed based on patient need. These formalise the new cultural priorities. Also, weekly inspiration reviews, knowledge management programmes, peer-review systems for anxiety management, and community satisfaction apps that turn complaints into improvement suggestions. (Buheji, 2025b) [9].
Other sustenance mechanisms are reflected in shifting from "customer complaints" to "community satisfaction opportunities"; from "women empowered" to "women developed into job creators"; from "inspection targets" to "green sticker restaurants." Knowledge codification helps in transforming 'experiential learning' from Labs into manuals, training kits, and KM systems, ensuring the culture is transferred and scaled.
The processual view of culture as a system of shared assumptions, values, and practices that shape collective action (Schein, 2010) [27]. Inspiration Lab creates a cultural forge—a bounded space where these elements are intentionally heated, reshaped, and reset. The case studies, though addressing diverse challenges—from food security to revitalising the Mauritanian carpet industry—exhibit a remarkable consistency in process, allowing for cross-sectoral thematic synthesis. The analysis tracks the transformation from a legacy culture to an IE culture.

4.7. Culture as a Designed Construct Shifting from Scarcity to Abundance

Prevailing approaches to socio-economic development often treat culture as a static, background variable—a set of inherited traditions that may enable or constrain intervention (Harrison and Huntington, 2000) [24]. The Inspiration Economy (Buheji, 2018) [3] challenges this perspective, reconceptualising culture as a dynamic, engineered ecosystem that can be deliberately architected to fuel innovation and problem-solving. At the heart of this proposition is the Inspiration Lab, a structured intervention designed not merely to solve discrete problems but to transform the underlying cultural codes of organisations and communities. (Buheji and Ahmed, 2016b) [12].
A fundamental cultural reset involves shifting focus from deficits to latent assets. Labs systematically map community "wealth" beyond finances, (Buheji, 2019b) [5]. For example, the knowledge assets of Berber villagers in eco-tourism in Morocco, the social assets of sharing economies in Mauritania, the natural assets of land and water in Ghana, and the human capital of women weavers and fishermen in India. This practice cultivates a culture of abundance thinking and intrinsic self-worth, moving communities from seeing themselves as needy to resource-rich.

4.8. Opportunity Reframing and Vector Mapping

Challenges are linguistically and cognitively reframed through "problem vector" diagrams. A fisheries crisis becomes a vector for "sustainable competitiveness" and "consumer quality of life." Poverty in Bosnia is mapped as a vector for "poverty elimination programmes" and "youth engagement." This repeated practice embeds strategic, systemic thinking and teaches participants to perceive complex crises as maps of interconnected opportunity spaces. Solutions are re-engineered for distributed, human-centric impact. The centralised carpet factory is disaggregated into a network of village-based micro-enterprises. Humanitarian aid is transformed into a mentorship system pairing donor youth with beneficiary youth. Health inspectors shift from "policing" to "coaching." This builds a culture of trust, entrepreneurship, and "influencing without power," where change is driven by empowered networks, not hierarchical authority (Buheji and Ahmed, 2020) [18].
Labs force a shift from "push" (delivering services) to "pull" (creating enabling conditions). The goal is not to give fish but to create a resilient "fisheries wealth" ecosystem. The vision extends beyond meal delivery to a "poverty-free Bihac." This orients all activity toward long-term socio-economic legacy, building a culture that values sustainable systems over temporary fixes.
Every Lab involves rapid prototyping—testing anxiety scales in one health centre, piloting a new farmers' market, and creating a model "green" farm. Failure is expected and used as data. This builds a "failure-positive" culture of experimentation and adaptation, crucial for navigating complex socio-economic challenges (Edmondson, 1999) [22]. Through piloting, we can ground all action in deep stakeholder understanding and shared ownership of solutions.

4.9. New Valuation of Possible Culture Models

The rapid advancement of technologies that are coming with the 4th industrial revolution, such as the blockchain (for provenance, not just speculation) and new accounting frameworks (like well-being economies, ESG, and impact weighting), are creating tools to represent the value of inspiration and social capital. IE-driven communities can be the pioneers in using these tools, creating local reputation systems, time banks, or asset registries that value cultural and inspirational contributions.
In a Capital-based economy, the primary signifier of success, status, security, and even virtue is the accumulation and display of monetary wealth. Your value is your net worth. Progress is measured by GDP and stock indices. It fosters a mindset of scarcity, competition, and instrumental relationships—people and nature are often viewed as

resources or assets. This is exactly opposite to the values of the Inspiration-based economy, where the non-financial wealth is what constructs the meaning. The primary currencies of IE culture are purpose, awe, social connection, intellectual vitality, aesthetic beauty, and psychological well-being. Your value lies in your contribution to the collective inspiration that drives development, as seen in well-being indices, social cohesion, and cultural vibrancy. It fosters a mindset of abundance (of ideas), collaboration, and intrinsic motivation. (Buheji, 2019b) [5]

A dominant financial wealth-driven culture cannot ignore non-financial wealth, but systematically undermines its foundations. In a financial culture, what isn't priced is valued at zero. An idea's worth is its projected market size. A moment of awe, a deep community bond, or a scientist's pure curiosity has no balance sheet entry. Therefore, they are not "serious" pursuits for ambitious individuals or institutions. In this culture, any successful source of non-financial wealth (e.g., a close-knit community, a spiritual practice, a subculture) is immediately seen as an untapped market. Mindfulness becomes a billion-dollar app industry. Community becomes "social capital" to be leveraged. Inspiration becomes "content" to be monetised. This strip these experiences of their intrinsic, non-transactional value.

When financial wealth is the dominant status symbol, dedicating time to "unproductive" inspiration, contemplation, or community building feels like falling behind. The constant pressure to "hustle" and optimise for financial return leaves little psychic space for the open, playful, and often "wasteful" exploration that inspiration requires. Here, the culture that incentivises individual financial maximisation erodes the trust and reciprocity that are the bedrock of community—the very incubator of non-financial wealth. If your neighbour is primarily a competitor for resources, not a partner in co-creation, the inspiration economy cannot take root.

4.10. The Crisis of Meaning is the Opening

Despite this formidable cultural force, the possibility exists—and is, in fact, growing—precisely because of the failures of the financial wealth-driven culture. Empirical evidence (from the rise in mental health issues despite wealth, to the "Great Resignation") shows that financial wealth is insufficient for human flourishing. This widespread sense of emptiness is the fertile ground for a new value system. The inspiration economy addresses the very void created by its predecessor.

Movements like Effective Altruism (though using financial logic) argue for meaning over maximum income. Downshifting, "FIRE" (Financial Independence, Retire Early) pursued to buy time for non-financial pursuits. The prestige of social entrepreneurs, artists, and environmental stewards is rising. These are all living prototypes showing that a life organised around non-financial wealth is not only possible but desirable. (Buheji, 2019b) [5]

While tech has accelerated financial culture, it also provides the tools for the inspiration economy. This can be seen in platforms that target community sharing, as Wikipedia, which is an open-source software and which can also be seen in community resilience apps that emphasise "social wealth," "inspiration capital," and "collective well-being."

A financial wealth-driven culture is the single greatest obstacle to an inspiration economy, as it actively dismantles the social, psychological, and temporal conditions necessary for non-financial wealth to flourish. However, its very dominance is generating an equal and opposite reaction. The possibility of an inspiration economy lies in this cultural schism. It will not emerge as a total replacement, but as a powerful counter-culture and parallel system that grows in the cracks of the financial system's failures.

The transition will be led by individuals and communities who consciously opt out of the dominant value hierarchy to opt in to a more meaningful one. They will build decentralised, resilient nodes of inspiration-based value. Over time, as these nodes prove more capable of addressing crises of meaning, community, and ecology, they will attract more energy, gradually shifting the cultural centre of gravity. The battle is, at its heart, a battle of values. The inspiration economy becomes possible the moment enough people decide that a life rich in meaning, connection, and awe is more valuable than a life rich only in cash.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

5.1. The Resultant IE Culture

The culture built through this process is distinctly characterised as a proactive and predictive one that anticipates problems (e.g., forecasting water leaks, identifying at-risk anxiety patients) rather than reacting to crises. Change is driven by shared purpose and inspiration, not by authority or fear. It breaks down silos, valuing diverse perspectives as essential for complex problem-solving. This is a culture that views challenges as opportunities for learning and system strengthening.

Being a legacy-oriented culture, IE measures success by tangible, sustainable improvements in quality of life and socio-economic development. It grounds all solutions in a deep understanding of human and community needs.

5.2. How Labs Are Protected from Cultural Challenges?

A true inspiration lab, protected from immediate commercial pressure, is the prototype for the inspiration economy. It's where new "currencies" (trust, attention, wonder) are generated and exchanged. When a lab is embedded in and accountable to a community's culture, it draws on local history, ecology, and problems. The inspiration it generates is grounded and has a natural "market" in the community's improved resilience and identity.

The most realistic model in IE is not overthrow, but symbiosis. For example, it uses capital (philanthropic, impact investment, patient public capital) to protect and fuel inspiration labs and community cultural spaces. Their "return" is measured in community vitality, preparedness for future crises, and innovative capacity. An "inspiration economy" would generate its own forms of capital. A community with a strong inspiration culture attracts talented people, fosters adaptive businesses, and becomes a desirable place to live and work. This does translate into economic prosperity, but as a byproduct, not the sole goal.

The inspiration lab's role becomes one of translating between the capital economy and the inspiration economy. It takes deep community-inspired ideas and finds sustainable ways to nurture them (some may become commercial, others may become public policy, others may remain as cultural assets).

The possibility of establishing an inspiration economy hinges on community culture. Communities are the repositories of non-monetary value—trust, shared meaning, identity, and resilience. By consciously designing inspiration labs that are rooted in and serve their community culture, protected from short-term capital demands, we can prototype this new economy.

5.3. IE Case Studies and their Culture

The case studies prove that the Inspiration Economy does not merely utilise culture; it methodically constructs it through the Inspiration Lab. This lab is a cultural forge, applying specific tools (reframing, diagnosis, visualisation) to transform inherited mindsets and behaviours. The resulting culture—proactive, collaborative, and resilient—becomes the true "social fabric" that sustains inspiration as a renewable currency for development, making socio-economic transformation durable and embedded within the community's way of life.

IE advocates for culturally sensitive, community-embedded approaches to problem-solving—where inspiration is drawn from and contributes to the cultural wealth of societies. In the Inspiration Economy, culture is the collective mindset and practice that enables societies and organisations to continuously inspire, innovate, and thrive with minimal resources, driven by human intrinsic powers and a shared vision for a better socio-economic future.

Culture in the Inspiration Economy is the living context within which inspiration currencies are created, exchanged, and utilised to drive individual, organisational, and societal transformation. It is both a source of inspiration and a medium through which inspiration operates.

5.4. Further Recommended Studies

This research illustrates further studies needed to complement the body of knowledge in the meaning of culture in the Inspiration Economy. This raises the need for having more information about the rate of new idea generation per community/lab cycle, the diversity and source of ideas (from marginalised groups, youth, etc.), and the translation rate of ideas into pilot projects or community initiatives.

More cultural mindset indicators need to be studied, such as the shifts in scarcity vs. abundance thinking, the frequency of collaborative, cross-sectoral projects initiated and the levels of psychological safety reported in community/team surveys (Edmondson, 1999) [22]. Also, resilience and adaptation metrics need to be studied to cover the speed and effectiveness of community response to new crises or challenges. The degree of economic diversification and reduced dependency on specific resources, and the rate of adoption of "failure-positive" learning practices can also be a value-added for realising the culture.

5.5. Implications of this Research

This paper allows for the longitudinal tracking of cultural transformation, correlating engineered cultural shifts with tangible, long-term outcomes in community resilience, cohesion, and self-sufficiency. It challenges the traditional view of culture as a static, background variable (e.g., "traditional values") that can aid or hinder development. Instead, this work posits culture as a dynamic, engineered, and actionable infrastructure that can be intentionally designed, built, and scaled.

This research also legitimizes non-monetary forms of capital—curiosity, trust, wonder, social cohesion, purpose—as foundational to economic systems. This expands economic theory beyond financial and physical capital to include inspiration capital. By focusing on the Inspiration Lab as a means for intervention, the research provides a model for how intentional cultural change at the community level can drive macro-level socio-economic transformation.

The research outlines specific, applicable tools for practitioners such as opportunity reframing, differential diagnosis, empathetic engineering, modular thinking, and legacy visualisation. The other outcome of this research is that policymakers are prompted to invest in building "Inspiration Infrastructure" (Labs, community cultural spaces, curiosity-based education) alongside physical infrastructure. The concept of "Influencing Without Authority" provides a model for leading in networked, flat organisations, relying on inspiration, empathy, and co-creation rather than hierarchical command.

The research directly tackles modern ailments like alienation, mental health crises, and purposelessness by proposing an economy where meaning, connection, and awe are primary values and outputs. It provides a blueprint for communities to build intrinsic resilience against shocks (economic, climate, social) by strengthening their adaptive cultural fabric and reducing external dependency. It presents a vision for a symbiotic future where financial capital serves to amplify human and social capital, rather than subordinating it. The ultimate goal becomes a life "rich in meaning, connection, and awe."

It demonstrates that transformative change can be initiated and sustained at the community level, empowering local actors to become the "authors of their own development."

The overarching implication of this research is paradigmatic. It argues that the most critical investment for sustainable, equitable, and fulfilling futures is not in smarter algorithms or bigger infrastructure alone, but in the deliberate design of our collective culture. It posits that by engineering cultures of curiosity, empathy, and legacy, we can build economies that are not only productive but also inspirational and resilient—transforming the very purpose of development from wealth accumulation to well-being and inspired thriving. In a nutshell, it says that the future needs a more balanced ecosystem that serves to amplify inspiration and social capital, and where the ultimate "wealth" of a community is measured by its capacity to inspire its members and the world.

6. References

1. Ahmed D, Buheji M. Reflexivity in applying “Inspiration Economy” research: changing the game to make the research groups “researchers”. Int J Qual Methods. 2018;17:1-8.
2. Brown T. Change by design: how design thinking transforms organizations and inspires innovation. New York: Harper Business; 2009.
3. Buheji M. Re-inventing our lives, a handbook for socio-economic "problem-solving". Bloomington: AuthorHouse; 2018.
4. Buheji M. Handbook of the inspiration economy. Bookbon; 2019.
5. Buheji M. Re-designing the economic discovery of wealth: a framework for dealing with the issue of poverty. Int J Econ Commer Manag. 2019;7(2):387-398.
6. Buheji M. Physiology of inspiring life: realising how inspiration works in our bodies. USA: Westwood Books Publishing; 2021. ISBN 978-1-64803-652-1.
7. Buheji M. The theory of inspiration economy - an introduction. Int J Entrep. 2021;25(Special Issue 1).
8. Buheji M. From theory to practice: leveraging ‘applied sociology’ and ‘inspiration labs’ for effective social change. Int J Appl Sociol. 2025;15(1):1-6.
9. Buheji M. From theory to life transformation – a decade

of the inspiration economy theory tested through multidisciplinary, interdisciplinary, and transdisciplinary lens – a longitudinal study. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2025;6(5):819-834.
10. Buheji M. Dismantling the neoliberal order - how inspiration economy can address inequality, instability, and ecological crisis? Int J Res. 2025;12(7):27-36.
11. Buheji M, Ahmed D. Application of differential diagnosis in inspiration economy labs – a literature review. Int J Econ Res. 2016;13(8):3681-3687.
12. Buheji M, Ahmed D. Approaches to inspiration - similarities and differences - a holistic review of inspiration economy forums. MakeLearn Joint International Conference on Management, Knowledge and Learning; 2016 May 25-28; Timisoara, Romania.
13. Buheji M, Ahmed D. Currency of inspiration economy - forecasting the future. MakeLearn Joint International Conference on Management, Knowledge and Learning; 2016 May 25-28; Timisoara, Romania.
14. Buheji M, Ahmed D. In search for inspiration economy currency - a literature review. Am J Ind Bus Manag. 2016;6:1174-1184.
15. Buheji M, Ahmed D. A holistic review for inspiration economy framework constructs. J Soc Sci Stud. 2017;4(1).
16. Buheji M, Ahmed D. Exploring inspiration economy. UK: AuthorHouse; 2018. ISBN 978-1546292128.
17. Buheji M, Ahmed D, editors. The defiance - a socio-economic problem solving. UK: AuthorHouse; 2019. ISBN 978-1-7283-8869-4.
18. Buheji M, Ahmed D. Behavioural economics re-shaping the quality of life. UK: Authorhouse Publishing; 2020. ISBN 978-1-7283-9806-8.
19. Buheji M, Ahmed D. From theory to practice: leveraging anthropology and the inspiration economy for community-driven solutions. Int J Manag Sci Bus Res. 2025;14(1):25-43.
20. Buheji M, Mushimiyimana E. Capability approach vs. inspiration economy and their socioeconomic relevance: a comparative study. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2025;6(2):831-839.
21. Chick G. Cultural complexity: the concept and its measurement. Cross-Cult Res. 1997;31(4):275-307.
22. Edmondson AC. Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. Adm Sci Q. 1999;44(2):350-383.
23. Florida R. The rise of the creative class: and how it's transforming work, leisure, community and everyday life. New York: Basic Books; 2002.
24. Harrison LE, Huntington SP, editors. Culture matters: how values shape human progress. New York: Basic Books; 2000.
25. Holliday A. Complexity in cultural identity. Lang Intercult Commun. 2010;10(2):165-177.
26. Luthans F, Youssef CM. Emerging positive organizational behavior. J Manag. 2007;33(3):321-349.
27. Schein EH. Organizational culture and leadership. Vol. 2. San Francisco: John Wiley & Sons; 2010.
28. Stiglitz JE. Capital markets and economic fluctuations in capitalist economies. Eur Econ Rev. 1992;36(2-3):269-306.

How to Cite This Article
Buheji M. The meaning of culture in inspiration economy: a longitudinal comprehensive review. Int J Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2025;7(1):104–111. doi:10.54660/.IJMRGE.2026.7.1.104-111