



The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Job Displacement and Skill Requirements: A Study of the Manufacturing Sector

Anoop PS ^{1*}, Abin Abraham ², Arun K Saseendran ³, Reno Mathew Johnson ⁴, Biju MK ⁵

¹ Research Scholar, School of Management and Business Studies, Mahatma Gandhi University Kottayam, Kerala, India

² Research Scholar, School of Gandhian Thought and Development Studies, Mahatma Gandhi University Kottayam, Kerala, India

³ Associate Professor Sree Narayana Arts and Science College, Kumarakom, Kerala, India

⁴ Student, Master of Socialwork, Inter University Centre for Disability Studies (IUCDS), Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam, Kerala, India

⁵ Assistant Professor, School of Management and Business Studies, Mahatma Gandhi University Kottayam, Kerala, India

* Corresponding Author: **Anoop PS**

Article Info

ISSN (Online): 2582-7138

Impact Factor (RSIF): 7.98

Volume: 06

Issue: 06

November - December 2025

Received: 21-09-2025

Accepted: 23-10-2025

Published: 18-11-2025

Page No: 312-319

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) is fundamentally transforming the manufacturing sector, presenting a paradox of simultaneous job displacement and creation. This research article synthesizes current literature and empirical evidence to examine the multifaceted impact of AI on manufacturing employment, skill requirements, and workforce adaptation strategies. Using data from multiple industry surveys and academic studies spanning 2020–2025, this article analyzes perspectives from manufacturing workers, industry leaders, and AI technology providers. The findings reveal that while manufacturing experiences high job displacement rates (23.4–45%), simultaneously generating a 31.7% increase in new AI-driven employment categories. Critical barriers to workforce transition include insufficient reskilling programs (84% of respondents reported skill gaps), high implementation costs, and limited digital readiness among small and medium enterprises. The study concludes that proactive reskilling initiatives, collaborative governance frameworks, and adaptive education systems are essential for facilitating a just transition. Organizations implementing proactive workforce development achieve 64% higher retention rates for displaced workers compared to reactive approaches. This research provides actionable insights for policymakers, industry leaders, and educational institutions navigating the AI-driven transformation of manufacturing labor markets.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54660/IJMRGE.2025.6.6.312-319>

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Job Displacement, Manufacturing, Reskilling, Workforce Adaptation, Skill Requirements, Labor Market Transformation

1. Introduction

The manufacturing sector stands at a critical juncture as artificial intelligence technologies accelerate automation across production processes. Unlike previous waves of technological disruption, AI's capacity to augment human cognitive capabilities while simultaneously automating routine tasks creates unprecedented challenges and opportunities for manufacturing workforces globally. The World Economic Forum estimates that by 2030, approximately 85 million jobs may be displaced by automation across sectors, with manufacturing facing particularly acute pressures (International Labour Organization, 2024). However, this projection coexists with evidence suggesting AI will generate new employment categories, fundamentally reshaping rather than simply reducing labor demand (Damioli *et al.*, 2024)^[11].

The manufacturing industry, characterized by routine-based tasks and structured processes, ranks among the most vulnerable sectors to AI-driven displacement. Empirical research indicates that manufacturing and retail sectors experience displacement rates of 45% and 35% respectively, substantially exceeding technology-intensive and knowledge-based sectors such as healthcare and education, which demonstrate AI-driven job creation rates of 50–60% (Artificial Intelligence and Labor Markets, 2025) ^[9]. This sectoral disparity reflects the differential applicability of AI technologies across occupational profiles and industry characteristics.

Despite these transformative pressures, a critical gap persists in understanding how manufacturing workers, industry leaders, and technology providers collectively conceptualize and respond to AI-driven disruption. Most existing research treats these stakeholder groups separately, failing to capture the integrated perspectives necessary for developing holistic workforce transition strategies. Furthermore, limited research specifically addresses the manufacturing sector's unique characteristics capital intensity, supply chain complexity, and skill polarization which create distinctive challenges in facilitating workforce adaptation.

This article addresses these research gaps through a comprehensive examination of AI's impact on manufacturing employment, analyzing empirical evidence from 2020–2025, synthesizing stakeholder perspectives, and identifying critical mechanisms for facilitating just and equitable workforce transitions. The research questions guiding this investigation are:

1. What is the magnitude and nature of AI-driven job displacement in manufacturing, and how does this vary across occupational categories?
2. What specific skill requirements emerge as critical for manufacturing workers in AI-augmented production environments?
3. What barriers constrain workforce adaptation to AI-driven transformation, and which interventions demonstrate effectiveness?

How do manufacturing workers, industry leaders, and technology providers perceive and respond differently to AI-induced labor market disruption?

2. Literature review and theoretical framework

2.1. AI's Dual Impact on Manufacturing Employment

Contemporary research reveals a nuanced picture of AI's employment effects that transcends simplistic displacement narratives. A comprehensive analysis of 327 manufacturing, logistics, and administrative firms (2020–2024) demonstrates that while AI automation reduced traditional middle-skill jobs by 23.4%, simultaneously creating a 31.7% increase in new employment categories focused on AI development, human-AI collaboration, and digital transformation (Artificial Intelligence and Employment Transformation, 2024) ^[8]. This bifurcated outcome challenges technological determinism while highlighting the critical importance of intentional workforce development policy.

Sectoral analysis reveals substantial variation in displacement trajectories. Manufacturing exhibits higher vulnerability than emerging knowledge-intensive sectors, primarily due to task-based substitution opportunities in production scheduling, quality control, predictive

maintenance, and supply chain optimization. A comparative sectoral analysis identified displacement rates ranging from 8.2% to 37.6% across different manufacturing subsectors, with traditional assembly and routine processing operations facing substantially higher risks than advanced manufacturing requiring complex problem-solving (Artificial Intelligence and Labor Markets, 2025) ^[9].

2.2. The Skills Gap as a Critical Bottleneck

Perhaps the most significant finding emerging from recent empirical research concerns the AI skills gap constraining workforce adaptation. Research across multiple manufacturing contexts identified that 84% of respondents reported challenges in workforce adaptation due to insufficient AI-related training programs (Artificial Intelligence and Labor Markets, 2025) ^[9]. This skills deficit extends beyond technical competencies to encompass critical thinking, adaptability, and digital literacy competencies increasingly essential in human-AI collaborative environments.

Industry readiness assessments indicate that only 18.4% of surveyed manufacturing firms employ AI software in production areas, with adoption correlating strongly with Industry 4.0 readiness rather than company size or supply chain position (Artificial Intelligence Software Adoption in Manufacturing Companies, 2024) ^[10]. This finding underscores that digital infrastructure maturity encompassing cloud systems, data integration, and cyber-physical system architecture represents the primary enabler of successful AI implementation and associated workforce transition.

2.3. Proactive Versus Reactive Workforce Transition Strategies

Empirical evidence increasingly demonstrates substantial performance differences between organizations implementing proactive versus reactive workforce transition approaches. Organizations establishing reskilling programs before implementing AI automation achieved 64% higher retention rates for displaced workers compared to organizations deploying reactive training following workforce disruption (Artificial Intelligence and Employment Transformation, 2024) ^[8]. This finding emphasizes the critical timing dimension of workforce intervention strategies.

Advanced manufacturing organizations implementing continuous learning cultures and anticipatory skill development demonstrated enhanced organizational performance metrics including improved productivity, employee engagement, and workforce retention. In contrast, organizations failing to implement proactive training initiatives confronted multiple negative outcomes: elevated employee anxiety about displacement, reduced productivity during transition periods, and substantial talent loss as workers pursued opportunities in alternative sectors (AI Structuring Work Practices and Fuelling Employee Outcomes, 2024) ^[3].

3. Research Methodology

This study employed a comprehensive mixed-methods approach integrating quantitative data synthesis, qualitative stakeholder analysis, and case study examination to address the multifaceted nature of AI's impact on manufacturing employment.

3.1. Data Sources and Sample Characteristics

The research synthesized quantitative data from multiple sources spanning 2020–2025:

Survey Data: Secondary analysis of Statista's global surveys (2022–2023) encompassing 22,816 employees, 1,684 business leaders, and 803 corporations across manufacturing sectors (Will Artificial Intelligence Reshape the Global Workforce by 2030, 2025?)^[22].

European Manufacturing Survey Data: Analysis of 162 decision-makers' responses from German manufacturing industries regarding AI-based service adoption (Diffusion of AI Value-Driven Services, 2024)^[12].

Slovenian, Slovak, and Croatian Manufacturing Data: Examination of 240 manufacturing companies' AI adoption patterns from the European Manufacturing Survey 2022 (Artificial Intelligence Software Adoption in Manufacturing Companies, 2024)^[10].

Case Study and Empirical Research: Synthesis of longitudinal case studies and econometric analyses from 327 manufacturing firms implementing AI technologies (2020–2024).

3.2. Analytical Procedures

Data analysis employed multiple complementary approaches:

Descriptive Statistical Analysis: Examination of AI adoption rates, displacement percentages, skill gap prevalence, and workforce transition outcomes across manufacturing sectors and firm characteristics.

Comparative Industry Analysis: Sectoral comparison of displacement risks, job creation potential, and skill requirement trajectories across manufacturing subsectors.

Qualitative Thematic Synthesis: Integration of interview data, case study findings, and stakeholder perspectives from manufacturing workers, industry leaders, and technology providers.

Bibliometric Analysis: Examination of 999 academic articles (2010–2025) to identify evolving research trends, theoretical frameworks, and knowledge gaps in AI-employment research (Analyzing the Impact of AI on Job Reallocation, 2025)^[4].

3.3. Respondent Characteristics

Stakeholder perspectives were synthesized from multiple research contexts:

Manufacturing Workers: Survey respondents (n=55–1,279) across manufacturing facilities in multiple countries including India, Malaysia, Germany, Slovenia, Slovakia, and Croatia, representing diverse skill levels and tenure characteristics.

Industry Leaders: Business decision-makers (n=162–1,684) including plant managers, operations directors, and executive leadership responsible for technology implementation and workforce management decisions.

AI Technology Providers: Perspectives from AI implementation professionals, consulting firm analysts, and technology vendor representatives regarding implementation

challenges, adoption barriers, and workforce transition requirements.

4. Findings: AI-driven displacement and employment creation

4.1. Magnitude and Nature of Job Displacement

The empirical evidence consistently identifies manufacturing as a high-displacement sector relative to other industries. Across comprehensive studies examining multiple manufacturing contexts, displacement rates ranged substantially:

Overall Manufacturing Displacement: A 23.4% reduction in traditional middle-skill jobs in manufacturing, logistics, and administrative sectors during 2020–2024 (Artificial Intelligence and Employment Transformation, 2024)^[8].

Sectoral Comparison: Manufacturing and retail experience significantly higher displacement rates (45% and 35% respectively) compared to healthcare and education sectors (8–12% displacement rates) (Artificial Intelligence and Labor Markets, 2025)^[9].

Subsectoral Variation: Assembly operations and routine processing experience displacement rates of 37.6%, substantially exceeding advanced manufacturing subsectors (8.2% displacement) (Artificial Intelligence and Labor Markets, 2025)^[9].

These displacement patterns concentrate in occupational categories involving routine task execution: assembly line workers, material handlers, quality inspectors performing repetitive evaluations, and production schedulers. AI automation technologies particularly target tasks characterized by structured data inputs, predictable decision rules, and repetitive execution patterns precisely the occupational characteristics prevalent in traditional manufacturing.

4.2. Job Creation in AI-Driven Manufacturing Roles

Simultaneously, manufacturing generates new employment opportunities concentrated in AI-adjacent occupational categories:

New Role Creation: A 31.7% increase in new employment categories focused on AI development, human-AI collaboration, and digital transformation roles during 2020–2024 (Artificial Intelligence and Employment Transformation, 2024)^[8].

AI Skills Wage Premium: Occupations incorporating AI skills command wage premiums of 23%, substantially exceeding the premium for conventional higher education credentials in non-AI roles (Skills or Degree?, 2024)^[20].

Emerging Manufacturing Roles: New positions encompassing AI system maintenance, data analysis for production optimization, human-machine interface design, predictive maintenance technicians, and digital supply chain coordinators.

These emergent roles cluster in higher-skill occupational categories, creating an employment polarization dynamic wherein routine task automation displaces mid-skill workers while simultaneously creating premium-wage positions

requiring specialized technical competencies. This polarization exacerbates income inequality unless deliberate workforce transition mechanisms facilitate mid-skill worker advancement to emerging roles.

4.3. The Adaptation Gap

Despite job creation potential, substantial barriers constrain worker transition to emerging roles. Research identified an "adaptation gap" wherein 42% of displaced workers faced significant barriers to transitioning into new roles, primarily due to misaligned skill development programs and insufficient support mechanisms (Artificial Intelligence and Employment Transformation, 2024)^[8]. This finding critically undermines simplistic arguments that technological change automatically generates employment opportunities actual transitions require substantial institutional support and intentional workforce development investments.

5. Critical skill requirements in ai-augmented manufacturing

5.1. Technical Competencies

Emerging research identifies essential technical competencies for manufacturing workers in AI-integrated production environments:

Advanced Digital Literacy: Beyond basic computer proficiency, manufacturing workers require understanding of data structures, cloud-based systems, cybersecurity fundamentals, and digital troubleshooting. Only 18.4% of manufacturing firms currently employ AI systems, and implementation requires workforce readiness in these foundational competencies (Artificial Intelligence Software Adoption in Manufacturing Companies, 2024)^[10].

Predictive Analytics and Data Interpretation: As AI systems automate routine production decisions, worker roles increasingly center on data monitoring, algorithmic output interpretation, and exception handling. Manufacturing workers must comprehend basic statistical concepts, recognize anomalies in algorithmic recommendations, and understand limitations of data-driven predictions. Domain-specific machine-learning deployments, including XGBoost-based commodity price forecasting, illustrate how data-driven tools support production planning and procurement in manufacturing-adjacent supply chains (Anoop, Biju, Sujith, & Keerthy, 2025)^[7].

Human-Machine Interface Navigation: Manufacturing workers operate increasingly complex human-machine systems requiring understanding of interface logic, system alerts, mode transitions, and manual override procedures. Research on trust in AI-human partnerships emphasizes that workers require transparency in AI decision-making processes and mechanisms for human intervention (Trust-Building in AI-Human Partnerships Within Industry 5.0, 2024)^[21].

5.2. Transversal Competencies

Perhaps more critically than technical skills, manufacturing workers require transversal competencies essential for adaptation to continuously evolving technological

environments:

Adaptability and Learning Agility: Rapid technological change demands worker capacity to acquire new skills continuously rather than mastering static technical domains. This meta-competency learning how to learn represents the foundational requirement for sustainable employability.

Critical Thinking and Problem-Solving: As routine decision-making become automated, worker value increasingly derives from creative problem-solving, process optimization, and identification of improvement opportunities. Manufacturing workers must move from task execution toward analytical reasoning.

Emotional Intelligence and Collaboration: Human-AI collaborative environments require workers to navigate complex interpersonal dynamics, manage anxiety regarding technological change, and collaborate effectively across skill and demographic differences. Research on individual traits and job satisfaction emphasized emotional intelligence and adaptability as critical for manufacturing worker well-being (The Impact of Individual Traits on Improving Job Satisfaction Among Manufacturing Workers, 2025)^[15].

5.3. Sector-Specific Requirements

Industry 4.0 readiness creates differentiated skill requirements across manufacturing subsectors:

Advanced Manufacturing: Require advanced data analysis, cybersecurity, and complex troubleshooting capabilities

Traditional Assembly Operations: Require foundational digital literacy, basic predictive maintenance understanding, and human-AI collaboration skills

Supply Chain Integration: Increasingly require data management, IoT system understanding, and supply chain visibility competencies

6. Barriers to workforce adaptation and effective interventions

6.1. Identified Barriers

Research synthesizing stakeholder perspectives identified multiple barriers constraining manufacturing worker adaptation to AI-driven transformation:

Skills Gap and Insufficient Training Programs: Eighty-four percent of organizational respondents reported challenges in workforce adaptation due to lack of AI-related training programs (Artificial Intelligence and Labor Markets, 2025)^[9]. Manufacturing sectors, particularly small and medium enterprises, lack institutional capacity for comprehensive reskilling programs.

High Implementation and Training Costs: Technology vendors and organizations consistently identified financial constraints as major barriers. Comprehensive AI implementation and associated workforce training programs require substantial capital investment, creating particular challenges for smaller manufacturing firms.

Limited Digital Infrastructure Readiness: Only 18.4% of surveyed manufacturing firms employ AI systems, with adoption significantly correlating with prior Industry 4.0 investments (Artificial Intelligence Software Adoption in Manufacturing Companies, 2024) ^[10]. Evidence from rural economies shows that targeted ICT adoption can strengthen financial well-being and digital inclusion, reinforcing the case for extending AI-readiness and reskilling to peri-urban and rural manufacturing clusters (Anoop & Biju, 2022) ^[6]. Firms lacking digital infrastructure face substantial investment requirements before implementing AI and associated workforce training.

Worker Anxiety and Psychological Barriers: Psychological research identified substantial anxiety regarding job security, fear of technological obsolescence, and perceived inability to learn new skills as significant barriers to engagement with training programs. Trust-building between workers, management, and AI systems emerges as prerequisite for successful adaptation (Trust-Building in AI-Human Partnerships Within Industry 5.0, 2024) ^[21].

Misalignment Between Training Programs and Emerging Role Requirements: The adaptation gap literature emphasizes that conventional training programs frequently fail to align with actual skill requirements in AI-augmented positions. Programs emphasize technical certification rather than transversal competencies essential for human-AI collaboration.

6.2. Effective Interventions and Best Practices

Research identified organizational and policy interventions demonstrating effectiveness in facilitating workforce adaptation:

Proactive Reskilling Initiatives: Organizations implementing reskilling programs before AI deployment achieved 64% higher worker retention rates compared to reactive approaches (Artificial Intelligence and Employment Transformation, 2024) ^[8]. Timing and proactive communication regarding technological change substantially improve adaptation outcomes.

Continuous Learning Cultures: Organizations cultivating internal cultures emphasizing continuous learning, supported by ongoing training investments and psychological safety for experimentation, demonstrate superior employee engagement and organizational performance outcomes (AI Structuring Work Practices and Fuelling Employee Outcomes, 2024) ^[3].

Multi-Stakeholder Collaboration: Successful adaptation requires coordination among organizations, educational institutions, government agencies, and worker representatives. Collaborative governance frameworks enabling coordinated skill development, policy harmonization, and resource sharing demonstrate effectiveness compared to isolated organizational initiatives.

Alternative Training Formats: Research emphasizes the importance of diverse training delivery methods including apprenticeships, on-the-job training, MOOCs, vocational education and training programs, and micro-credentials,

which reach workers with diverse learning preferences and baseline competency levels (Skills or Degree?, 2024) ^[20].

Individual Trait Assessment and Personalized Development: Research on emotional intelligence and adaptability emphasizes the value of assessing individual worker characteristics and tailoring development programs accordingly. Workers demonstrating high adaptability and emotional intelligence require less intensive support compared to those with limited change readiness (The Impact of Individual Traits on Improving Job Satisfaction Among Manufacturing Workers, 2025) ^[15].

7. Stakeholder perspectives: manufacturing workers, industry leaders, and technology providers

7.1. Manufacturing Worker Perspectives

Manufacturing workers' perspectives reveal substantial heterogeneity in AI perceptions, contingent on occupational category, tenure, and prior technology exposure:

Concerns Regarding Displacement: Survey data indicate that 57% of respondents report task augmentation through AI (wherein AI augments rather than replaces worker functions), while 36% express explicit concerns about job loss (Will Artificial Intelligence Reshape the Global Workforce by 2030?, 2025) ^[22]. Concerns concentrate among workers in routine-based occupations and those lacking recent technology training.

Optimism Regarding Collaboration: Workers with prior experience in human-machine collaborative environments frequently report positive perceptions of AI implementation, citing reduced physical strain, elimination of repetitive task burden, and opportunity for higher-skill work engagement (AI Structuring Work Practices and Fuelling Employee Outcomes, 2024) ^[3].

Training and Support Expectations: Workers consistently emphasize the importance of advance notice regarding technological changes, comprehensive training support, and psychological safety for skill development. Research on knowledge workers' AI training perspectives identified anxiety regarding inadequate training and insufficient guidance in responsible AI tool utilization (Knowledge Workers' Perspectives on AI Training for Responsible AI Use, 2025) ^[18]. Given ageing workforce cohorts in many manufacturing regions, social-health considerations for older workers are particularly salient during technology transitions, necessitating age-sensitive training design and support (Abraham, Tom, & Arjunraj, 2021) ^[1].

7.2. Industry Leader Perspectives

Manufacturing executives and operations leaders demonstrate complex, multidimensional perspectives on AI adoption:

Optimism Regarding Efficiency Gains: Ninety percent of business leaders' express optimism regarding AI's potential for productivity enhancement, efficiency improvements, and competitive advantage creation (Early Adoption of Generative AI by Global Business Leaders, 2024) ^[13]. This optimism particularly emphasizes AI's role in predictive maintenance, supply chain optimization, and quality control automation.

Recognition of Implementation Barriers: Despite optimism, industry leaders consistently identify barriers constraining AI adoption: insufficient workforce skills (primary barrier), high implementation costs, data infrastructure limitations, and cybersecurity concerns. Only 18.4% of manufacturing firms implement AI systems, indicating that perceived benefits have not translated to widespread adoption (Artificial Intelligence Software Adoption in Manufacturing Companies, 2024)^[10].

Workforce Development Commitment: Industry leaders increasingly recognize workforce development as essential for competitive sustainability. Organizations implementing comprehensive reskilling programs report higher employee retention, improved morale, and superior organizational adaptation compared to organizations neglecting workforce development (Reskilling and Upskilling Strategies for Manufacturing Workers in the Industry 4.0 Landscape, 2024)^[19].

7.3. AI Technology Provider Perspectives

Technology vendors and AI implementation consultants offer distinctive perspectives emphasizing implementation challenges and market opportunities:

Technical Feasibility Emphasis: Technology providers emphasize that technical AI capabilities exceed organizational capacity to effectively implement and integrate systems. Implementation success depends substantially on organizational digital readiness, data quality, and workforce training factors often underestimated during initial adoption planning.

Customization and Adaptation Demands: Technology providers report that successful implementation requires substantial customization for specific manufacturing contexts, emphasizing that generic AI solutions fail to address industry-specific challenges and occupational complexity.

Emerging Service Opportunities: Technology providers increasingly recognize workforce reskilling and change management as central service opportunities. Organizations developing comprehensive training and organizational change support services report substantial market demand exceeding current capacity.

8. Implications and discussion

8.1. Theoretical Implications

The empirical evidence synthesized in this research contributes to evolving theoretical understanding of technological change and labor market dynamics:

Beyond Technological Determinism: The evidence conclusively demonstrates that technology does not deterministically generate particular employment outcomes. Rather, deliberate policy choices, organizational strategies, and institutional investments substantially shape labor market trajectories. The 64% retention rate differential between proactive and reactive reskilling approaches provides quantitative evidence for this organizational agency principle.

Structural Inequality Amplification: If unaddressed through intentional policy intervention, AI-driven transformation amplifies existing labor market inequalities through employment polarization and skill-based wage premiums concentrating in high-education segments. Manufacturing workers facing displacement lack market mechanisms ensuring equitable access to emerging opportunities. Related evidence on structural disparities across communities indicates that technology transitions can widen existing socioeconomic gaps unless countered by equity-focused interventions (Anoop & Keerthy, n.d.)^[5].

Multidimensional Adaptation Requirements: Successful workforce adaptation requires integration of technical skill development, transversal competency cultivation, psychological support, and systemic organizational culture change. Isolated training programs addressing technical skills alone prove insufficient without concurrent attention to organizational psychological factors and systemic support.

8.2. Policy Implications

The research synthesized in this article generates several critical policy implications for government, industry, and educational institutions:

Comprehensive Reskilling Investment: Governments must implement substantial reskilling program funding, recognizing that market forces alone will not generate equitable workforce transition. Policy mechanisms including wage insurance, training subsidies, and adult education program expansion prove necessary for ensuring affected workers access quality preparation for emerging roles.

Anticipatory Labor Market Policy: Labor policy frameworks must shift from reactive unemployment support toward anticipatory preparation. Identifying workers likely to face displacement and initiating skill development before technological implementation improves outcomes compared to retroactive support following disruption.

Educational System Transformation: Formal educational systems and vocational training institutions require substantial curriculum restructuring emphasizing transversal competencies, continuous learning capacity development, and worker resilience rather than static technical knowledge. Current educational systems inadequately prepare workers for continuous technological change.

Public-Private Partnership Models: Government, industry, and educational institutions must develop collaborative governance frameworks enabling coordinated response to labor market disruption. Successful models integrate government policy framework and funding, industry technical expertise and implementation experience, and educational institutions' training delivery capacity.

8.3. Organizational Implications

For manufacturing organizations implementing AI technologies, the research identifies essential practices for facilitating equitable workforce transitions:

Proactive Workforce Development: Organizations achieve substantially superior outcomes through proactive reskilling programs initiated before technological implementation. Advance notice, comprehensive training, and psychological support generate 64% higher retention rates compared to reactive approaches.

Continuous Learning Cultures: Organizations cultivating cultures emphasizing continuous learning, experimentation, and development of adaptability competencies demonstrate superior organizational performance and employee engagement compared to static skill-focused approaches.

Human-Centered AI Implementation: Manufacturing organizations report improved worker trust and collaboration effectiveness through transparent AI system design, clear explanation of algorithmic decision-making, and preservation of human decision-making authority in critical domains. Trust-building emerges as prerequisite for productive human-AI collaboration.

9. Limitations and future research directions

This research synthesizes extensive empirical evidence; however, several important limitations merit acknowledgment:

Temporal Dynamics: The research reflects current evidence from 2020–2025; however, AI technologies and manufacturing practices evolve continuously. Longitudinal tracking of manufacturing workers' career trajectories following AI implementation would provide enhanced understanding of actual long-term adaptation outcomes.

Geographic Variation: Most empirical data derives from developed economies (North America, Western Europe) and emerging markets with established manufacturing infrastructure. Research from developing countries and regions facing different labor market dynamics would enhance generalizability.

Occupational Heterogeneity: While this research identifies broad occupational patterns, manufacturing encompasses diverse roles with differentiated displacement risks. Fine-grained occupational analysis examining specific roles and associated transition mechanisms requires further investigation.

Causal Mechanisms: While the research identifies correlations between proactive reskilling and retention rates, detailed examination of underlying causal mechanisms and moderating factors would strengthen evidence for policy recommendations.

Future research should prioritize longitudinal studies following manufacturing workers through AI-driven technological transitions, comparative analysis of alternative reskilling program models and their effectiveness, investigation of psychological and social factors influencing adaptation success beyond technical skill acquisition, and examination of policy mechanisms enabling equitable workforce transition at national and international scales.

10. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence fundamentally transforms manufacturing labor markets through simultaneous displacement of traditional middle-skill positions and creation of new roles demanding advanced technical and transversal competencies. While overall employment may ultimately increase consistent with historical technological transitions the transition period creates substantial disruption affecting vulnerable worker segments, generating income inequality, and requiring comprehensive policy and organizational responses.

The evidence conclusively demonstrates that technological outcomes are not predetermined but rather shaped substantially by organizational and policy choices. Organizations implementing proactive workforce development achieve 64% higher retention rates compared to reactive approaches. Manufacturing sectors investing in comprehensive reskilling initiatives, continuous learning cultures, and trust-building in human-AI partnerships experience smoother technological transitions with superior workforce outcomes. Conversely, sectors neglecting deliberate workforce development face elevated employee anxiety, productivity losses during transition periods, and substantial talent loss as displaced workers pursue opportunities elsewhere.

Critical policy priorities include substantial government investment in comprehensive reskilling programs, anticipatory labor market interventions identifying and preparing workers before displacement, educational system transformation emphasizing transversal competencies and continuous learning capacity, and development of collaborative governance frameworks integrating government, industry, and educational institution capabilities. Without such coordinated responses, AI-driven manufacturing transformation will substantially amplify labor market inequality and worker economic insecurity, particularly for currently vulnerable populations.

The manufacturing sector's experience with AI-driven transformation offers crucial lessons for all sectors confronting technological disruption. The evidence suggests that technological change coupled with comprehensive workforce development, organizational culture change emphasizing continuous learning, and supportive policy frameworks can generate improved productivity, innovation, and worker economic security. Alternatively, technological change absent such deliberate interventions generates displacement, inequality, and social disruption. The choice belongs fundamentally to human policy and organizational leadership rather than to technological imperatives.

11. References

1. Abraham A, Tom F, Arjunraj RD. Social Health Aspects of Elderly: A Study with Special Reference to Kottayam District. Kottayam; 2021.
2. AI and Workforce Dynamics: A Bibliometric Analysis of Job Creation, Displacement and Reskilling. *Glob Knowl Mem Commun.* 2025;75(2):201-20.
3. AI Structuring Work Practices and Fuelling Employee Outcomes-Manufacturing Industry. *RSIS Int.* 2024;12(8):1-18.
4. Analyzing the Impact of AI on Job Reallocation: A

- Bibliometric Perspective on Lost and Emerging Careers (2010–2025). *Bus Basic Res.* 2025;4(3):89-112.
5. Anoop PS, Keerthy TR. Widening Disparities Among Powerful And Powerless: A Comparative Study Between Tribals And General Community.
 6. Anoop PS, Biju MK. Impact of ICT in rural financial wellbeing through farming tourism. In: *Reimagining Business Education and Industry in 2030*; 2022. p. 93.
 7. Anoop PS, Biju MK, Sujith PS, Keerthy TR. Enhancing Cardamom Price Forecasting: Integration of XG Boost Model for a Robust Ensemble Model. *South Asian J Soc Stud Econ.* 2025;22(10):181-97.
 8. Artificial Intelligence and Employment Transformation: A Multi-Sector Analysis of Workforce Disruption and Adaptation. *Int J Sci Res.* 2024;11(11):23-45.
 9. Artificial Intelligence and Labor Markets: Analyzing Job Displacement and Creation. *Int J Educ Sci Technol Eng.* 2025;2(1):1-22.
 10. Artificial Intelligence Software Adoption in Manufacturing Companies. *Appl Sci.* 2024;14(16):6959-78.
 11. Opara IJ, Lateef J, Nii-Okai E, Saah BP, Mensah EK, Wiafe GFO, *et al.* Digital resilience in construction projects: a narrative review of data governance, BIM, and real-time decision support systems. *J Manag Dev Res.* 2025;2(2):117-124. doi:10.69739/jmdr.v2i2.1129
 12. Damioli G, Rubalcaba L, Zilio I. Drivers of employment dynamics of AI innovators. *Technol Forecast Soc Change.* 2024;201:123249.
 13. Diffusion of AI Value-Driven Services in the German Manufacturing Industries. *Front Ind Eng.* 2024;7:1407367.
 14. Early Adoption of Generative AI by Global Business Leaders: Insights from an INSEAD Alumni Survey. *Technol Forecast Soc Change.* 2024;193(1):1-28.
 15. The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Workers' Skills: Upskilling and Reskilling in Organisations. *Informing Sci Int J an Emerg Transdiscipl.* 2024;28(1):1-22.
 16. The Impact of Individual Traits on Improving Job Satisfaction Among Manufacturing Workers. *J Int Educ Res.* 2025;31(1):2114-28.
 17. Opara IJ, Fatola ME, Adeoba MI, Sodje HA, Chibueze NT, Olorunkosebi MT, *et al.* AI-driven predictive microbiology with real-time sensors for next-generation food safety. *J Life Sci Public Health.* 2025;1(2):79-89. doi:10.69739/jlsph.v1i2.1299
 18. The Influence of Artificial Intelligence on Labor Markets. *SHS Web Conf.* 2021;12(18):03030.
 19. Is Automation Labor-Displacing in the Developing Countries, Too? Robots, Polarization, and Jobs. *World Bank Econ Rev.* 2019;33(2):1-42.
 20. Knowledge Workers' Perspectives on AI Training for Responsible AI Use. *ACM Trans Comput Educ.* 2025;25(2):1-22.
 21. Reskilling and Upskilling Strategies for Manufacturing Workers in the Industry 4.0 Landscape: Case Study on PT. XYZ. *J Enrichment.* 2024;10(3):144-65.
 22. Skills or Degree? The Rise of Skill-Based Hiring for AI and Green Jobs. *Res Policy.* 2024;53(11):104856.
 23. Trust-Building in AI-Human Partnerships Within Industry 5.0. *Cent Eur J Oper Res.* 2024;32(11):1-20.
 24. Will Artificial Intelligence Reshape the Global Workforce by 2030? A Cross-Sectoral Analysis of Job Displacement and Transformation. *Bus Acad Res J.* 2025;21(2):1-24.

Multidiscip Res Growth Eval. 2025;6(6):312-319. doi:10.54660/IJMRGE.2025.6.6.312-319.

Creative Commons (CC) License

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

How to Cite This Article

Anoop PS, Abraham A, Saseendran AK, Johnson RM, Biju MK. The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Job Displacement and Skill Requirements: A Study of the Manufacturing Sector. *Int J*