



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation

ISSN: 2582-7138

Received: 19-02-2021; Accepted: 23-03-2021

www.allmultidisciplinaryjournal.com

Volume 2; Issue 2; March-April 2021; Page No. 103-110

Using Facebook and its relationship to psychological compatibility among special education teachers

Mohamad Ahmad Saleem Khasawneh

Assistant Professor, Department of Special Education, King Khalid University, Saudi Arabia

Corresponding Author: Mohamad Ahmad Saleem Khasawneh

Abstract

This study aimed at identifying the use of the social media network (Facebook) and its relationship to psychological compatibility of special education teachers by answering five questions that include all the variables of the current study. The study used the descriptive approach and developed a scale to reveal the relationship of Facebook use and psychological compatibility. The psychological scale was applied to a random sample of special education teachers (n=520), who constitute 18% from the study population in Aseer region. The results of the study showed that the period that special education teachers spend using Facebook that received the highest frequency was less than two hours per

day. The results revealed that the level of psychological compatibility among special education teachers, who use Facebook was high. There was a negative relationship between the period that special education teachers spend using Facebook and the level of psychological compatibility. The results also indicated that there were no statistically significant differences attributed to the effect of gender on the period of use of Facebook among special education teachers. There were no statistically significant differences attributed to the effect of gender in all fields and in the overall degree, and in favor of females in terms of family compatibility, social consensus and the overall degree.

Keywords: Social Media Networks, Psychological Compatibility, Special Education Teachers

1. Introduction

Global communication networks have developed over the Internet leading to the emergence of social media networks, indicating that the Internet has become a social means of communication and the exchange of knowledge, information and news. One of the most used social media networks in the last decades is Facebook. This website allows users to create a personal page including the person's identity, and allows communication and making friends with all participants on this site to transfer knowledge and news, and forming visions and directions without restrictions or limits (El-Tayeb, 2012).

Facebook is one of the most popular networking and social networking sites, enjoyed by the advantages its users find. It represents a tool to facilitate social communication for people who find it difficult to form social relationships, links and connections with others. Facebook may have a positive impact on social aspects. Individuals on these sites may create virtual communities that achieve interconnectedness and social communication based on their interests, ideas and trends (Stienfield, Dimmico, Ellison & Lampe, 2009)^[15]. Mecheel (2010)^[14] indicated that social networking has changed the lifestyles of young special education teachers and the way they socialize with their virtual and real communities.

Social media networks affect people's social and psychological well-being differently. Psychological compatibility is yet another dimension that has been investigated alongside the effects of social media. The concept of psychological compatibility is one of the psychological concepts that has received great attention by psychologists. They agreed that it is a continuous dynamic interaction process between two basic poles, one of which is the individual, and the second is his/her physical and social environment (Al-Khalidi, 2009). The concept of compatibility refers to the existence of harmonious relations with the environment that include the ability to satisfy most human needs, and to meet most of his/her biological and social demands. Accordingly, compatibility includes all the variations and changes in behavior, which are necessary in order to satisfy within the framework of the harmonious relationship with the environment (Boutros, 2008)^[11].

The results of several studies have indicated the relationship between Facebook use and psychological compatibility and mental health manifestations of the individuals. Sullivan & Paradise (2012)^[16] indicated that addiction to Facebook use leads to social isolation, and using it in a moderate way leads to good levels of psychological and social compatibility. No studies tackled the relationship between Facebook and psychological compatibility directly among special education teachers, which triggers the need to conduct the current study.

Therefore, the purpose of this study is to identify the use of the social media network (Facebook) and its relationship with psychological compatibility among special education teachers.

1.1 Questions of the study

The current study seeks to answer the following questions.

1. How many hours do special education teachers spend on Facebook?
2. What is the level of psychological compatibility among special education teachers who use Facebook?
3. What is the relationship of the time (number of hours) that special education teachers spend using Facebook with the level of psychological compatibility?
4. Does the time special education teachers spend on Facebook differ by gender?

1.2 Significance of the study

This study dealt with Facebook, which affected society, its ideas and trends, but had a great impact on the political and social arena in the whole world, and the Arab world in particular. Society's interest, especially youth, has increased in using social media networks, resulting in having an account for each person on Facebook. These accounts became a window to the external community and an identity mark that distinguishes people from others. Facebook has become one of the most important sources of social influence and impact. This study is useful in highlighting the role of Facebook in the psychological compatibility of special education teachers in the Arab community in general and the Saudi society in particular. This study can add information about Facebook use and its relationship with psychological compatibility among special education teachers.

From a practical perspective, this study can be useful for educational counselors, managers and teachers to identify the effect that Facebook and social media have on the psychological and social variables of special education teachers, especially with regard to their psychological compatibility. The results of the study would help directing decision-makers in drawing up educational policies and educational decisions, and taking into account the revolution of communications and social media and its role in bringing about psychological change among teachers.

1.3 Definitions of terms

Facebook: It is an electronic social media network that can be accessed free and users can create accounts and connect with each other. In this study, it is defined as a social media network used by the sample of the study and the period they spend on its usage.

Psychological compatibility: It is the process by which the individual adjusts his psychological structure or behavior to respond to the conditions of the natural and social environment to achieve a sense of balance and contentment" (Al-Alamy, 2011) [3]. Procedurally, it is defined as the total score obtained by the participants through their responses to the study tool.

Duration of use is the length of time (number of hours) that special education teachers spend using Facebook. For the purposes of this study, the duration of use will be divided as follows; more than zero hours and less than two hours (low level), more than two hours and less than four hours (medium level), and more than four hours (high level).

1.4 Limitations of the study

The results of this study are limited by the extent of accuracy of extracting the validity and reliability of the instrument

prepared by the researcher, the possibility of generalizing the results only to samples similar to the current sample, and the extent to which the sample represents the population.

1.5 Previous studies

Al-Sharari *et al.* (2020) [6] identified the risks of social media usage by secondary school students from the viewpoints of Qurayyat teachers in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The study followed the descriptive method and for collecting the study data, it adopted a questionnaire. The study instrument was administered to 288 teachers during the second semester of the academic year 2019/2020. The results of this study indicated that the general level of risks resulting from the use of high school students for social media from the viewpoints of secondary school teachers in Qurayyat administration was high. The results also showed that Twitter was the most dangerous social networking sites.

Benghida (2020) explored the level of the use of university professors in the Department of Media and Communication Sciences and Library Science at the University of Batna for social networking sites (SNS) and areas of their use of those networks. This study followed the descriptive analytical method. To collect information from the research sample, an electronic questionnaire was used. The study sample consisted of 23 professors. The results showed that all university professors are using social networking sites, and the most used by professors for various purposes was Facebook. They use the website to obtain information sources to benefit from them in the completion of new research projects and the preparation of rich lectures.

Rahim (2020) studied the relationship between self-esteem and personality trait narcissism with Facebook addiction. The sample of the study consisted of 355 participants, from which 124 were men and 231 women, all aged from 14 to 45. Questionnaires were used to collect the data where they uploaded three questionnaires on google forms and sent the link to the participants. The results of this study indicated that participants more inclined towards Facebook addiction when they have low self-esteem and with high narcissistic trait more than participants with high self-esteem and low narcissism did.

Al Harbi *et al.* (2019) [5] examined the level of use of social networks and their relation to social variables by Qaseem University students. For data collection in this study, the descriptive survey approach was used. The sample consisted of 979 male students. The results showed that the humanities faculties' students were using social networks more than students of the scientific faculties were. The main purpose for using social networks was for entertainment, and that the most used social network was Twitter and YouTube. The study also showed that the use for social networks was high with about 34.9% on a daily base on average of about 4 hours a day. Students from families with incomes between 5000-9999 were usually using social networks for 7 hours at least every day.

Al-Ahmad (2019) [2] investigated the reality of the use of Kuwait University students for social networking sites to identify the most important forms of violence and extremism that students practice through social media. The study aimed also to identify the most important differences around the reality of Kuwait University students' usage of social media websites and their attitudes towards violence and extremism and the reasons behind that, depending on the gender, the college, the school group, the father's level of education, the mother's level of education, and the place of living. The descriptive method was used in this study and the sample consisted of 2400 male and female students at the university.

For data collection, a questionnaire consisted of (4) dimensions was used. The result showed that students experience various forms of cyber violence over social media networks largely, and the most common forms of cyber violence used were spreading rumors, lies, and direct and indirect insults.

Alshdifat (2017) ^[7] identified the relation between psychological loneliness and social network site usage and other elements such as (gender, duration of browsing and type of the disability) among students with visual disabilities in Jordan. The descriptive correlational research design was used in order to accomplish objectives of this study, and UCLA Loneliness Scale was used to collect the data for this study and were treated with statistical package SPSS. The study sample consisted of 410 students from which 68 were randomly selected from the Royal Academy for the Blind in Jordan who are in the second semester of the academic year 2014-2015. The results of the study showed a low level of loneliness among students with Visual Disabilities and the presence of statistically significant differences in the level of loneliness based on the gender.

2. Methodology

The correlational descriptive approach was used in this study, which is based on studying the relationship between the use of Facebook and the psychological compatibility of special education teachers.

2.1 Sampling

The study sample was chosen randomly from schools and special education centers in Aseer region, and their percentage was (18%) from the study population. The number of special education teachers participating in this

study was 520.

2.2 Instrument of the study

The researcher developed a scale to reveal the relationship of Facebook use with the psychological compatibility of special education teachers. The study reviewed the related literature and previous studies to develop the instrument (Abu Shamala, 2002; Igitilawi, 2004; Hamid, 2006; Al-Ghamdi, 2010) ^[1, 12, 13, 4]. The psychological compatibility scale consisted in its initial form (60) items, distributed into four dimensions, personal compatibility, family compatibility, social compatibility, and academic compatibility.

2.2.1 Validity of the instrument

To verify the validity of the psychological compatibility scale, two methods were used, the first was by finding the content validity and the second by the construct validity. After preparing the instrument, the researcher sent a letter to (10) professors in Saudi universities to express their opinion on the validity of the instrument, its ability to measure what it was prepared for, the clarity of the paragraphs and the accuracy of the linguistic wording. The researcher modified the paragraphs based on their observations and recommendations, and a percentage (80%) agreement was considered to approve the instrument.

The construct validity of the instrument was verified by calculating the correlation coefficient for each paragraph of the scale with the instrument as a whole and with the dimension to which it belongs, and between dimensions with each other and the total degree. The correlation coefficients for the paragraphs and the tool as a whole ranged from (0.30-0.80) as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Correlation coefficients between paragraphs, total degree, and the dimension to which the paragraphs measure

Paragraph number	Correlation with dimension	correlation with instrument	Paragraph number	correlation with dimension	correlation with instrument	Paragraph number	correlation with dimension	correlation with instrument
1	.45(**)	.35(*)	22	.80(*)	.61(*)	43	.65(*)	.48(*)
2	.54(**)	.41(*)	23	.72(*)	.56(*)	44	.57(*)	.48(*)
3	.45(**)	.46(*)	24	.48(*)	.47(*)	45	.53(*)	.38(*)
4	.56(**)	.42(*)	25	.48(*)	.39(*)	46	.61(*)	.42(*)
5	.39(**)	.36(*)	26	.72(*)	.56(*)	47	.42(*)	.33(*)
6	.65(**)	.47(*)	27	.79(*)	.62(*)	48	.58(*)	.48(*)
7	.30(**)	.38(*)	28	.69(*)	.61(*)	49	.38(*)	.36(*)
8	.49(**)	.46(*)	29	.59(*)	.50(*)	50	.61(*)	.50(*)
9	.50(*)	.54(*)	30	.61(*)	.46(*)	51	.66(*)	.52(*)
10	.63(*)	.64(*)	31	.30(*)	.32(*)	52	.66(*)	.46(*)
11	.35(*)	.36(*)	32	.72(*)	.61(*)	53	.40(*)	.35(*)
12	.31(*)	.39(*)	33	.35(*)	.37(*)	54	.60(*)	.43(*)
13	.47(*)	.35(*)	34	.35(*)	.36(*)	55	.55(*)	.40(*)
14	.55(*)	.34(*)	35	.38(*)	.33(*)	56	.65(*)	.39(*)
15	.51(*)	.38(*)	36	.55(*)	.44(*)	57	.51(*)	.38(*)
16	.56(*)	.41(*)	37	.60(*)	.45(*)	58	.68(*)	.38(*)
17	.48(*)	.37(*)	38	.39(*)	.33(*)	59	.53(*)	.47(*)
18	.72(*)	.56(*)	39	.51(*)	.51(*)	60	.63(*)	.52(*)
19	.77(*)	.59(*)	40	.66(*)	.48(*)			
20	.80(*)	.62(*)	41	.47(*)	.37(*)			
21	.82(*)	.64(*)	42	.68(*)	.56(*)			

*Statistically significant at (0.01)

Table 1: Shows that all the correlation coefficients were of acceptable scores and statistically significant. Therefore, none of these paragraphs was deleted, and the correlation coefficients between the dimensions of the instrument were

calculated. The correlation coefficients of the dimensions with each other ranged from (0.30-0.78) as presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Correlation coefficients between the dimensions of the instrument

Dimension	Personal compatibility	Family compatibility	Social compatibility	Academic compatibility	Total
Personal compatibility					
Family compatibility	.482(*)				
Social compatibility	.512(*)	.432(*)			
Academic compatibility	.300(*)	.406(*)	.424(*)		
Total	.767(*)	.781(*)	.780(*)	.690(*)	

*Statistically significant at (0.01)

Table 2: Shows that all the correlation coefficients between the dimensions of psychological compatibility scale were of acceptable scores and statistically significant at (0.01).

2.2.2 Reliability of the Instrument

To verify the reliability of the instrument, the researcher selected a random sample (n=63) from other than the study sample and from the same school community to conduct a pilot study. The pilot was conducted twice with a time difference of two weeks. The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to extract the stability between the first and second applications. The reliability of the psychological compatibility scale in this way was (76%). Cronbach alpha coefficient was used to calculate the stability on the first application, which was (89%). These rates were acceptable for the purposes of applying the current instrument to the study sample. Table 3: Shows the reliability ratios for the dimensions of Psychological compatibility scale and overall

score of the scale.

Table 3: Reliability ratios for the dimensions and the overall score using Test-retest method and Cronbach Alpha

Dimension	Test-Retest	CronbachAlpha
Personal compatibility	0.56	0.75
Social compatibility	0.57	0.81
Family compatibility	0.66	0.75
The total score of the Psychological Compatibility Scale	0.76	0.90

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Results of the first question

“How many hours do special education teachers spend on Facebook?”

To answer this question, the frequencies and percentages of the duration of usage special education teachers spend using Facebook were extracted, as shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Frequencies and percentages of the duration of usage special education teachers spend using Facebook

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Duration of using Facebook	Less than two hours a day	233	50.0
	From 2 hours to less than 4 hours a day	141	30.3
	More than 4 hours	92	19.7
	total	466	100.0

Table 4: Shows that the duration of Facebook use, less than two hours per day, came with the highest frequency of (233) and a percentage of (50.0), and followed by the duration of from two hours to less than 4 hours with a frequency of (141). On the other hand, the duration of more than 4 hours came last, with a frequency of (92) and a percentage of (19.7).

The results of the study on the duration of time that special education teachers spend on Facebook are in agreement with the results of Sullivan & Paradise (2012) ^[16], where they revealed that the average use of Facebook by the participants is (2.76) once a day, and for a period of (35.06) minutes each time.

The result of this question indicates that participants' usage of Facebook recorded the lowest levels of usage calculated by the hour per day, as most of the participants used Facebook for less than 2 hours per day.

The reason for this result may be attributed to the variety of

forms of usage by special education teachers on the Internet. They may use it for educational, entertainment, and personal purposes, and among those usages, they use the social media network, Facebook. Their use of the Internet may be more than two hours per day, but the share of their use of Facebook was less than two hours. The reason for this result may also be due to the financial cost of using the Internet, and this affects the period of use, which reduces the use periods to less than two hours per day.

3.2 Results of the second question

“What is the level of psychological compatibility among special education teachers who use Facebook?”

To answer this question, mean scores and standard deviations of the level of psychological compatibility of special education teachers, who use Facebook were extracted, and Table 5 illustrates the results.

Table 5: The mean scores and standard deviations of the level of psychological compatibility among special education teachers

No. of dimension	Dimension	Rank	Mean score	Standard deviation	level
2	Family compatibility	1	3.94	.600	High
3	Social compatibility	3	3.75	0.49	High
1	Personal compatibility	4	3.74	0.54	High
	Total score		3.80	0.43	High

Table 5: Shows that the total mean score of the psychological compatibility of special education teachers was (3.80), which corresponds to the high level, where the mean scores ranged from (3.74-3.94). The dimension of family compatibility came in first rank with the highest mean score of (3.94) and a high level, followed by the dimension of social

compatibility with a mean score of (3.75) and a high level. The dimension of personal compatibility came in the last rank with a mean score of (3.74), and with a high level. The mean scores and standard deviations of the responses of the study sample were calculated for the paragraphs of each dimension separately, as follows.

Personal compatibility Dimension

To reveal the level of the personal compatibility of special

education teachers who use Facebook, the mean scores and standard deviations were calculated as shown in Table 6.

Table 6: The mean scores and standard deviations for the paragraphs of the personal compatibility dimension arranged in descending order according to the mean score

Rank	No. of paragraph	Paragraph	Mean score	Standard deviation	Level
1	12	I take care of my appearance.	4.49	.750	High
2	3	I trust myself.	4.38	0.90	High
3	11	I take care of my teeth hygiene.	4.31	0.97	High
4	17	I suffer from obesity	4.01	1.19	High
5	16	I have manifestations of physical weakness.	3.98	1.06	High
6	9	I feel like a person of value in this life.	3.88	1.01	High
7	14	My stomach hurts a lot.	3.87	1.17	High
8	6	I feel miserable.	3.86	1.21	High
9	4	I suffer from depression.	3.82	1.15	High
10	10	I feel psychological comfort in my life.	3.61	1.10	Medium
11	15	I feel constant headaches.	3.60	1.27	Medium
12	2	I get angry for the simplest reason.	3.55	1.28	Medium
13	5	I cry quickly.	3.44	1.44	Medium
14	8	I feel lucky.	3.30	1.19	Medium
15	1	My patience runs out easily with others.	3.26	1.26	Medium
16	13	I feel physically tired quickly.	3.23	1.20	Medium
17	7	I talk about my accomplishments in front of others.	3.00	1.15	Medium
		Total score for personal compatibility	3.74	.540	High

Table 6: Shows that the mean scores of the paragraphs in the personal compatibility dimension ranged from (3.00 - 4.49). Paragraph No. (12), which states "I care about my appearance" came in first place with a mean score of (4.49), while paragraph No. (7), "I talk about my accomplishments in front of others," ranked last, with a mean score of (3.00). The mean score of the overall personal compatibility

dimension was (3.74).

Family compatibility Dimension

To reveal the level of the family compatibility of special education teachers who use Facebook, the mean scores and standard deviations were calculated as shown in Table 7.

Table 7: The mean scores and standard deviations for the paragraphs of the family compatibility dimension arranged in descending order according to the mean score

Rank	No. of paragraph	Paragraph	Mean score	Standard deviation	Level
1	18	I love my family.	4.57	.77	High
2	30	I am proud of my family in front of others.	4.41	.93	High
3	19	I have good relations with my family members.	4.38	.91	High
4	29	I hope to have a family other than mine.	4.36	1.08	High
5	22	I feel comfortable at home.	4.21	1.04	High
6	32	I am happy when I meet with my family.	4.17	.96	High
7	23	I bring happiness to my family.	4.11	1.04	High
8	21	I feel understanding with my family at home.	4.07	1.12	High
9	20	I live a quiet family atmosphere.	4.04	1.14	High
10	28	I feel that I have an active role in my family.	4.03	1.01	High
11	27	My family respects my opinion.	3.98	1.02	High
12	26	My parents trust my opinions.	3.91	1.04	High
13	31	My parents treat me like a child.	3.88	1.21	High
14	25	My parents constantly criticize me.	3.70	1.22	High
15	33	I disagree with my parents in running the house.	3.30	1.17	Medium
16	24	I feel like running away from home.	1.98	1.28	Low
		Total score for family compatibility	3.94	.60	High

Table 7: Shows that the mean scores of the paragraphs in the family compatibility dimension ranged from (1.98 - 4.57). Paragraph No. (18), which states, "I love my family" came first with a mean score of (4.57). Paragraph (24), "I feel like running away from home." ranked last, with a mean score of (1.98). The mean score of the overall family compatibility

dimension was (3.94).

Social compatibility Dimension

To reveal the level of the social compatibility of special education teachers who use Facebook, the mean scores and standard deviations were calculated as shown in Table 8.

Table 8: The mean scores and standard deviations for the paragraphs of the social compatibility dimension arranged in descending order according to the mean score

Rank	No. of paragraph	Paragraph	Mean score	Standard deviation	Level
1	46	I feel happy when meeting my friends.	4.27	.94	High
2	36	I sit alone at social events.	4.20	1.01	High
3	37	I am popular with my friends.	4.18	.84	High
4	50	I am a fun person.	4.17	1.00	High
5	42	I enjoy talking to others.	4.04	1.00	High

6	45	I find it difficult to share my conversation with my friends	4.01	1.20	High
7	41	I find it difficult to make new friends.	3.99	1.12	High
8	51	I feel happy just being among people.	3.98	1.01	High
9	43	I feel I belong to the group of my friends.	3.96	1.05	High
10	48	I miss social events.	3.90	1.06	High
11	39	I suffer from loneliness even if I am with others.	3.87	1.29	High
12	44	I enjoy visiting people.	3.85	1.07	High
13	40	I take the initiative to talk to people.	3.83	1.04	High
14	34	I feel a social responsibility towards society.	3.54	1.10	Medium
15	47	I am often the leader among my friends.	3.44	1.13	Medium
16	35	I hesitate to go alone into public meetings.	3.38	1.19	Medium
17	49	I ask others to help without being embarrassed.	2.86	1.24	Medium
18	38	I stay away from group discussions with my friends.	2.05	1.15	Low
		Total score of social compatibility	3.75	.49	High

Table 8: Shows that the mean scores of the paragraphs of the social compatibility dimension ranged from (2.05 - 4.27). Paragraph No. (46), which states “I feel happy when meeting my friends” came first, with a mean score of (4.27). Paragraph No. (38), “I stay away from group discussions with my friends,” ranked last, with a mean score of (2.05). The mean score of the social compatibility dimension as a whole was (3.75). This result may be attributed to the fact that special education teachers, who use within reasonable limits, combine two things that work to increase their levels of psychological compatibility. They have a continuation of contact with the virtual community that expresses the actual social reality. It also allows communicating with members of the global community, in addition to communicating with the local community. Therefore, it is evident from the interpretation of this result that the use of Facebook for a limited period in a way that

does not lead the individual to neglect his social, family and academic roles and duties. Using Facebook with limits also helps in reducing the chance to reach the point of addiction. A limited use will also lead to improving levels of psychological health, and contribute to achieving psychological, social, personal, family and academic compatibility.

3.3 Results of the third question

“What is the relationship of the time (number of hours) that special education teachers spend using Facebook with the level of psychological compatibility?”

To answer this question, the Pearson correlation coefficient was extracted between the periods of time special education teachers spend on using Facebook and the level of psychological compatibility as shown in Table 9.

Table 9: Frequencies, percentages, and Pearson's correlation coefficient between the time periods that teachers spend using Facebook and the level of psychological compatibility

			Duration			Total
			Less than two hours a day	From two hours to less than 4 hours a day	More than 4 hours	
Level of psychological compatibility	Low	No.	1	0	1	2
		percentage	.2%	.0%	.2%	.4%
	Medium	No.	68	54	40	162
		percentage	14.6%	11.6%	8.6%	34.8%
	High	No.	164	87	51	302
		percentage	35.2%	18.7%	10.9%	64.8%
Total		No.	No.	141	92	466
		percentage	percentage	30.3%	19.7%	100.0%

Pearson correlation coefficient, $R = -0.126$ (**), statistical significance = .007

Table 9: Shows that there is a negative relationship with statistical significance ($\alpha = 0.05$) between the duration of time that special education teachers spend using Facebook and the level of psychological compatibility. The value of R was (-0.126), with a statistical significance of (0.007). It is evident from Table 9 that the higher the level of psychological compatibility the less time spent on Facebook.

The result of this question indicates that the frequent use of Facebook and the length of time that teachers spend on this website may negatively affect their psychological compatibility. The more time an individual uses Facebook, the levels of psychological compatibility will decrease, so it is a reverse negative process.

Al-Tayyib (2012)^[8] pointed out in that the virtual community created by social media networks such as Facebook represents a negative society, where the person loses the ability to interact and deal with the realities of social life and with the people around him, which leads to low levels of social compatibility among the user.

This result does not mean that the use of Facebook leads to a lower level of psychological compatibility in general, but

rather it may indicate the advantages of using Facebook according to controls and conditions related to the time and period of time used,. The reasonable socially acceptable limit is like the time period specified in this study, less than two hours. This kind of use may accustom the individual to many positive aspects, and it may help the individual in his psychological, social, family, and personal adaptation. However, if this period of using Facebook is increased, it may affect the individual's psychological compatibility negatively.

This result agrees with Kalpidou, Costin & Morris (2011), which revealed that spending a long time on Facebook affects the psychological and social compatibility. Stienfield, Dimmico, Ellison & Lampe (2009)^[15] also indicated that the social media networks, such as Facebook has a positive effect on social aspects. Individuals on these websites may create virtual societies that achieve interconnectedness and social communication based on their interests, ideas and attitudes. In addition, Mecheel (2010)^[14] indicated that social media networks changed people's lifestyles and social interactions with their virtual and real communities.

3.4 Results of the fourth question

“Does the time special education teachers spend on Facebook differ by gender?”

To answer this question, the frequencies and percentages of the period of using Facebook for special education teachers were extracted according to the gender variable as shown in Table (10) below.

Table 10: Frequencies and percentages for the duration of using Facebook for special education teachers according to the gender variable

Variable		Sample	Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Duration	Less than two hours a day	No.	76	157	233
		percentage	45.2%	52.7%	50.0%
	From 2 hours to less than 4 hours a day	No.	51	90	141
		percentage	30.4%	30.2%	30.3%
	More than 4 hours	No.	41	51	92
		percentage	24.4%	17.1%	19.7%
Total		No.	No.	298	466
		percentage	percentage	100.0%	100.0%

Statistical significance: .130

Table 10: Shows that there are no statistically significant differences ($\alpha = 0.05$) due to gender on the duration of using Facebook for special education teachers. with statistical significance of (0.130).

The researcher did not find a study that agreed with the result of this question. This result of the current study differed from the result of Awad (2012)^[9], which indicated that there were statistically significant differences in the degree of psychological compatibility among Facebook users due to gender and in favor of females.

This result indicates that the use of Facebook is not related to gender. Everyone may have an account on the Facebook website, whether male or female, without restrictions or controls. Registration on the Facebook website allows every individual to use the features for communication, chatting, commenting, informative and informative addition to the page of your site, regardless of your gender.

This result may be attributed to the pattern of family upbringing among the participants. They do not differentiate in parental treatment between males and females, and this leads to giving females freedom to participate in social media websites such as Facebook and leads to no difference between males and females in the duration of using Facebook.

The reason for this result may be attributed to the wide availability of means of communication and the Internet in various societies. The Internet has entered every home and has become an urgent need for all family members. Facebook is one of the free websites available on Internet pages, in addition to its ease of use.

4. Recommendations

The study recommends using social media networks, especially Facebook, to serve the educational process, by activating communication between teachers and students, and between the school and parents. It is recommended to conduct studies that attempt to uncover the causes of the psychological, social, educational and academic effects of Facebook use on special education teachers. It is also recommended to conduct an educational study on the disadvantages and benefits of using Facebook for special education teachers and students of all ages.

5. Acknowledgments

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Khalid University for funding this work through Small Research Groups under grant number (RGP.1 /40/42)

6. References

1. Abu Shamala AA. Methods of care in orphan care institutions and their relationship to psychological and social compatibility. Master Thesis in Psychology, Islamic University – Gaza, 2002.
2. Al-Ahmad AA. The extent of Kuwait University Students use of social networks and its relationship with their tendency towards violence and extremism. Annals of the Arts and Social Sciences: Kuwait University-Academic Publication Council. 2019; 39(519):9-152. Retrieved from: <http://search.mandumah.com/Record/961502>.
3. Al-Alami L. Membership in social media and its impact on improving political awareness among An-Najah students. Unpublished MA thesis, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine, 2011.
4. Al-Ghamdi AA. The reluctance of special education teachers to internet cafes and its relationship to some psychological problems among a sample of secondary school students in Makkah. Unpublished Master's Thesis, Umm Al-Qura University, Makkah Al-Mukarramah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, 2010.
5. Al-Harbi ASM, Al-Arabi M. The degree of use of Qaseem University Students for social networks and their relation to social variables. The Jordanian Educational Journal: The Jordanian Association for Educational Sciences. 2019; 4(2):240-264. Retrieved from-<http://search.mandumah.com/Record/1028947>.
6. Al-Sharari MM, Al-Shamayleh ZM. The risks of using social media among high school students and the nature of their behaviors from the viewpoints of their teachers in Qurayyat in the kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The Journal of Education: Al-Azhar University-Faculty of Education. 2020; 186(2):753-802. Retrieved from <http://search.mandumah.com/Record/1085715>.
7. Alshadifat AMH, Al-Qudah DM. The relation between Psychological loneliness and social network site usage in sample of people with visual disabilities in Jordan. Journal of Special Education and Rehabilitation: The Foundation for Special Education and Rehabilitation. 2017; 5(17):82-114. Retrieved from <http://search.mandumah.com/Record/828269>.
8. Al-Tayyib OS. Knowledge and electronic social media networks: Towards a Knowledge Society. A series published by the Center for Strategic Studies. King Abdulaziz University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. 2012; 39:1-246.

9. Awad H. The effect of Facebook use on self-esteem among youth in Tulkarm. *Al-Quds Al-Arabi Newspaper*, 2012, 47.
10. Bin Ghaida WY. The use of University professors for Social Networking Sites: A Field Study with the Professors of the Department of Media and Communication Sciences and Library Science at the University of Hadj Lakhdar Batna 01. *Journal of Studies and Research: University of Djelfa*. 2020; 12(1):1025-1037. Retrieved from <http://search.mandumah.com/Record/1080370>.
11. Boutros HB. *Adaptation and the child's mental health*. Amman: Dar Al-maseerah for Publishing and Distribution, 2008.
12. Ejtilawi IDM. Self-concept and its relationship to the psychological compatibility of university students and their academic achievement. Unpublished MA Thesis, College of Arts, Department of Education and Psychology, Sebha University, Yemen, 2004.
13. Hamid FM. Prevailing values and their relationship to psychosocial harmony among students of the 7th of October University in Misrata. Unpublished MA Thesis, College of Arts and Sciences, Department of Education and Psychology, Al-Marqab University, Libya, 2006.
14. Mecheel V. *Face book and invasion of technological communities*, N.Y. New York, 2010.
15. Stienfield C, Dimmico J, Ellison N, Lampe C. Bowling Online: Social Network and Social Capital within Organization. *Proc 4th Communities and Technology Conference*, 2009.
16. Sullivan S, Paradise A. (In) Visible Threats? The Third-person effect in perceptions of the influence of Facebook, *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*. 2012; 15(1):55-61.